The Role of Cardiac Magnetic Resonance for Arrhythmic Risk Stratification in a Patient with Myocarditis
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Abstract

Myocarditis is an inflammatory disease of cardiac muscle with a variable clinical presentation, ranging from asymptomatic cases to different degrees of left ventricular systolic dysfunction up to heart failure and dilated heart disease. Ventricular arrhythmias (VA) can occur in patients with myocarditis and implantable cardioverter-defibrillator (ICD) may be indicated in patients with life-threatening VA who are not in the acute phase of myocarditis and who are receiving optimal medical therapy. Reduced left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) below 35%, which is used as the main criterion for stratifying the risk of sudden cardiac death (SCD), has low sensitivity and low specificity for arrhythmic risk stratification in patients with myocarditis. Myocardial scar is the main determinant for VA in these patients. Cardiac magnetic resonance imaging (CMR), using late gadolinium enhancement (LGE), has an excellent ability to determine the extension and characterization of myocardial scar, indeed CMR can potentially improve SCD risk stratification and indication for ICD implantation in patients with myocarditis.

CASE PRESENTATION

A 36 years-old male was admitted to the Emergency Department with a monomorphic sustained ventricular tachycardia in which MRI revealed myocardial-pericardial recurrent inflammatory involvement and worsening disease progression. ICD was implanted in consideration of the high risk of life-threatening arrhythmias.
Department complaining chest pain and palpitations arose playing soccer. Six months before he had suffered from acute pericarditis treated with non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and corticosteroid. He did not make any mention about other previous pathologies and there was no family history of sudden cardiac death or cardiovascular diseases. He has rarely smoked and he occasionally drank alcoholic beverage.

After his admission to the hospital a physical examination revealed a heart rate of 160 bpm and a normal blood pressure [125/70 mmHg], without other signs of heart failure. A 12-lead electrocardiogram [ECG] showed a monomorphic ventricular tachycardia [VT] with right bundle branch block [RBBB] morphology and left axis deviation (Figure 1). The VT was not stopped by either endovenous lidocaine or amiodarone; it was interrupted with endovenous metoprolol. Blood tests made known elevated high-sensitive troponin T levels [2.3 ng/mL, upper limit:0.014 ng/mL]. Cardiotropic viral serology and autoantibody serum tests were negative. A trans-thoracic echocardiogram showed mild left ventricular [LV] systolic dysfunction, with an LV ejection fraction [LVEF] of 48%, mild LV dilation [end-diastolic volume: 120 mL] and LV wall motion abnormalities of the posterior-inferior and lateral walls. Two days after his admission his ECG showed a ST-segment elevation in lead D1-D2-aVL-V5-V6 and reciprocal ST depression in lead D3 and aVR. A coronary angiography ruled out the coronary artery disease. CMR revealed mild LV systolic dysfunction [LVEF=47%], edema of inferior basal LV wall, severe hypokinesia of inferior basal LV wall associated with circumferential subepicardial delayed enhancement [DE] and intramyocardial DE of the septum, as a result of myocardial-pericardial recurrent inflammatory involvement. The patient was discharged on beta-blockers and ace-inhibitor with a diagnosis of recurrent myocardial pericarditis.

At 3 and 6 months follow up, the ventricular ectopic burden on 24h-Holter ECG monitoring progressively increased to 20% and 35%, respectively. Moreover, a sustained monomorphic VT was registered at the Holter ECG monitoring [ventricular rate of 180 bpm, RBBB morphology]. The patient was re-admitted for further tests. When the patient was admitted to the hospital he was hemodynamic stable without heart failure symptoms. A second CMR revealed LV dilation and a further reduction in LV systolic function [LVEF=40%]; an almost transmural extent of DE in the inferior basal wall, subepicardial DE in lateral wall, and a persistence of intramyocardial DE in the interventricular septum were found, suggesting worsening disease progression. In consideration of the clinical-instrumental evolution and the high risk of life-threatening arrhythmias, it was suggested an ICD implantation. Furthermore, the possibility for catheter ablation was considered as a second-line therapy in case of other arrhythmic events during follow-up although optimal medical therapy.

**DISCUSSION**

The case in this report could represent different stages of myocarditis: acute pericarditis, acute active myocarditis with mild impaired LV systolic function and monomorphic VT, chronic myocarditis with worsening disease progression characterized by LV dilatation, further impairment of LV systolic function and VT recurrence.

The diagnosis of acute myocarditis is challenging. In a recent multicenter study enrolling one hundred-four patients with acute myocarditis, Scicchitano et al, outlined a score [D.A.M.E. score: Diagnosis of Acute Myocarditis in Emergency Score] for the fast identification of patients with myocarditis since the first presentation to the Emergency Department [15]. The DAME score included six variables: fever, chest pain, erythrocyte sedimentation rate > 20 mm/h, C-reactive protein >3 mg/L, troponin serum levels > 3 ng/L, and left ventricle ejection fraction < 50%. A score of 7 was able to identify patients with myocarditis with good diagnostic accuracy [AUC 0.958, sensibility 100%, specificity 85%]. DAME score can be a valid diagnostic tool for the evaluation of patients presenting to the emergency department with a suspected myocarditis.

Acute myocarditis is often a transient condition followed by complete recovery; however VA can occur in the acute phase of the disease. Usually polymorphic VT are seen in acute myocarditis and monomorphic VT are more common in the
Figure 2 A 12-lead electrocardiogram showing a ST-segment elevation in lead D1-D2-aVL-V5-V6 and reciprocal ST depression in lead D3 and aVR.

Figure 3 MRI showing edema of inferior basal left ventricular (LV) wall, circumferential subepicardial delayed enhancement (DE) as a result of myocardial-pericardial recurrent inflammatory involvement, more evident at the inferior basal LV wall, as well as intramyocardial DE of the septum.

Figure 4 MRI showing left ventricular (LV) dilation, transmural extent of delayed enhancement (DE) in the inferior basal wall, subepicardial DE in lateral wall, and a persistence of intramyocardial DE in the interventricular septum, suggesting worsening disease progression.

chronic phase [3]. Symptomatic non-sustained VT can be treated with antiarrhythmic drugs whereas sustained VT requires urgent cardioversion followed by electrocardiographic monitoring and antiarrhythmic drugs in order to prevent the recurrence of arrhythmia [7]. ICD implantation is not indicated in the acute myocarditis because arrhythmia risk may resolve. A wearable cardioverter-defibrillator has a potential role in patients with acute myocarditis and high risk for SCD [16]. Conversely ICD implantation may be indicated in patients with life-threatening VA who are not in the acute phase of myocarditis and who are receiving optimal medical therapy. However to date, there are no specific indications for ICD implantation in patients with myocarditis, and LVEF is used as the main criterion for stratifying the risk of SCD in these patients [7].

Numerous investigations have proved that a reduced LVEF has low sensitivity and low specificity for the prediction of SCD [7]. In fact the majority of SCD cases occur in patients with preserved or moderately reduced LVEF [10,11]. Moreover relatively few patients with reduced LVEF will benefit from an ICD implantation because they will never experience a life-threatening arrhythmic event [17;18], therefore they are exposed to unnecessary procedural risks with useless healthcare costs [19].

Myocardial scar is the main substrate for re-entrant VT [20]. Diffuse fibrosis, commonly found in patients with DCM, can cause electrophysiological derangements leading to ventricular fibrillation. Both myocardial scar and diffuse fibrosis can be studied using CMR. LGE on CMR is able to detect myocardial scar with good accuracy [21] and is a robust predictor of VA and SCD in patients with DCM [22]. T1 mapping on CMR can quantified diffuse fibrosis and it is associated with worse outcomes in patients with DCM [23]. Thus, CMR using LGE and T1 mapping is
a powerful tool for stratifying arrhythmic risk and indication for primary ICD in patients with DCM.

In conclusion CMR plays a pivotal role in patients with myocarditis [24]: it enables detection features of active infection as hyperemia, edema and accompanying pericardial effusion, it allows to quantify ventricular size and function [regional and global wall motion abnormalities, LVEF quantification], and more importantly, it is able to detect the presence of myocardial scar and the extension of myocardial fibrosis that are both associated with arrhythmic risk [22].

Future randomized clinical trials should investigate the role of MRI for arrhythmic risk stratification in patients with myocarditis. It can improve patients selection for ICD implantation and SCD prevention, bridging a gap still present in the current international guidelines [7].
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