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Abstract

The 3-dimensional positioning of a dental implant is a key factor in achieving an adequate esthetic result. If a dental implant is placed too far facially, especially in the maxillary anterior sextant, gingival recession can be expected, which eventually may lead to a compromised esthetic result. This clinical case report represents the surgical and prosthetic management of a 5 mm gingival recession over implant #8 due to an inadequate buccal-lingual position of the dental implant as well as an overcontoured provisional crown. Numerous techniques for addressing dental implants esthetic complications have been described in the literature; however the predictability and long term success of such procedures is yet to be determined. Surgical management and treatment consisted on the debridement of the granulomatous tissue and encapsulated previous xenograft bone particles, air abrasion of the implant with aluminum oxide particles, and application of tetracycline paste over the implant surface. FDBA (RegenerOss Allograft, Biomet 3i, Palm Beach Gardens, FL, USA), rhPDGF-BB (GEM 21s, Osteohealth, Shirley, NY, USA), a resorbable cross-linked collagen membrane (OsseoGuard Flex, Biomet 3i, Palm Beach Gardens, FL, USA) and a palatal pedicle graft were chosen and used.

INTRODUCTION

Over the past decade there has been a steady increase in the number of dental implants placed in the United States and growth in the field of implant dentistry is projected to continue at approximately 12% annually [1].

Implant esthetic complications are very demanding for the clinical practitioners. These complications can be caused by many factors including but not limited to, malposition implants and overcontour restorations. Reproduction of the form and function of a single tooth, including the papilla and the facial gingiva, is paramount when it comes to restoring aesthetics. If the initial scenario is ideal, esthetic results are based on more controllable factors involving the 3-dimensional position of the implant within the surrounding hard and soft tissue [2].

Proper implant placement is vital for a satisfactory and esthetic result [2]. If an implant is placed too far facially, recession can be expected [3,4,5,6] leading to an esthetic implant failure. Similarly, an over-contoured abutment or restoration can worsen this recession by displacing the soft tissues more apically [7].

The literature describes numerous techniques to be used in addressing dental implant esthetic complications [8,9,10,11], however the predictability and long term success of such techniques and procedures are yet to be determined, especially in the anterior region.

METHODS

A healthy 40-year-old presented to the Postgraduate Periodontics dental clinic at Nova Southeastern University, College of Dental Medicine, Fort Lauderdale, Florida. His chief complaint was the uneven gingival margins between teeth #8,9 and a dark shadow underneath the gingiva in tooth #8. The past dental history revealed an implant-supported restoration on site #8 with a 5mm facial gingival recession. Also, tooth #7 presented with loss of periodontal attachment as well as scar tissue from previous surgeries (Figure 1). Radiographic examination revealed normal bone levels (Figure 2).

Alternative treatment plan options were presented and discussed with the patient, including removing implant #8, grafting and replacing at a later time. However, treatment option of soft tissue and hard tissue grafting over implant #8 was chosen.

The temporary crown and healing abutment were removed and a cover screw was placed over implant #8. A tooth supported provisional restoration was placed (Figure 3). After 6 weeks soft tissue grew over the cover screw and patient was ready for the corrective soft and hard tissue surgery.

Topical and local anesthetic were applied. Crestal incision was performed from palatal line angle to line angle of teeth #7,9. Two vertical apically divergent incisions were performed at the mesial line angles of #7 and #9 to ensure a broad blood supply to...
the flap, which was reflected full thickness. Upon flap reflection, the implant showed a significant loss of buccal bone plate (Figure 4). Granulomatous tissue and encapsulated xenograft particles were removed by mechanical debridement, using titanium curettes and aided by ultrasonic instruments. Air abrasion of the implant was then performed with aluminum oxide particles to remove any remaining granulomatous tissue and to resurface the implant. Thorough irrigation was performed with saline solution and Chlorhexidine 0.12%. Tetracycline paste was then applied for 2 minutes and then a second irrigation was conducted (Figure 5). The defect was grafted with FDBA (RegenerOss Allograft, Biomet 3i, Palm Beach Gardens, FL, USA), rhPDGF-BB (GEM 21s, Osteohealth, Shirley, NY, USA) and covered with a resorbable cross-linked collagen membrane (OsseoGuard Flex, Biomet 3i, Palm Beach Gardens, FL, USA) (Figure 6). A split thickness incision was performed in the palate from distal line angle of tooth #7 extending to tooth #3 at about 2mm from the gingival margins. Tissue was undermined with a 15 blade 5 mm away from the incision to obtain sub epithelial connective tissue. Connective tissue was harvested, but it remained attached at the most anterior region to preserve its blood supply. The palatal pedicle flap was rolled over the collagen membrane to increase the blood support and to thicken the gingival complex, and to cover a 3x3 mm soft tissue dehiscence that was present in origin (Figure 7,8) Flaps were sutured with 6/0 PGA sutures and the tooth supported provisional restoration was recemented (Figure 9,10)

RESULTS

At one week postoperative visit, the surgical site appeared to be healing within normal limits with slight swelling, but no signs of infection could be detected. The palatal donor site presented with sloughing, however the patient reported no pain (Figure 11, 12).

At four weeks postoperative visit healing normally progressed, and the palatal tissue, which sloughed, was epithelialized (Figure 13).

At six weeks postoperative visit soft tissue healing appeared to be complete and individual composite temporary crowns were placed (Figure 14). The emergence profile of #8 was carefully developed. Critical contour followed the shape of #9 and subcritical contour was undersized, especially on the buccal, to prevent future recession.

At three months, the gingival margins of the two central
Figure 7 A palatal pedicle graft was rolled over the membrane to provide adequate tissue thickness and increase the blood supply.

Figure 8 Buccal view of the bone and soft tissue graft prior suturing.

Figure 9 Flaps were sutured with 6/0 poliglactin multifilament sutures.

Figure 10 Immediate postoperative with the acrylic bonded provisional in place.

Figure 11 One week post-operative palatal donor site.

Figure 12 One week postoperative view of the buccal site.

Figure 13 Healing at 4 weeks. Epithelization of the palatal donor site and adequate buccal contours.

Figure 14 Individual composite temporary crowns.

Figure 15 Individual composite temporary crowns.

incisors were in harmony. Probing depths around #8 were 3,3,3 with no bleeding or suppuration. Although, there was an improvement in soft tissue thickness and attached gingiva, there is a 2 mm recession at the mesiobuccal line angle of tooth #7, probably due to the number of surgeries perform on this patient. (Figure 15) Patient is currently undergoing orthodontic and restorative treatments.

DISCUSSION

This clinical case report represents the importance of preoperative planning and clinical assessment before placing dental implants in the esthetic zone. It also shows the importance of proper 3-dimensional implant placement and how challenging and demanding malposition complications can be.

The successful treatment of an implant esthetic complication due to a malposition of the dental implant is often to be determined by the degree of the malposition, the diameter and the design of the implant [8].

The treatment goal for this patient was to improve the
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