Case with Radiopaque Findings in Gingival Area at the time of Abutment Placement
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Abstract
X-ray examination is important in implant treatment, particularly at the time of abutment mounting in order to assess the condition of the peri-implant bone. On the other hand, there are various pigmented oral mucosal lesions, with metal tattoo being one of the most common. Here, we describe a case with radiopaque findings in the gingival area at the time of abutment placement, and after review of the pre- and post-surgical oral photographs and X-ray images, it confirmed that metal tattoo was the cause of radiopaque findings. Therefore, it is necessary to distinguish such radiopaque findings from those indicating separation of the bone or remaining dentin. When a metal tattoo is present in the oral mucosa, it may lead to radiopaque findings similar to separation of the bone or remaining dentin.

INTRODUCTION
X-ray examination is important in implant treatment, particularly at the time of abutment mounting in order to assess the condition of the peri-implant bone, as well as to confirm the fit between the abutment and dental implant. On the other hand, there are various pigmented oral mucosal lesions, with metal tattoo being one of the most common. But there are few reports about the X-ray view which forms by a metal barrel tattoo.

Here, we describe a case with radiopaque findings in the gingival area at the time of abutment placement, and after review of the pre- and post-surgical oral photographs and X-ray images, it confirmed that metal tattoo was the cause of radiopaque findings [1].

CASE PRESENTATION
Patient
73-year-old woman.

Medical history
Patient had hypertension, hyperlipidemia and hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, and was taking Olmesartan (Olmetec ©), Amlodipine (Norvasc ©) and Pitavastatin (Livalo ©).

Dental history
The patient visited the Department of Geriatric Dentistry, Showa University Dental Hospital on October 9, 2013, with a chief complaint of difficulty chewing due to poor fit of the upper denture. The upper right second molar (tooth 17) was extracted because of severe dental caries, and the upper denture was repaired and adjusted. Subsequently, on September 17, 2014, she returned to our hospital complaining of discomfort in the lower left molar region during mastication. The results of exploration and diagnosis of the oral cavity confirmed that the root of the lower left second premolar (tooth 35) was fractured and required extraction (Figure 1). After extraction of tooth 35, as tooth 34 was already missing, an immediate denture was inserted at teeth 34 and 35. Subsequently, the denture was adjusted and repaired. However, the patient wanted further mastication ability, and two fixtures (Branemark System MkIII) of 3.75 mm (length, 10 and 8.5 mm) were placed using the submerged technique at teeth 34 and 35 on May 27, 2015. Second-stage surgery was performed and healing abutments (5 mm diameter × 3 mm height; Nobel Biocare, Zurich, Switzerland) were attached to the fixture. Before impression, abutments (Multi-unit Abutment 1 mm; Nobel Biocare) were attached to the fixture and X-ray images were...
obtained in order to confirm the fit between the abutment and fixture. Radiopaque findings were noted in the gingival area (Figure 2) on January 6, 2016. The abutments were then removed in order to assess the mucosa around the fixture, and foreign substances such as cement or bone fragments were not observed. However, a metal tattoo was noted (Figure 3). It considered that might not have been sufficiently confirmed, we re-assessed dental X-ray images and oral photographs obtained before tooth 35 extraction, it had recognized radiopaque findings and the metal tattoo gums that may have penetrated at the time of extraction or preparation of 34 (Figure 4). In addition, oral photographs, dental X-ray images obtained before fixture placement or second-stage surgery, and noted clear point-like the high density structures in the gingival area (Figures 5,6). Incidentally, it was confirmed Computed Tomography (CT) images obtained before fixture placement, also showed a similar opaque image on the mucosa (Figure 7). The degree of radiopacity in the gingival region in X-ray images differed between Figures 2, 6.

**Diagnosis**

Radiopaque findings in the gingival area were caused by metal tattoo.

**Subsequent treatments**

Because the site was in the molar region, the patient was not concerned about the pigmented gingival lesions, and we intend to fabricate an implant-supported prosthesis and observe the pigmented gingival lesions during routine checkups.

**DISCUSSION**

If metal tattoos are present in the oral mucosa, it is necessary to recognize that they may cause radiopaque findings on X-ray images. Depending on when the X-ray images are taken, radiopaque findings can vary from clear point-metal-like opaque image boundaries to opaque image with unclear bone-like boundaries. Therefore, it is necessary to distinguish such radiopaque findings from those indicating separation of the bone
or remaining dentin. In addition, if the prosthetic device is fixed with dental cement, if the prosthetic device is fixed with dental cement, its opaque image may need to be distinguished from dental cement.

As metal tattoos cause aesthetic problems such as anterior region, surgical removal may be necessary if the patient is determined. Though this case are not related to aesthetics, metal tattoos may cause metal allergy which may affect the surrounding healing and chronic inflammation of the implant. Therefore, we will check up the metal tattoos of this patient carefully. Moreover, removing metal restorations for re-treatment is necessary, and dentists should pay close attention to avoid causing metal tattoos [2-5].

CONCLUSIONS

When a metal tattoo is present in the oral mucosa, it may lead to radiopaque findings similar to separation of the bone or remaining dentin.
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