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Abstract

Fundic gland polyps (FGPs) are the most common type of gastric polyps (up to 50%). They are found in up to 0.8-1.9% of the general population, and in 40-84% of the patients suffering from familial polyposis syndromes. They might be sporadic or associated to polyposis syndromes. When the former, they should be considered exclusively benign lesions, and possibly related to the chronic use of proton pump inhibitors; the latter, associated to familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP) or attenuated familial adenomatous polyposis (AFAP), may not be a completely hamartomatous process as previously suggested, but carry a risk of dysplasia leading to malignancy. We highlight in this case series of four patients, with underlying familial polyposis syndromes, the adenomatous and carcinomatous degeneration of the gastric FGPs, and we provide an overview of the major clinical features concerning syndromic FGPs, to add an argument to the published data, that FGPs-associated polyposis syndromes represent a dysplastic precursor, and their natural history is also subject to the rule of adenoma-carcinoma progression. Modern endoscopic assessment of FGP like electronic coloration could help to differentiate typical FGP from those with adenomatous component or dysplasia and to manage them endoscopically. Syndromic FGPs deserve more attention for their neoplastic potential, and may warrant close endoscopic surveillance for early detection of dysplasia even when the colorectal disease is eradicated.

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

FAP: Familial adenomatous polyposis; AFAP: Attenuated familial adenomatous polyposis; APC: Adenomatous polyposis coli; FGPs: Fundic gland polyps.

INTRODUCTION

FAP and its attenuated form are autosomal dominant inherited conditions-predisposing cancer, characterized by diffuse intestinal polyposis, causally linked to bi-allelic germline inactivation of the APC gene located on the chromosome 5q21-22 in up to 80% of the patients [1,2]. Advances in the prophylactic treatment of FAP, which resulted in reduction of mortality due to colorectal cancer, make the detection of extra-colonic manifestations (i.e. gastro-duodenal) more common, and lifelong surveillance is mandatory even when the colorectal disease is eradicated [3]. In FAP, the general risk for development of an adenocarcinoma in the gastro-duodenal region is 300 times higher than in the general population. The lifetime risk of developing gastric cancer in familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP) is about 0.5-1% [4]. FGPs also known as Elster’s polyps are the most common gastric polyps in FAP [5]. The management of FGP-associated polyposis syndromes is viewed as a challenging clinical and endoscopic problem; they have usually been regarded as non-neoplastic hamartomas; nevertheless gastric cancer and high-grade dysplasia had been reported [6-11]. Although sporadic and FAP-associated FGPs are endoscopically and histologically indistinguishable, they are pathogenetically distinct. The genetics features of FGP-associated FAP were well studied and concluded that there are somatic, second-hit APC gene alterations in more than 50% of syndromic FGPs, which precede morphological dysplasia. In addition, at least some syndromic FGPs involve the APC pathway typically seen in the setting of FAP, with possible implication of other genes, and subsequently leading to their progressive degeneration [12, 13]. This suggests that dysplastic transformation of FGPs in patients with FAP occurs more frequently than previously believed. On the other hand, the detection of FGPs is associated with an increased incidence...
of colorectal polyps, especially AFAP [14, 15]. However, there is a paucity of specific practical clinical guidance for the management of such lesions. Modern endoscopic assessment of FGP could help to differentiate typical FGP from those with adenomatous component or dysplasia (Figure 1a and 1b). From a prognostic point of view, current screening recommendations may not be sufficient, given that these polyps could represent dysplastic precursors in the setting of familial polyposis syndromes. We present four FAP/AFAP patients who developed advanced dysplastic/neoplastic lesions on a background of FGP, and we report a summary of the literature [16-20]. The aim of this case series is to clarify whether patients with FAP needs more frequent monitoring with gastroscopy in case of FGP, to look for the possibility of dysplasia and even malignancy.

CASE REPORTS

Case 1

A 24-year-old man, smoker, previously diagnosed with FAP. His father has also been diagnosed as having FAP. He underwent a prophylactic total colectomy with ileoanal anastomosis, when he was 16 years old. He presented to our institution for endoscopic follow-up and surveillance planning. A rectoscopy was performed and showed normal pouch. At the same time, upper gastrointestinal endoscopy was done and showed a tiny 3 mm duodenal polyp, removed by snare polypectomy. The antrum mucosa was normal, but the body and fundus were carpeted with small polyps ranging in size from 2 to 5 mm. In addition, there was a large 2.5cm "ear shape" polyp, located in the midfundus, along the greater curvature (Figure 2a and 2b). Subsequent endoscopic ultrasound of the fundus proved no extension beyond the mucosa. Multiple biopsy specimens were obtained from small polyps as well as large polyp. Pathological examination of small fundic polyps showed simple hyperplasia of the fundic glands and microcysts consistent with FGP. Biopsies from the large polyp proved tubular adenoma. Later on, the patient underwent another therapeutic endoscopic session for endoscopic mucosal resection of the large fundic polyp after saline sub mucosal injection (Figure 2c, 2d, 2e). Histopathologically, the large fundic polyp measures 22 x10 x18 mm, displayed moderate focal glandular epithelial atypia, nuclear enlargement, and pseudo-stratification, with some regular mitoses consistent with dysplastic tubular adenoma, intimately related to FGP without evidence of malignancy (Figure 3a and 3b). Immunohistochemistry using MIB1 for detection of antigen Ki-67 proved proliferating dysplastic cells (Figure 3c).

Case 2

A 62-year-old woman, known to have AFAP, underwent total colectomy with ileo-rectal anastomosis at the age of 45. Surveillance by upper and lower gastrointestinal endoscopy was performed regularly. The last one showed normal rectal and ileal mucosa; however the stomach showed numerous fundic gland polyps, many of them had a large diameter ranging from 1 to 3 cm, along the great curvature (Figure 4a). Biopsies confirmed the adeno-villous type of these polyps with low-grade dysplasia. Therapeutic endoscopic procedure was performed for resection of the large polyps (Figure 4b and 4c). Extensive mucosectomy had been successful to resect a large area of gastric fundus affected by large polyps (Figure 4d). Pathologic examination confirmed the adenomatous nature of these FGP, and low and high grade dysplasias were described in many fragments of the mucosectomy.

Case 3

A 65-year-old woman had history of FAP, treated by procto-colectomy and ileo-anal anastomosis at the age of 29 years, complicated with anal incontinence. 20 years later, she underwent surgical ampullectomy for a papillary adenoma with high-grade dysplasia. The patient was followed annually by upper gastrointestinal endoscopy, which showed FGP Carpeting the fundus, and after eight years, there was appearance of 2 giant fundic polyps in the background of FGP. The 2 polyps were removed by mucosectomy, and argon plasma coagulation was applied for the rest of FGP with moderate size and possible adenomatous changes by FICE (Fujinon Intelligent Chromo Endoscopy). Pathological studies described tubulo-villous adenomas harboring carcinoma-in-situ, with negative margins and without infiltration. Two years later, gastroscopy showed numerous FGP and 3 polyps: one had a large size of 3 cm, and 2 had a medium size of 1 cm. Those polyps were resected by mucosectomy, and histopathological examination revealed tubular adenomas with high-grade dysplasia in close relation to FGP. The patient refused prophylactic total gastrectomy and continued to receive endoscopic surveillance.

Case 4

A 68-year-old woman, known to have FAP with APC.
mutation, treated with prophylactic total procto-colectomy and ileoanal anastomosis at the age of 56 years. At that time, histological studies revealed an invasive adenocarcinoma of the sigmoid colon, managed post-operatively by chemotherapy. Six years later, upon the endoscopic surveillance of the upper gastrointestinal tract, we had the following findings: numerous FGPs, multiple duodenal polyps with histological features of high-grade dysplasia, carcinoma-in-situ and high-grade dysplasia of the ampullary adenoma. Duodenal polyps and ampullary adenoma were treated with cephalic duodeno-pancreatectomy. Regular follow-up of the stomach by gastroscopy showed increase in the size of some FGPs, among them there was a giant fundic polyp of 8 cm diameter. This polyp was successfully resected by mucosectomy, and pathology showed villous adenoma without malignancy. One year later, upper gastrointestinal endoscopy showed a large polyp of 15 mm in the fundus, in intimate relation with the numerous FGPs. This polyp was also resected by mucosectomy. The other polyps were treated with plasma argon coagulation when they had features of possible dysplasia displayed by FICE. Pathological studies reported degeneration of FGP to carcinoma-in-situ with normal margins. After six month, gastroscopy revealed 2 polyps of 1 cm diameter in the fundus that were removed by mucosectomy and pathology confirmed the presence of focal high-grade dysplasia. The patient refused prophylactic gastrectomy and continued to undergo annual endoscopic surveillance of her stomach.

**DISCUSSION**

FGPs are the most common gastric polyps in both polyposis syndromes and normal population. They account for more than 50% of all gastric polyps. Studies estimate that 52-88% of FAP have FGPs. Unlike colonic adenomas, the number of gastric-FGPs
Figure 3 Photomicrograph of FGP with some microcysts and other part exhibit adenomatous gland with mild dysplasia (HESx100 and x200). 3c- Immunohistochemical staining for the proliferation marker Ki-67 showing numerous proliferating cells in the adenomatous glands with mild dysplasia (x400).

Figure 4a Gastric polyps (FGPs and adenomas) before multiple EMR.

Figure 4b EMR of adenomatous FGP (snare resection).
is not attenuated in AFAP. Out of FAP or AFAP syndrome, FGPs are usually asymptomatic and discovered incidentally at endoscopy [5,21]. They are usually ranging in size between 1 to 3.5 mm in diameter [22,23]. Helicobacter pylori is absent in the majority of cases [24,25]. A significant proportion of patients with FGPs have co-existent colorectal polyps; for this reason, a thorough diagnostic work-up of the colon in patients with Elster’s polyps is recommended, mainly in younger patients when multiple FGPs are encountered [14,21]. Endoscopically, FGPs appear as small sessile hemispherical-shaped elevations, sharply demarcated, with a smooth surface contour or slightly nodular; sometimes with a waist but no clear stalk (Figure 1a). Their color is pink, resembling the surrounding mucosa but sometimes they are pale [1,22]. On biopsy, polyps chunk off or detach entirely at the base. The most characteristic finding differentiating FGPs from hyperplastic polyps, adenomas, and polypoid carcinomas is their distribution. Almost all fundic gland polyps are located in the fundus and body of the stomach, near the greater curvature, almost exclusively in a normal mucosa, with sparing of the antrum. Preliminary data of chromoendoscopy evaluation by indigo carmin has limited usefulness in detecting potentially premalignant changes in FGP in FAP [5,26]. Electronic colorations might also help to identify adenomatous component occurring in FGP (Figure 1b).

In sporadic, non syndromic FGPs, fewer than 10 polyps are observed in the stomach, and are identified in 0.80 to 1.90 % of normal population [16], more commonly in middle aged women, with a female-to-male ratio of 5/1 [23]. Sporadic FGPs are observed also more frequently in about 7.3 % of patients on long-term use of proton-pump inhibitors [24,27,28]. In the syndromic form, numerous FGPs are usually observed, often numbering in the hundreds, carpeting approximately all the fundus, and occur at a younger age, as early as 8-year-old, without gender preponderance [23,25]. Histopathologically, FGPs are characterized by hyperplasia of surface and foveolar epithelia. There are shallow pits, cystic dilated and irregularly fundic glands in a background of otherwise normal gastric mucosa, and lined by flattened parietals cells, chief cells and variable numbers of mucus neck cells [29,30]. No differences have been detected between sporadic and FAP-associated FGPs with respect to endoscopic, morphological, or mucin histochemical features. In contrast to sporadic FGPs, syndromic FGPs frequently show foveolar dysplasia including a 25% prevalence of low-grade dysplasia in FAP [31-35], and often are preceded by dysregulation of epithelial proliferation when the morphologic abnormalities are indefinite for dysplasia [33]. A high frequency of somatic APC alterations was present in syndromic FGP in more than 50% of polyps. These results show that FGPs in FAP patients are pathogenetically distinct from sporadic FGPs, and indicate that FGPs arising in the setting of FAP are neoplastic lesions [37-39].

It is generally believed that FGP have little or no potential for
malignant transformation in the population at large; but recently multiple case reports describe the development of high-grade dysplasia or gastric adenocarcinoma associated FGP in patients with FAP [6-11]. Knowledge of the position of the germline APC mutation may benefit the clinical management of FGPs, and more frequent screening could be offered to certain patients, particularly those with germline APC mutations distal to codon 1400 [40]. In the present series, the last three patients were older (median age, 60 years) than the first patient (24 years), and had a longer duration of disease (median duration, 45 years): two among them had severe duodenal disease managed by surgery, and more interestingly, all four patients had all the stages of degeneration of the disease from low-moderate grade dysplasia to carcinoma-in-situ. All the large FGP lesions were found in the midfundus, where numerous FGP were present.

There is uniform agreement that there is a close relationship between the size of the polyp and the neoplastic potential. A recent prospective multivariate analysis with 75 FAP patients identified risk factors associated with an increased risk of dysplasia, reaching 44% in this series [41]. These risk factors were larger FGP size (Odds Ratio 4) and higher stage of duodenal polyposis (Odds Ratio 2.3) [41]. In our series, 2 patients had no duodenal polyps or only one small polyp, whereas the 2 others had previously undergone surgery for adenocarcinoma of the papilla or of the duodenum. A recent case of gastric cancer arising in FAP with large FGP has recently been reported [41, 42]. Based on our findings, we recommend that when multiple FGPs are encountered in different sizes or exhibit an unusual appearance (Figure 1a and 1b), a biopsy of the largest polyps should be performed or they should be excised if more than 10 mm diameter, and representative biopsy specimens should be taken randomly from some others, and examined histologically for the indolent presence of dysplasia or malignant transformation. It is usually recognized that distinguishing FGPs from FGPs with adenomatous component is not easy despite the use of indigo carmine or virtual coloration. However in our 4 patients, this was possible (Figure 1a and b) leading to endoscopic mucosal resection and follow-up with endoscopic surveillance (Figure 2 and 4). Until now, no recommendations are available about the need or the modality for endoscopic surveillance of FGPs polyps in FAP [41, 42].

Obviously, with the development of more effective prophylactic surgery and endoscopic treatment for colonic and duodenal disease of FAP, the stomach might be the next problem, especially with the increased risk of degeneration of FGPs. Endoscopic treatment options for these lesions could include snare excision and argon plasma coagulation. An outstanding review of the management of gastric polyyps by Carmack and colleagues had been published and found that the risk factors for dysplasia-malignancy in syndromic FGPs include polyps larger than 1 cm and increased severity of duodenal polyposis, [43]. As duodenal polyposis, we think that syndromic FGPs slowly progress in size, number, and histology, and eventually develop malignancy, which may appear later in life [44]. A Consensus on the management of syndromic-FGPs is required, and more recognition of their natural history seems mandatory, to reliably report and follow the course of these polyps. Our case series, and consistently with previous studies, raises doubts about the benign nature of FGP-associated FAP. The need for an appropriate surveillance and management recommendations for syndromic FGPs is obvious, and should be undertaken based upon the preliminary results of our study. Additional studies on the behavior of FGPs in FAP and AFAP patients are warranted, to improve the prognosis of patients with Familial polyposis.
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