MTA1 and MTA3 Regulate HIF1α Expression in Hypoxia-Treated Human Trophoblast Cell Line HTR8/Svneo
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Abstract

Hypoxia plays an important role in placental trophoblast differentiation and function during early pregnancy. Hypoxia-inducible factor 1 alpha (HIF1α) is known to regulate cellular adaption to hypoxic conditions. However, our current understanding of the role of HIF1α in trophoblast physiology is far from complete. Metastasis Associated Protein 1 and 3 (MTA1 and MTA3) are components of the Nucleosome Remodeling and Deacetylase (NuRD) complex, a chromatin remodeling complex, and are highly expressed in term placental trophoblasts. However, the role of MTA1 and MTA3 in the hypoxic placental environment of early pregnancy is unknown. In the present study, we examined the association among MTA1, MTA3 and HIF1α expression under hypoxic conditions in trophoblasts both in vivo and in vitro. We first investigated the localization of MTA1 and MTA3 with HIF1α expression in the placental trophoblast of 1st trimester placenta via immunohistochemistry. Our data reveals that under physiologically hypoxic environment, MTA1 and MTA3 along with HIF1α are highly expressed by villous trophoblasts. Next, we investigated the effect of hypoxia on these genes in vitro using the first trimester-derived HTR8/SVneo cell line and observed up-regulation of MTA1 and MTA3 as well as HIF1α protein following hypoxia treatment. To investigate the direct effect of MTA1 and MTA3 upon HIF1α, we over-expressed MTA1 and MTA3 genes in HTR8/SVneo cells respectively and examined protein levels of HIF1α via Western blot as well as HIF1α target gene expression using a luciferase assay driven by a hypoxia-response element promoter (HRE-luciferase). We found that over-expressions of MTA1 and MTA3 up-regulate both HIF1α protein level and HRE-luciferase activity under hypoxic condition. In summary, both MTA1 and MTA3 are induced by hypoxia and up-regulate HIF1α expression and HIF1α target gene expression in trophoblasts. These data suggest that MTA1 and MTA3 play critical roles in trophoblast function and differentiation during early pregnancy.

INTRODUCTION

Trophoblast is a unique cell type initially formed within the highly hypoxic environment of early pregnancy which gradually becomes normoxic as placentation progresses. During the first trimester of human pregnancy (8-week) and prior to extravillous trophoblast invasion and spiral artery remodeling, trophoblasts exist in a physiologically hypoxic environment (1 to 2% O2) [1,2]. However, by 12-week of pregnancy and following completion of spiral artery remodeling, the O2 partial pressure has increased significantly (5 to 8%, the “normoxic condition”) [3]. Hence, the hypoxia environment is important for proper trophoblast differentiation and development during the early stages of pregnancy.

Beside its role in normal trophoblast development in the early pregnancy, hypoxia also represents a significant pathological factor contributing to the placental abnormalities seen in trophoblast-related diseases, such as preeclampsia. Hypoxia has been shown to directly induce the trophoblast apoptosis and has been linked to the insufficient placentation seen in preeclamptic placenta [4]. Due to the importance of hypoxia on trophoblast physiology and pathology understanding the response and adaption of trophoblasts to hypoxia is critical for the trophoblast survival, differentiation and function. These mechanisms are also beneficial for the inference and medicine of preeclampsia, the most prevalent of pregnancy diseases.

Hypoxia-inducible factor1 (HIF1α) is a hypoxia response transcription factor that regulates fundamental cellular processes including glycolysis, erythropoiesis, angiogenesis and the prevention of apoptosis in response to the low oxygen pressure [5,6]. Its major function is to enable cellular adaption to and survival in hypoxic environments. Previous studies suggest that intracellular level of HIF1α are tightly regulated, as abnormally high level of HIF1α have been documented within preeclamptic placenta, and overexpression of HIF1α under normoxic condition has been shown to induce trophoblast apoptosis [7]. HIF1α is a heterodimeric basic helix-loop-helix structure, composed by

two subunits, HIF1α and HIF1β. HIF1α is regulated by O₂ partial pressure and rapidly degraded under the normoxic condition with a half-life of less than 5 min. Hence, HIF1 activity is dependent mainly upon available amounts of HIF1α.

It is known that HIF1α regulation occurs predominantly at the post-translation level via protein stabilization in response to O₂ partial pressure. However, recent studies in cancer cells have shown that HIF1α is also responsive to post-translational modification, such as acetylation [8]. However, studies examining the regulatory mechanism of HIF1α protein stability within trophoblasts are limited.

MTA1 and MTA3 are components of the Nucleosome Remodeling and Deacetylation complex (NuRD) which regulate protein acetylation (e.g. histone) via its de-acetylation activity. MTA1 and MTA3 are expressed in full term placenta [9], and have been previously shown to regulate genes implicated in trophoblast fusion and invasion [10]. However, the expression of MTA1 and MTA3 in the hypoxic placenta of early pregnancy and an examination into their potential role in hypoxia response and HIF1α regulation within trophoblasts has not been reported. Recent studies have shown that, in cancer cells, overexpression of MTA1 up-regulates HIF1α protein level via adjusting its acetylation level [11]. Hence in this study, we investigated whether MTA1 and MTA3 regulate HIF1α in the placental trophoblasts of early pregnancy. Our results show that MTA1 and MTA3 are involved in the hypoxia response cascade through regulation of HIF1α protein level in trophoblasts.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Placental samples Immunohistochemistry (IHC)

De-identified formalin-fixed and paraffin wax embedded blocks of 9-week human placenta sections were obtained from Michigan State University’s Center for Women’s Health Research, Human Female Reproductive Tract Biorepository in accordance with appropriate institutional review. 4μM sections were dewaxed in xylene, rehydrated in a graded ethanol series and subjected to antigen unmasking with a high PH 9.0 buffer (Vector). Primary immunostaining with antibodies specific to MTA1 (Abcam 87275), MTA1 (Cell signaling 5647), MTA3 (Abcam 87275) and V5 (Invitrogen) was followed by exposure to biotin-conjugated secondary antibodies, and then horseradish peroxidase conjugated Streptavidin (Vector). Positive immunostaining was detected with following exposure to a diaminobenzidine (DAB) substrate (brown precipitate) and nuclei counterstained with hematoxylin.

Cell culture, cytoplasm and nuclear protein extraction

The trophoblast cell line HTR8/SVneo (gift from Dr. C. Graham) was cultured in DMEM/F12 supplemented with 10% FBS, 2 mmol/L L-glutamine and 1% Pen/Strep. The cytoplasm and nuclear protein were extracted from the 95% confluent cells using the NE-PER Nuclear and Cytoplasmic Extraction Reagents (Thermo scientific).

Lentivirus mediated over-expression

cDNA clones of MTA1 and MTA3 were purchased from Biosystem (MHS1010-9205621 and EHS1001-35695). The open reading frames of MTA1 and MTA3 were amplified by PCR using primers (MTA1 Forward: 5’- ATGGCGCCAAATGTACGGAGT-3’; MTA1 Reverse: 5’- GTCCCGTGAAGATGAGTGG-3’; MTA3 Forward: 5’- GTCCTCAGACCACATGCGGG-3’; and MTA3 Reverse: 5’- AGAAATGACAGTTCTTACA-3’) and then inserted into Lentivirus vector pLenti6-V5, downstream of CMV promoter (Invitrogen). After transfection of MTA1/V5 and MTA3/V5 vectors with packaging plasmids (Invitrogen) into 293 cells, according to manufacturer’s instructions. Lentivirus from the supernatant of culture media of 293 cells was collected and stored at -70°C until future use. HTR8/SVneo cells were infected by adding 100 μl lentivirus containing media per well to the cultured trophoblasts and passedaged at least 5 times in the presence of 5ng/ml Bacitracin (approximately 1 month). HTR8/SVneo cells similarly infected with empty vector (pLenti-V5) were used as controls. Transgenic along with control cells were used for functional and biochemical analysis.

Hypoxia treatment

The hypoxia control system (Plas Labs Inc, MI, US) was used for hypoxia treatments with 1% O₂, considered hypoxic condition. Post treatment, proteins were extracted within 1 minute of chamber removal to prevent HIF1α protein degradation.

Western blot

For Western blot analysis, proteins were isolated in RIPA buffer with protease inhibitor cocktail (Invitrogen) and quantified using bicinchoninic acid (BCA-Thermo Scientific). 10 μg proteins were loaded per well on 10% reducing gel, transferred to PVDF membrane and the membrane immunoblotted with antibodies specific to HIF1α (Cell Signaling, 3716), Actin (Cell signaling, 4967), MTA1 (Cell signaling 5647), MTA3 (Abcam 87275) and V5 (Invitrogen, R96025).

HRE-Luciferase reporter assay

The HRE-luciferase plasmid encoding the firefly luciferase reporter gene under the control of a minimal (m)CMV promoter and five repeats of the hypoxia transcriptional Response Element (HRE) was previously reported [12] and was purchased from Addgene. The relative activity level of HRE-promoter as determined using the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega) following our previous publication [13] based on co-transfection of HRE-luciferase plasmid and pRL-TK plasmid. Briefly, both plasmids are transfected into MTA1-overexpressing, MTA3- overexpressing and control cells. Post-transfection 20hrs, cells were exposed to hypoxic conditions (1% O₂) for 24 hrs. Then cells were lysed in 1× passive lysis buffer and TRE-luciferase activity compared with the activity of pRL-TK.

Statistical analysis

The student’s T-Test was used for the statistical analysis of luciferase reporter assay. A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Co-localization of MTA1, MTA3 and HIF1α in 1st trimester placenta.

To investigate the expression of MTA1, MTA3 and HIF1α...
within the physiologically hypoxic placental environment which exists prior to the onset of spiral artery remodeling, we performed immunohistochemistry (IHC) on formalin-fixed paraffin wax embedded sections of 9-week human placenta (Figure 1a). The staining of hCG demonstrates the cytotrophoblasts within the placenta. We found that MTA1, MTA3 and HIF1α were expressed in the villous trophoblasts (both cytotrophoblasts and syncytiotrophoblasts), higher expression in cytotrophoblasts as compared to syncytiotrophoblasts. To further verify these results, we treated the 1st trimester placenta-derived trophoblast cell line HTR8/SVneo with hypoxia condition for 24 hours and examined the nuclear and cytoplasmic expressions of MTA1, MTA3 and HIF1α by Western blot. We used Actin, a typical cytoplasmic protein, as the method control of cytoplasmic-nuclear protein extraction. We found that MTA1, MTA3 and HIF1α were highly expressed in nuclei and low expressed in cytoplasm (Figure 1b), which confirms that MTA1, MTA3 and HIF1α in trophoblasts under hypoxic condition.

**Hypoxia induction of MTA1, MTA3 and HIF1α in HTR8/SVneo cells**

To investigate the direct effect of hypoxia on MTA1, MTA3 and HIF1α during early pregnancy, we exposed the 1st trimester placenta-derived trophoblast cell line HTR8/SVneo to hypoxic conditions (1% O2) for 0, 2, 4 and 24 hours. Quantified Western blot analysis (normalized by Actin level) reveals that all three proteins were induced by hypoxia treatment, with expression peaking at 4 hours post treatment (Figure 2).

**Up-regulation of HIF1α expression by MTA1 and MTA3 in HTR8/SVneo cells**

To further investigate the effects of MTA1 and MTA3 on HIF1α in HTR8/SVneo cells, we over-expressed V5 tagged MTA1 and MTA3 protein (MTA1V5 and MTA3V5) in HTR8/SVneo cells by infecting lentivirus containing MTA1 or MTA3 cDNA downstream of CMV promoter. The cells infected with empty-vector lentivirus were used as control. Over-expressions of MTA1V5 and MTA3V5 were verified via immunofluorescence (IF) (Suppliment Figure). Transfected cells then exposed to hypoxia (normoxia for controls), and MTA1V5, MTA3V5, HIF1α and Actin levels were analyzed via western blot (Figure 3a). HIF1α expression was undetectable in both MTA1V5- and MTA3V5-overexpressing cells under normoxic condition. However, upon hypoxia treatment, HIF1α expression was highly induced in both MTA1V5- and MTA3V5-overexpressing cells as compared to empty-vector controls (Figure 3a).

**Up-regulation of hypoxia response genes by MTA1 and MTA3 in HTR8/SVneo cells**

To investigate the effects of MTA1 and MTA3 on HIF1α mediated gene expression (on genes which genes typically contain the Hypoxia transcriptional Response Element (HRE) in their regulatory regions [14]), we examined the HRE-luciferase reporter activity using a plasmid, previously reported to demonstrate HIF1α mediated gene expression [12]. We transfected this plasmid into both MTA1-, MTA3-overexpressing and control cells after 24 hrs hypoxia treatment. We found that the activities of HRE-luciferase reporter were significantly higher in MTA1- and MTA3-overexpressing cells compared to the control cells (Figure 3b).

**DISCUSSION**

Hypoxia is critical for the trophoblast differentiation, placental development and has been linked to the development of trophoblast-associated diseases [1,2,15]. In the present study, we observed that in the hypoxic environment of early pregnancy (the 9-week placenta), MTA1 and MTA3 along with HIF1α are highly expressed in villous trophoblast, with higher expression in cytotrophoblasts as compared to syncytiotrophoblasts (Figure 1). These results suggest that MTA1 and MTA3 have a potential role in the trophoblast hypoxia response. Indeed, we found that hypoxia induces MTA1 and MTA3 expression, similar to its induction of HIF1α (Figure 2). These results further suggest
that regulation of MTA1 and MTA3 are important for hypoxic response in trophoblasts during early pregnancy.

HIF1 and HIF2 are the predominant members of the hypoxia-inducible factors (HIFs) family, which regulate the cellular response to hypoxia environment. Previous studies suggest that it is HIF1 (and not HIF2) which is critical for proper placental development. HIF1 knock-out mice show a reduced-size placenta and disrupted trophoblast differentiation [16], whereas, HIF2 knock-out mice have no placental abnormalities [17]. Therefore, in this study, we focused on the regulation of HIF1a. It has previously been reported that overexpression of MTA1 stabilized HIF1a protein under both normoxic and hypoxic conditions in breast cancer cells [8]. In this study, we found, under normoxic condition, over-expression of MTA1 and MTA3 in trophoblasts did up-regulate HIF1a expression and HIF1a mediated gene expression as determined by HRE-luciferase activity levels (Figure 3). However, under normoxic condition, MTA1 and MTA3 had no effect on the levels of HIF1a and HRE-luciferase (Figure 3). This difference may be due, in part, to the unique molecular regulation present in cancer cells as compared to trophoblasts. Further studies examining the mechanism by which MTA1 and MTA3 regulate/stabilize HIF1a are needed to address this discrepancy.

In conclusion

Induced by hypoxia, MTA1 and MTA3 up-regulate HIF1a expression, suggesting that MTA1 and MTA3 are critical in the hypoxia response cascade in the placental trophoblasts of early pregnancy.
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