How the Puzzles of the Transurethral Resection of the Prostate Syndrome and the Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome were Resolved? Discovering Volumetric Overload Shocks
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**NEWS LETTER**

The last 32 years of my career life were spent in investigating and reporting these articles 1-5. The articles recognise 2 new types of shocks and its treatment, prove that Starling’s law for the capillary interstitial fluid transfer is wrong and provide an alternative mechanism; the hydrodynamics of a porous orifice (G) Tube. These discoveries resolve the puzzles of 2 clinical syndromes discovering its patho-etiology and its new successful treatments; namely the transurethral resection of the prostate (TURP) syndrome and the adult respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS).

Volumetric Overload Shock (VOS) is a condition induced by large fluid infusions in a short time and is of two types; Type one (VOS1) and Type two (VOS2). VOS1 is induced by sodium-free fluid gain of 3.5-5 litres in one hour such as Glycine, Glucose, Mannitol and Sorbitol. It is seen in the TURP syndrome [5] or hyponatraemic shock [6]. VOS2 is induced by massive infusion of sodium-based fluids such as normal saline, Ringer, Hartmann, plasma, plasma substitutes and blood transfusions that may complicate the therapy of VOS1. VOS2 also complicates fluid therapy in critically ill patients suffering from other known shocks such as hypovolaemic, haemorrhagic and septic shocks and presents with ARDS [7]. VOS2 is induced by the gain of 12 litres of sodium-based fluids when reported in ARDS. The presence of massive interstitial tissue oedema with engorgement of vital organs, pleural and peritoneal effusions, in the presence of hypotension shock, casted doubt on Starling’s law! [1-5]. These issues were investigated at the clinical and physiological/physical fronts.

Two clinical studies aiming to understand the TURP syndrome and recognising VOS were done. A prospective clinical study on 100 consecutive TURP patients of whom the condition of TURP syndrome affected 10 patients with severe hypotension and bradycardia and severe acute dilution hyponatraemia of <120 mmol/l [5]. Volumetric overload was the only significant factor in causing the condition. The second clinical study [4] involved a case series of 23 cases of the TURP syndrome manifesting as VOS1. Volumetric overload quantity and type is shown in Figure 1. The first 3 cases died as they were diagnosed and treated erroneously as one of the recognised shocks and treated with further volume expansion. The remaining 20 patients were correctly diagnosed as VOS1 and treated with hypertonic sodium therapy (HST) of 5% Sodium Chloride or 8.4% Sodium Bicarbonate. Each patient passed 4-5 litres of urine followed by recovery from shock and coma. This treatment was successful in curing all patients bringing them back from dead [4].

The physical investigation involved studies of the hydrodynamics of the porous orifice (G) tube comparing it to that of Poiseuille’s tube. Thousands of experimental measurements of pressures at various parts of a circulatory system incorporating the G tube in a chamber to mimic the capillary-interstitial fluid compartment. The effect of changing the proximal (arterial), the distal (venous) pressures and the diameter of the inlet on side pressure of the G tube and chamber pressure as well as the dynamic magnetic field like fluid circulation around the G tube. It is quite remarkable how this circulatory model mimic the circulatory system in heath and disease. This dynamic magnetic field like fluid circulation around the G tube and surrounding it...
in C chamber provides the correct replacement for Starling’s law [3]. The physiological equivalent of this physical study was done on the hind limbs of sheep. It demonstrated that arterial pressure causes suction not filtration due to the effect of pre-capillary sphincter. Venous pressure augmented filtration and oedema or dropsy formation.

Shock is a disturbance at the capillary cellular level impairing the capillary-Interstitial fluid transfer; hindering delivery of oxygen and removal of waste products. Starling’s law also govern this process [8]. In this law the arterial pressure is the force causing capillary filtration! If this is true, how come that arterial hypertension though very common never causes oedema? Starling based his hypothesis on Poiseuille work on straight uniform brass tubes. Latter evidence however demonstrated that the capillary is a porous narrow orifice (G) tube as it has a pre-capillary sphincter [9] and pores that allow the passage of plasma proteins [10]. As the capillary pores allow the passage of plasma molecules, nullifying the osmotic pressure of plasma proteins i.e oncotic pressure does not exist, a call for reconsideration of Starling’s hypothesis was previously made but there was no alternative at that time [11]. This replacement came to light when the hydrodynamics of the G tube were discovered.

The hydrodynamics of the G tube [3,12] (Figure 2) demonstrated that the proximal (arterial) pressure induces a negative side pressure gradient on the wall of the G tube causing suction most prominent over the proximal half and turns into positive pressure over the distal half. Incorporating the G tube in a chamber (C), representing the interstitial space surrounding a capillary, demonstrated a rapid dynamic magnetic field-like fluid circulation between the C and G tube lumen. This is a mixing engine between C and G effecting rapid irrigation under negative pressure i.e. without flooding or oedema or dropsy formation. Incorporating the G tube and C in a circulatory model driven by electric pump inducing proximal pressure similar to arterial pressure; causing suction from C into the lumen of G tube. The distal (venous) pressure augments filtration. This proves that the arterial pressure causes suction not filtration at the capillary interstitial fluid circulation, and hence Starling’s law is wrong. The reported hydrodynamics of the G tube provides an adequate mechanism for the capillary interstitial fluid circulation. This illustrates how 2 new types of vascular shocks and a replacement of Starling’s law were discovered.
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