

Editorial

Cancer Recurrence and Anesthetic Technique: A “Wake Up Call”

Andres Missair^{1*} and Ralf E. Gebhard²

¹Associate Director, Regional Anesthesia and Acute Pain Fellowship, University of Miami, Miller School of Medicine, Miami, Florida USA

²Professor and Director, Regional Anesthesia and Acute Pain, University of Miami, Miller School of Medicine, Miami, Florida USA

*Corresponding author

Andres Missair, Associate Director, Regional Anesthesia and Acute Pain Fellowship, University of Miami, Miller School of Medicine, Miami, Florida USA. Tel: (503) 494-5210; E-mail: AMissair@med.miami.edu

Submitted: 29 July 2013

Accepted: 02 August 2013

Published: 20 August 2013

Copyright

© 2013 Missair and Woodworth

OPEN ACCESS

While the definitive treatment for cancer is frequently surgery, the perioperative period represents one of the greatest risk factors for tumor cell proliferation and eventual metastases [1-3]. Several studies have demonstrated that surgery stimulates neuroendocrine and cytokine stress responses, suppresses cell-mediated immunity, disperses tumor cell emboli, and promotes tumor growth and metastasis in animal models [4-6]. As anesthesiologists and pain specialists, we may be no less responsible for the recurrence of cancer and the long-term outcome of oncologic patients than our surgical colleagues. Several retrospective studies have recently evaluated the impact of anesthetic technique on cancer recurrence following oncologic surgery.

Since 2006, fifteen retrospective articles have been published that examine the effect of regional anesthesia on rates of cancer recurrence. Results have ranged from a 4-fold decrease in postoperative metastases [7] for mastectomies that received preoperative paravertebral neural blockade, to no significant difference in overall survival following lymph node dissection for malignant melanoma under neuraxial anesthesia [8]. While the majority of findings indicate a potential benefit for regional anesthesia in oncologic patients, they also highlight the disparate effect of anesthetic technique on different cancer types.

The exact mechanism by which anesthetic techniques impact cancer recurrence is still under investigation; however, modulation of cell-mediated immunity has been identified in several in-vitro models [9,10]. Intraoperatively, cytokines such as IL-2, IL-12, and IFN- γ are suppressed. As a result, the number of circulating natural killer (NK) cells, cytotoxic T-lymphocytes, and the ratio of T-helper 1 to T-helper 2 are significantly reduced. This immunosuppression effectively inhibits the body's natural ability to defend against malignancy and dispersed tumor emboli during surgery. By lowering plasma levels of cortisol and catecholamines, however, intraoperative use of regional anesthesia reduces the immunosuppression caused by surgical stimulation and thereby helps maintain NK function and cellular immunity.

Propofol and local anesthetics have also been shown, on a cellular level, to inhibit tumor growth and progression. In mice, Kushida et al., have reported that propofol promotes cytotoxic T-lymphocyte activity and inhibits lymphoma growth. In another study, Propofol decreased extracellular matrix protein expression and subsequent colon cancer cell invasiveness [11,12]. Local anesthetics, on the other hand, appear to act through the voltage-gated sodium channels (VGSCs) expressed by tumor cells and thereby inhibit metastasis [13-15], cell proliferation [16], and Src signaling-mediated cancer cell migration [17]. These benefits, however, are dependent on the degree to which tumor cells express VGSCs, and not all cancers express these receptors.

While the available clinical evidence suggests a protective effect for regional anesthesia, local anesthetics and propofol, it presents contrary results for inhalational and intravenous anesthetics as well as opioids. Both general anesthetics and opioids are immunosuppressive and thereby render patients more susceptible to tumor progression [6-18,19]. Few studies, however, have directly evaluated the effect of inhalational anesthetics on tumor recurrence. Kawaraguchi et al., for example, found that isoflurane protects cancer cells from tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-induced apoptosis [19]. More research has been conducted on the impact of opioid use in cancer recurrence. In addition to immunosuppression, narcotics have been shown to promote tumor growth via induced angiogenesis [20] and cytoskeletal regulation in some types of breast adenocarcinoma cells [21], thereby facilitating tumor migration and growth. While the available evidence is compelling, prospective clinical trials are scarce, and most data comes from animal and in-vitro studies.

As of 2013, only one major prospective randomized-control clinical trial has been published on the long-term impact of anesthetic technique and postoperative cancer recurrence. The MASTER trial was a multicenter prospective clinical study which randomized oncologic patients undergoing major abdominal surgery to receive general anesthesia with either epidural or opioid analgesia. No significant difference was detected in cancer-free survival [22]. Unfortunately, the amount of volatile anesthetic

was not recorded and may represent a significant confounding variable. Smaller prospective studies have also been conducted, but like the MASTER trial, they also suffer from the difficulty associated with multimodal anesthesia and the ability of these studies to eliminate confounders and determine the contribution of each individual anesthetic factor to cancer recurrence. Despite the growing body of animal and laboratory evidence, controlled clinical trials are desperately needed to evaluate the impact of each individual anesthetic on cancer recurrence and long-term patient survival. Three multi-center prospective RCTs are on the horizon, however. These trials, looking at tumor recurrence and anesthetic technique for breast, lung, and colon cancers are being conducted by the Cleveland Clinic, Mater University Hospital, and the University of Dusseldorf. Results are expected to be reported in 2015, 2018, and 2022 [6]. Meanwhile, our patients and anesthesia providers have to wait. Unfortunately, some of our patients do not have the luxury of time.

REFERENCES

1. Yamashita J, Matsuo A, Kurusu Y, Saishoji T, Hayashi N, Ogawa M. et al. Preoperative evidence of circulating tumor cells by means of reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction for carcinoembryonic antigen messenger RNA is an independent predictor of survival in non-small cell lung cancer: a prospective study. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2002; 124: 299-305.
2. Iinuma H, Watanabe T, Mimori K, Adachi M, Hayashi N, Tamura J, et al. Clinical significance of circulating tumor cells, including cancer stem-like cells, in peripheral blood for recurrence and prognosis in patients with Dukes' stage B and C colorectal cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 2011; 29: 1547-55.
3. Peach G, Kim C, Zacharakis E, Purkayastha S, Ziprin P. Prognostic significance of circulating tumour cells following surgical resection of colorectal cancers: a systematic review. *Br J Cancer.* 2010; 102: 1327-34.
4. Wada H, Seki S, Takahashi T, Kawarabayashi N, Higuchi H, Habu Y, et al. Combined spinal and general anesthesia attenuates liver metastasis by preserving TH1/TH2 cytokine balance. *Anesthesiology.* 2007; 106: 499-506.
5. Benish M, Bartal I, Goldfarb Y, Levi B, Avraham R, Raz A, et al. Perioperative use of beta-blockers and COX-2 inhibitors may improve immune competence and reduce the risk of tumor metastasis. *Ann Surg Oncol.* 2008; 15: 2042-52.
6. Heaney A, Buggy DJ. Can anaesthetic and analgesic techniques affect cancer recurrence or metastasis? *Br J Anaesth.* 2012; 1: i17-i28.
7. Exadaktylos AK, Buggy DJ, Moriarty DC, Mascha E, Sessler DI. Can anesthetic technique for primary breast cancer surgery affect recurrence or metastasis? *Anesthesiology.* 2006; 105: 660-4.
8. Day A, Smith R, Jourdan I, Fawcett W, Scott M, Rockall T. et al. Retrospective analysis of the effect of postoperative analgesia on survival in patients after laparoscopic resection of colorectal cancer. *Br J Anaesth.* 2012; 109: 185-90.
9. Yokoyama M, Itano Y, Mizobuchi S, Nakatsuka H, Kaku R, Takashima T, et al. The effects of epidural block on the distribution of lymphocyte subsets and natural-killer cell activity in patients with and without pain. *Anesth Analg.* 2001; 92: 463-9.
10. Melamed R, Bar-Yosef S, Shakhar G, Shakhar K, Ben-Eliyahu S. Suppression of natural killer cell activity and promotion of tumor metastasis by ketamine, thiopental, and halothane, but not by propofol: mediating mechanisms and prophylactic measures. *Anesth Analg.* 2003; 97: 1331-9.
11. Miao Y, Zhang Y, Wan H, Chen L, Wang F. GABA-receptor agonist, propofol inhibits invasion of colon carcinoma cells. *Biomed Pharmacother.* 2010; 64: 583-8.
12. Kushida A, Inada T, Shingu K. Enhancement of antitumor immunity after propofol treatment in mice. *Immunopharmacol Immunotoxicol.* 2007; 29: 477-86.
13. Brackenbury WJ. Voltage-gated sodium channels and metastatic disease. *Channels (Austin).* 2012; 6: 352-61.
14. Fraser SP, Diss JK, Chioni AM, Mycielska ME, Pan H, Yamaci RF, et al. Voltage-gated sodium channel expression and potentiation of human breast cancer metastasis. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2005; 11: 5381-9.
15. Onkal R, Djamgoz MB. Molecular pharmacology of voltage-gated sodium channel expression in metastatic disease: clinical potential of neonatal Nav1.5 in breast cancer. *Eur J Pharmacol.* 2009; 625: 206-19.
16. Lucchinetti E, Awad AE, Rahman M, Feng J, Lou PH, Zhang L, et al. Antiproliferative effects of local anesthetics on mesenchymal stem cells: potential implications for tumor spreading and wound healing. *Anesthesiology.* 2012; 116: 841-56.
17. Piegeler T, Votta-Velis EG, Liu G, Place AT, Schwartz DE, Beck-Schimmer B, et al. Antimetastatic potential of amide-linked local anesthetics: inhibition of lung adenocarcinoma cell migration and inflammatory Src signaling independent of sodium channel blockade. *Anesthesiology.* 2012; 117: 548-59.
18. Mao L, Lin S, Lin J. The effects of anesthetics on tumor progression. *Int J Physiol Pathophysiol Pharmacol.* 2013; 5: 1-10.
19. Kawaraguchi Y, Horikawa YT, Murphy AN, Murray F, Miyanojara A, Ali SS, et al. Volatile anesthetics protect cancer cells against tumor necrosis factor-related apoptosis-inducing ligand-induced apoptosis via caveolins. *Anesthesiology.* 2011; 115: 499-508.
20. Gupta K, Kshirsagar S, Chang L, Schwartz R, Law PY, Yee D, et al. Morphine stimulates angiogenesis by activating proangiogenic and survival-promoting signaling and promotes breast tumor growth. *Cancer Res.* 2002; 62: 4491-8.
21. Ecimovic P, Murray D, Doran P, McDonald J, Lambert DG, Buggy DJ. et al. Direct effect of morphine on breast cancer cell function in vitro: role of the NET1 gene. *Br J Anaesth.* 2011; 107: 916-23.
22. Myles PS, Peyton P, Silbert B, Hunt J, Rigg JR, Sessler DI, et al. Perioperative epidural analgesia for major abdominal surgery for cancer and recurrence-free survival: randomised trial. *BMJ.* 2011; 342.

Cite this article

Missair A, Gebhard RE (2013) Cancer Recurrence and Anesthetic Technique: A "Wake Up Call". *Int J Clin Anesthesiol* 1: 1005.