OSciMedCentral

Review Article

Germ Cell Transplantation: A Potential Tool for Propagation of Endangered Fishes

Sulip Kumar Majhi* and Santosh Kumar

ICAR-National Bureau of Fish Genetic Resources, Division of Fish Conservation, India

Abstract

Germ cell transplantation, a powerful assisted reproductive technology, is widely used to study the functional characterization of stem cells. The technology is also used for conservation and propagation of elite germlines. In teleost fish model, the technique has been successfully established to generate donor-derived gametes by transplanting primordial germ cells (PGC) or spermatogonial cells at various developmental stages such as embryonic, hatchling and adult stage. Various studies have confirmed, irrespective of developmental stages and methods of transplantation, the recipient fish have produced the surrogate gametes. The most potential application of this technique are 1) propagation of endangered and commercially important fish species those are difficult to breed in confinement, 2) control of invasive fish species, those pose threats to the native species and, 3) production of transgenic individual by transfecting the donor spermatogonial cells before transplantation. Very recently, the technique is also used to provide stem cell therapy to senile fishes those have lost their reproductive competence because of old age. The germ cell transplantation technique appears to be most valuable at the moment as other assisted reproductive technologies such as cryopreservation and in vitro gametogenesis fails to conserve or propagate the female gametes.

INTRODUCTION

The diversified aquatic ecosystem, from freshwater to marine, is inhabitant by over 30,700 fish species. However, in recent years, a number of fish species have reported to become extinct or endangered (http://www.iucnredlist.org) due to various known and unknown factors such as aquatic pollution, habitat loss, increasing anthropogenic pressure on the water bodies and most importantly sudden global climate changes.

To conserve these threatened fish species, two major approaches could be adopted. The first, in situ conservation, protecting the species within the ecosystem [1]. The second, ex situ conservation of these valuable germlines and propagate the individuals of the species through captive breeding [2,3]. Obviously both the approaches have the merit to safeguard the fishes of declinig population. However, ideally, the highest priority would always be habitat preservation as it will ensure to protect the entire ecosystems and many species simultaneously. But, the challenge would be sustainability to conserve the habitat for long term; given the fact that the habitats are under constant threat from natural stochastic factors and local changes in land use and natural resource management choices. Nevertheless, for managing the vast fishery resources, both in situ and ex situ approaches should be complementary rather than competitive [4].

Very recently, one of the most promising approach for *ex situ* conservation appears to be development of surrogate

Annals of Aquaculture and Research

*Corresponding author

Sulip Kumar Majhi, ICAR-National Bureau of Fish Genetic Resources, Division of Fish Conservation, Lucknow, India, Tel: 91-522-2442441; Fax: 91-522-2442403; Email: sullipkm@gmail.com

Submitted: 07 August 2017

Accepted: 22 August 2017

Published: 24 August 2017

ISSN: 2379-0881

Copyright

© 2017 Sulip Kumar Majhi et al.

OPEN ACCESS

Keywords

- Germ cell transplantation
- Endangered
- Conservation
- Propagation
- Fish

broodstock for the commercially important and/or threatened fish species by germ cell transplantation [5-7]. The viability of the technique was first demonstrated in mice model where spermatogonia derived from donor males were microinjected into sterilized male recipients, leading to establishment of donorderived spermatogenesis [8]. Since then, the technique has been extensively used for the purpose of basic research, reproductive medicine and treatment of infertility. In teleost fish model, the technique was successfully applied in rainbow trout during the early beginning of this century. In that case, primordial germ cells (PGC) carrying GFP (green fluorescent protein) was transplanted into peritoneal cavity of rainbow trout hatchlings and resulted in production of sperm with donor genetic characteristics [9]. Further, using the same methodology, xenogeneic transplantation between rainbow (Onchorhynchus mykiss) and masu (O. masu) trout were also successfully performed [10]. Also, it was shown that PGCs can be cryopreserved for prolonged periods of time before transplantation and still establish spermatogenesis in the recipient testis [11]. In fishes, germ cell transplantation could be performed in three distinct developmental stages; such as embryonic, hatchling and adult stage. Aim of this review is to discuss the various methods of germ cell transplantation used in various fish species, at different developmental stages, to generate surrogate gametes. The various assisted reproductive technologies discussed will pave the way to derive functional gametes from the endangered and/or commercially important fishes which are difficult to propagate in confinement.

Cite this article: Sullip Kumar M, Santosh Kumar (2017) Germ Cell Transplantation: A Potential Tool for Propagation of Endangered Fishes. Ann Aquac Res 4(3): 1040.

Germ cell transplantation at various developmental stages

Embryos (blastula stage): Here, PGCs harvested from the donor hatchling are transplanted into recipient embryo by micromanipulator (blastula stage; Figure 1) that have had endogenous PGC development blocked by the injection of a dead end antisense morpholino oligonucleotide. Ever since this technique was proposed, the method was successfully applied into zebrafish [12] and pearl danio [13]. The major advantage of this method is that, no immunorejection of transplanted PGCs was observed because the transplantation was performed before the sex determination stage in recipient fish [13]. This technique is considered one of the viable approach as it allows to generate millions of gametes of fish species, with desired traits such as growth, disease resistance, fecundity etc. by using only one donor PGC [14].

Hatchling stage: In this case, the donor germ cells are



Figure 1 Graphical illustration of Primordial germ cell transplantation in fish embryo. A) Primordial germ cell are microinjected into the blastodisc of blastula-stage embryos. B) The donor PGCs divide prior to settling at the gonadal ridge (future gonads) of the recipient. C) The PGCs migrate and colonize the gonads. The cells proliferate and differentiate into the functional donor-derived gametes after the fish attain sexual maturity. D) The mature surrogate parents release functional donor-origin gametes. E) Generation of surrogate progeny by artificial insemination or natural spawning.



Figure 2 Graphical illustration of germ cell transplantation in newly hatched fish larvae. A) Donor germ cells are transplanted into the coelomic cavity of the hatchling during the time-period in which endogenous recipient larvae PGCs are still actively migrating. B) The transplanted cells migrate and colonize the genital ridges of the recipient. C) After attaining sexual maturation the recipient fish produce donor-derived gametes. D) Generation of surrogate progeny by artificial insemination or natural spawning.

transplanted into the peritoneal cavities of newly hatched larvae using a micro injector [15] (Figure 2). The newly hatched larvae are selected as recipients because they lack a functional immune system indicated by the absence of differentiation in both their thymus and T cells [16]. Lack of a functional immune system means absolutely no immunorejection of exogenous (donorderived) germ cells. The PGC derived from transgenic rainbow trout were transplanted into the body cavity of masu salmon hatchlings [14]. These hatchlings upon reaching adulthood, produce the xenogenic donor derived offspring [5]. Later, the approach was also successfully applied to trout model where spermatogonial stem cell from vasa-Gfp rainbow trout were transplanted into sterile triploid masu salmon hatchling that resulted in establishment of gametogenesis in male and female recipients [10]. However, in this approach the only disadvantage is that the donor-derived gametes could be generated approximately 2-3 years after the transplantation, depending on the attainment of gonadal maturity of the animal.

Adult stage: This method of germ cell transplantation in teleost fish was proposed by Lacerda and her colleagues [7], using Nile tilapia fish (*Oreochromis niloticus*) model, in which spermatogonia were transplanted through the urogenital papilla of adult fish [17]. In this method, recipient adult fish was made partially sterile by treatments of cytotoxic drug, busulfan

⊘SciMedCentral_



Figure 3 Graphical illustration of germ cell transplantation in adult fish model. A) The endogenous germ cell of recipient fish is depleted by heat-chemical treatments. B) Germ cells are harvested from the donor fish. C) The cells are labeled with fluorescent dye and transplanted into recipient gonad through genital opening. D) Months after the procedure, transplanted cells differentiated into functional gametes; E) Surrogate parents are artificially inseminated or allowed natural spawning. F) Production of donor-origin progeny.

(1,4-butanediol dimethanesulfonate), in association with elevated water temperature. An enriched type A spermatogonial cell suspension harvested from donor testes and labelled with the fluorescent lipophilic dye such as PKH-26 was injected into the adult testes of the recipient through the common spermatic duct (Figure 3). This method confirmed the possibility of using sexually mature adult fish as recipients in germ cell transplantation [7]. Making refinement to this approach, Majhi and his colleagues developed an intra-gonadal surgical method for xenogeneic germ cell transplantation in sexually competent adult fish. They used germ cells from juvenile pejerrey (Odontesthes bonariensis) as donor, while sexually competent adult Patagonian pejerrey (Odontesthes hatchery) as recipients for germ cell transplantation [6]. The endogenous spermatogenesis of recipient fish was depleted using busulfan and high temperature treatments [18]. Donor germ cells isolated by discontinued percoll gradient were labelled with CFDA-SE (green fluorescent dve) and introduced into the recipient gonad. Injection of the germ cell suspension was made possible in this procedure by a long midline cut made in the abdomen of the recipient fish followed by the exposition of the gonads from the coelomic cavity. The transplanted spermatogonial cells were able to colonize the gonads of recipient Patagonian pejerrey and generate donor-derived sperm within 6 months post transplantation [6]. Later, the same group have simplified the transplantation approach by developing a non-surgical method and produced the functional surrogate eggs and sperm capable of fertilization in very short time [18]. The major advantage of using adult as the recipient for germ cell transplantation is that, it considerably reduces the time needed to obtain viable gametes and offspring of donor genotype.

CONCLUSION

It is believed that the germ cell transplantation technique beside application in functional characterization of stem cell has also potential applications in germline conservation and in the propagation of valued and/or endangered fish species [19,20]. Also, the technique can be useful in speedy propagation of commercially important species which are too large for hatchery rearing and, that do not spawn due to the stress of confinement, or whose maturation cycle is associated with complex migratory behavior which cannot be reproduced in captivity.

REFERENCES

- 1. Margules CR, Pressey RL. Systematic conservation planning. Nature. 2000; 405: 243-253.
- 2. Holt WV, Pickard AR. Role of reproductive technologies and genetic resource banks in animal conservation. Rev Reprod. 1999; 4: 143-150.
- 3. Wildt DE, Wemmer C. Sex and wildlife: the role of reproductive science in conservation. Biodiverse Conserv. 1999; 8: 965-976.
- Pukazhenthi BS, Comizzoli P, Travis A, Wildt DE. Applications of emerging technologies to the study and conservation of threatened and endangered species. Reprod Fertil Dev. 2006; 18: 77–90.
- Takeuchi Y, Yoshizaki G, Takeuchi T. Surrogate broodstock produces salmonids. Nature. 2004; 430: 629–630.
- Majhi SK, Hattori RS, Yokota M, Watanabe S, Strüssmann CA. Germ cell transplantation using sexually competent fish: an approach for rapid propagation of endangered and valuable germlines. PLOS ONE. 2009; 4: e6132.
- Lacerda SMSN, Batlouni SR, Costa GMJ, Segatelli TM, Quirino BR, Queiroz BM, et al. A new and fast technique to generate offspring after germ cells transplantation in adult fish: the Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) model. PLOS ONE. 2010; 5: e10740.
- Brinster RL, Averbock MR. Germline transmission of donor haplotype following spermatogonial transplantation. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1994; 91: 11303-11307.
- 9. Takeuchi Y, Yoshizaki G, Takeuchi T. Generation of live fry from intraperitoneally transplanted primordial germ cells in rainbow trout. Biol Reprod. 2003; 69: 1142–1149.
- Okutsu T, Shikina S, Kanno M, Takeuchi Y, Yoshizaki G. Production of trout offspring from triploid salmon parents. Science. 2007; 317: 1517.
- 11. Kobayashi T, Takeuchi Y, Takeuchi T, Yoshizaki G. Generation of viable fish from cryopreserved primordial germ cells. Mol Reprod Dev. 2007; 74: 207–213.
- 12. Giraldez AJ, Cinalli RM, Glasner ME, Enright AJ, Thomson JM, Scott Baskerville S, et al. MicroRNAs regulate brain morphogenesis in zebra fish. Science. 2005; 308: 833-838.
- 13.Saito T, Goto-Kazeto R, Arai K, Yamaha E. Xenogenesis in teleost fish through generation of germ-line chimeras by single primordial germ

⊘SciMedCentral-

cell transplantation. Biol Reprod. 2008; 78: 159–166.

- 14. Yoshizaki G, Okutsu T, Ichikawa M, Hayashi M, Takeuchi Y. Sexual plasticity of rainbow trout germ cells. Anim Reprod. 2010; 7: 187–196.
- 15. Yoshizaki G, Takeuchi Y, Sakatani S, Takeuchi T. Germ cell-specific expression of green fluorescent protein in transgenic rainbow trout under control of the rainbow trout vasa-like gene promoter. Int J Dev Biol. 2000; 44: 323–326.
- 16. Yoshizaki G, Fujinuma K, Iwasaki Y, Okutsu T, Shikina S, Yazawa R, et al. Spermatogonial transplantation in fish: a novel method for the preservation of genetic resources. Comp Biochem Physiol Part D Genom Proteomics. 2011; 6: 55–61.
- 17.Lacerda SMSN, Batlouni SR, Silva SBG, Homem CSP, Franca LR. Germ cells transplantation in fish: the Nile tilapia model. Animal Reproduction. 2006: 2: 146-159.
- 18. Majhi SK, Hattori RS, Rahman SM, Strüssmann CA. Surrogate production of eggs and sperm by intrapapillary transplantation of germ cells in cytoablated adult fish. PLOS ONE. 2014; 9: e95294.
- 19. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2017-1.
- 20. Giraldez AJ, Cinalli RM, Glasner ME, Enright AJ, Thomson JM, Scott Baskerville S, et al. MicroRNAs regulate brain morphogenesis in zebra fish. Science. 2005; 308: 833-838.

Cite this article

Sullip Kumar M, Santosh Kumar (2017) Germ Cell Transplantation: A Potential Tool for Propagation of Endangered Fishes. Ann Aquac Res 4(3): 1040.