

## Research Article

# Electrocardiographic and Echocardiographic findings and their Relationships in Patients with Systemic Sclerosis

Giorgia Rubini<sup>1</sup>, Fabio Vinci<sup>1\*</sup>, Luigina Farina<sup>1</sup>, Valeria Ricciari<sup>1</sup>, Iliana Sciarra<sup>1</sup>, Egidio Imbalzano<sup>2</sup>, Denise D'Aluisio<sup>1</sup>, Giulio Onelli<sup>1</sup>, and Sergio Morelli<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of internal medicine and medical specialties, Università degli Studi di Roma La Sapienza, Italy

<sup>2</sup>Department of Internal Medicine - Via Consolare Valeria, University of Messina, Italy

**\*Corresponding author**

Fabio Vinci, Department of internal medicine and medical specialties, Università degli Studi di Roma La Sapienza, Via Cerveteri 21 A – 00183 Rome (RM) Italy, Email: fab.vinci@gmail.com

Submitted: 24 February 2017

Accepted: 13 March 2017

Published: 14 March 2017

**Copyright**

© 2017 Vinci et al.

**OPEN ACCESS****Keywords**

- Transthoracic echocardiography
- Systemic scleroderma
- Diastolic dysfunction
- Electrocardiography

**Abstract**

**Objectives:** Evaluate electrocardiographic and echocardiographic data in asymptomatic patients affected by Systemic Sclerosis (SSc) compared to healthy subjects, to assess their correlations in a case-control study.

**Methods:** 71 female patients affected by SSc (Group A) were compared to 60 healthy female subjects (Group B). Both patients and controls were evaluated by electrocardiogram and trans-thoracic echocardiogram.

**Results:** Patients with the systemic form of disease (dcSSc) showed a longer QT-corrected (QTc) interval ( $440.58 \pm 18.23$  msec vs.  $427.64 \pm 23.12$  msec;  $p = 0.021$ ). The percentage of patients with increased QTc and QTc dispersion (QTcd) values was higher in Group A than in Group B (31.14% vs 14.03%,  $p = 0.03$ ; 36.06% vs. 1.75%,  $p < 0.001$ ). Left ventricular mass index (LVMI) was higher in group A ( $80.50 \pm 20.59$  g/m<sup>2</sup> vs.  $61.54 \pm 11.08$  g/m<sup>2</sup>;  $p < 0.0001$ ). Left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) was higher in control subjects ( $63.55 \pm 6.58$  % vs.  $68.42 \pm 5.99$  %,  $p < 0.001$ ). Left ventricular diastolic dysfunction (LVDD) was more prevalent in group A (70.5% vs. 29.82%,  $p = 0.001$ ). In Group A, QTc prolongation was associated with LVDD (78.94% vs. 12.5%,  $p = 0.002$ ). Increased QTc and QTcd were associated with higher LVMI values ( $p \leq 0.05$ ).

**Conclusions:** In asymptomatic patients affected by SSc, a P wave duration  $\geq 110$  msec and/or a QTc  $\geq 450$  msec and/or QTcd  $> 60$  msec appear to be associated with early myocardial damage. The identification of markers of early cardiac involvement during SSc could allow appropriate prevention strategies against advanced forms of disease.

**INTRODUCTION**

Systemic Sclerosis (SSc) is an autoimmune chronic disease characterized by chronic and progressive deposition of connective tissue in the skin, small arteries and visceral organs, which leads to irreversible fibrosis [1]. SSc may occur in a wide range of clinical manifestations, depending on the grade of cutaneous fibrosis and on the visceral involvement (heart, lungs, kidneys, digestive system). It is possible to distinguish two overlapping forms: limited cutaneous scleroderma (lcSSc) and diffuse cutaneous scleroderma (dcSSc). LcSSc is limited to the skin on the face, hands and feet, and Raynaud's phenomenon usually precedes cutaneous involvement and it may remain the only clinical feature for a long period of time. Moreover, this form is frequently associated to anticentromere antibodies. On the other hand, dcSSc is characterized by progressive cutaneous sclerosis developing from limbs to body, and rapid involvement of visceral organs contemporary with Raynaud's phenomenon is also usually observed. The latter form is often associated with anti-topoisomerase I antibodies [2,3].

Cardiac involvement in SSc is common. It can be primary, related to myocardial fibrosis (scleroderma cardiomyopathy) or secondary, when it is associated with pulmonary hypertension or renal crisis [4-8]. There is strong evidence showing the connection of a primary cardiac involvement with continuous focal ischemic damage of sub-endocardial arteries, which leads to irreversible myocardial fibrosis. A clinical evident cardiac injury is a bad prognostic factor as it is a cause of higher morbidity and mortality in SSc [9,10]. Several different clinical features can be expressed, from myocardial hypertrophy to left ventricular dysfunction or arrhythmias, depending on the type and severity of damage [4,5,11]. A recent meta-analysis integrated results of several studies, estimating the rate of cardiac involvement in a percentage between 8% and 28% with high risk of mortality. [12]. Pathogenesis of scleroderma cardiomyopathy is still unknown, although the hypothesis of a "cardiac Raynaud's phenomenon" which drives to ischemia, inflammation and fibrosis is commonly accepted. Episodes of cardiac ischemia and subsequent fibrosis and hypertrophy could likely be caused by micro-angiopathy damage [11].

## Left ventricular diastolic dysfunction (LVDD)

In patients affected by SSc, the presence of LVDD is common and it is linked with a higher risk of mortality [13-15]. In a study involving 153 patients with SSc the prevalence of LVDD was approximately 23% and it was not related to the duration of the disease [15]. In another multicentric study on 570 patients affected by SSc, the prevalence of left ventricular hypertrophy, left ventricular systolic dysfunction and LVDD were 22.6%, 17.7% and 1.4%, respectively [16].

Strong evidence suggests that myocardial fibrosis may cause progression to cardiac failure with preserved systolic function. Thus, early "pre-clinical" diagnosis of LVDD could be useful. In the majority of published studies, the assessment of LVDD was made by conventional pulsed wave Doppler (PWD). Tissue Doppler Imaging (TDI) is a more recent echocardiographic technique showing higher accuracy and sensitivity [11]. In order to assess LVDD, either European Society of Echocardiography or American Society of Echocardiography (EAE/ASE) recommends an integrated use of both PWD and TDI [17].

Cardiac catheterization is the gold standard for the evaluation of LVDD. However, it is invasive, and not suitable for screening and follow-up. On the other hand, Doppler echocardiography is an easy executable and reproducible procedure, widely used in clinical practice, and well correlated with haemodynamic data [18].

Consequently, the objective of our study was to evaluate echocardiographic data in asymptomatic patients affected by Systemic Sclerosis (SSc) compared to healthy subjects, and to assess their correlations to electrocardiographic parameters.

## METHODS

This prospective case-control study involved consecutive patients affected by SSc, enrolled from the ambulatory scleroderma clinic of our Department. All patients fulfilled American Rheumatism Association classification criteria [19]. A control group of volunteer subjects was also evaluated.

Exclusion criteria were: uncontrolled systemic hypertension, heart rate higher than 90 beat per minute, diabetes mellitus, ischemic heart disease, atrial fibrillation/atrial flutter, moderate to severe cardiac valvulopathies, severe pulmonary hypertension, right ventricular dysfunction, treatment with antiarrhythmic drugs or other drugs that can prolong the QT interval, Patients and control subjects treated with systemic vasodilators as calcium antagonists, ACE-inhibitors and angiotensin-receptor antagonists were included in the study.

## ECG

All patients underwent 12-lead standard ECG. Tracings were recorded on graph paper using different speeds (25 mm/sec and 50 mm/sec) and analysed by two different physicians not aware of echocardiographic findings. Any discordance was resolved with joint analysis and consensus. The following parameters were evaluated: P wave duration, QT interval, corrected QT (QTc), QT dispersion (QTd) and QTc dispersion (QTcd). QTd and QTcd were calculated by the difference between the maximum and minimum QT and QTc, respectively. QTc was determined using

Bazett formula ( $QT/\sqrt{RR}$ ) [20]. In the presence of right bundle branch block, QTc measurement is influenced by the duration of ventricular depolarization. In this case, milliseconds excess to a QRS "standard" of 115 msec were subtracted from QT value [21]. Left ventricular hypertrophy was assessed using Sokolow-Lyon criteria [22]. Criteria for ECG normality were considered: sinus rhythm at a heart rate of 60-90 beat per minute, P- duration < 110 msec, QRS-wave duration < 120 msec, QTc < 450 msec, non-depressed ST segment, absence of complex supraventricular and ventricular arrhythmias [23-26].

## Echocardiogram

Both patients and controls underwent trans-thoracic echocardiogram in two-dimensional, Doppler, colour-Doppler and TDI. Cardiac measurements were performed in agreement with ASE/EAE recommendations [27, 28]. All exams were recorded for off-line analysis. For any computation that was not evaluable off-line, an on-line analysis was performed. Left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) was calculated using Simpson modified biplane method as average of three consecutive measurements [27]. Left ventricular dysfunction was established at LVEF ≤ 50%.

Left ventricular mass estimation was based on body surface area, obtaining left ventricular mass index (LVMI). LVMI values ≥ 95 g/m<sup>2</sup> were considered as pathological [28]. An index of right ventricular function was evaluated by the tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion (TAPSE). TAPSE values >18 mm were considered normal, and patients with TAPSE values ≤ 17 mm were excluded from the analysis [28]. Right atrial pressure was estimated by inferior caval vein (IVC) diameter and collapsibility. IVC diameter ≤ 2.1 cm that collapses >50% with a sniff suggests a normal RA pressure of 3 mmHg (range 0-5 mmHg), whereas an IVC diameter > 2.1 cm that collapses < 50% with a sniff suggests a high RA pressure of 15 mmHg (range 10-20 mmHg); when the IVC diameter and collapse do not fit this paradigm, an intermediate value of 8 mmHg (range 5-10 mmHg) might be used [28]. Systolic pulmonary artery pressure (SPAP) was calculated adding right ventricle-to-right atrium pressure gradient. An estimated SPAP ≥ 40 mmHg was considered as pathological [29].

Left atrial diameter was measured using long parasternal axis view at the end of ventricular systole. Left atrial volume was calculated using the four-chamber view and it was indexed by body surface area (LAVI). A LAVI value ≥ 34 mL/ml<sup>2</sup> was considered as pathological [28,30]. Left ventricular diastolic function was assessed using PWD and TDI in the four-chamber view. Transmitral flow envelope was obtained by positioning the sample volume on the free edge of the valve leaflets. Pulsed wave TDI was obtained by pointing the sample volume on the septal edge and the lateral edge of mitral annulus [30].

By using PWD, the following indexes were obtained: early-diastolic filling velocity (E wave), end-diastolic filling velocity (A wave), deceleration time of early filling velocity (DT). Then, using TDI: septal early-diastolic velocity  $e'(e' s)$  and lateral early-diastolic velocity  $e'(e' l)$ . Furthermore E/ $e'$  m ratio was calculated, where  $e'm$  was given by the average of septal  $e'$  and lateral  $e'$  [17].

It is possible to distinguish four grades of LVDD: grade I is characterized by a reversal of the normal E/A ratio. Grade II is

called „pseudonormal filling dynamics“ and it is characterized by increased left atrial filling pressures. Grade III and IV are called „restrictive filling dynamics“ and they are both severe forms characterized by advanced heart failure symptoms [17].

Diagnosis of LVDD was given when  $e's < 8$  cm/s and/or  $e'l < 10$  cm/s. Severity of dysfunction was defined using ASE/EAE recommendations [17], as described in Table (1).

**Statistical analysis**

Results are expressed by mean ± standard deviation for continuous variables and with absolute number and percentage for categorical variables. Comparison between groups was evaluated with Mann-Whitney U-test. Student's T- test was used for quantitative variables whereas  $\chi^2$  test or Fisher's exact test were used for dichotomous variables. A p value < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

General characteristics of patients (Group A) and controls (Group B) are reported in Table (2). Seventy-one SSc patients and 60 control subjects were studied. Ten SSc patients and 3 control subjects were excluded (Group A: 4 patients for increased basal heart rate, 2 patients for TAPSE < 17 mm, 3 patients for valvular disease and 1 patient for severe pulmonary hypertension; Group B: 3 subjects for high basal heart rate). Therefore, 61 patients affected by SSc (Group A) were compared with 57 healthy controls (Group B); Among SSc patients, 22 had dcSSc and 39 had lcSSc. Medications taken by patients in Group A are described in Table (3). Control group patients were volunteers from our department. Age and sex were well matched between groups. No statistically significant differences about cardiovascular drugs assumption, smoking habit and hypertension prevalence were found between groups.

**ECG findings**

The results are reported in Table (4). QT and QTc values were similar between groups. Conversely, QT dispersion (QTd) and QTc dispersion (QTcd) were significant higher in Group A. Patients with dcSSc showed longer QTc values than controls (440.58 ± 18.23 vs. 427.64 ± 23.12 msec, p = 0.02, not shown in the Table) while no significant differences were shown between patients with lcSSc and controls. However, QT value, QT dispersion, QTc and P wave duration did not significantly differ between dcSSc and ISSc. The percentage of patients with an absolute increase in QTc and QTcd values was higher in Group A vs. Group B. Average P wave duration values were slightly higher in group A than in

**Table 1: LVDD assessment.**

| Diastolic function | $e'^*$        | E/A      | E/ $e'm^{**}$ | $DT^{**}$ |
|--------------------|---------------|----------|---------------|-----------|
|                    | (cm/s)        |          |               | (ms)      |
| Normal             | $e's \geq 8$  |          |               |           |
|                    | $e'l \geq 10$ |          |               |           |
| Grade I LVDD       | $e's < 8$     | <0,8     | ≤ 8           | >200      |
|                    | $e'l < 10$    |          |               |           |
| Grade II LVDD      | $e's < 8$     | 0,8- 1,5 | 12-Sep        | 160-200   |
|                    | $e'l < 10$    |          |               |           |

**Table 2: General characteristics of study population.**

|                            | Group A        | Group B       | p  |
|----------------------------|----------------|---------------|----|
| Age ,yrs (SD)              | 62.59 ± 13.72  | 57.88 ± 14.66 | NS |
| F, n (%)                   | 58 (95.1)      | 57 (100)      | NS |
| Height, m (SD)             | 1.59 ± 0.08    | 1.61 ± 0.06   | NS |
| Weight, kg (SD)            | 63.42 ± 11.16) | 61.63 ± 9.03  | NS |
| BSA , m2 (SD)              | 1.67 ± 0.16)   | 1.65 ± 0.13   | NS |
| BMI, g/m <sup>2</sup> (SD) | 24.90 ± 20.59  | 23.89 ± 3.08  | NS |
| Hypertension (%)           | 17 ± 27.9      | 12 ± 21.1     | NS |

**Abbreviations:** F: Female Gender; BSA: Body Surface Index; BMI: Body Mass Index

**Table 3: Medications in Group A.**

|                     | Daily dosage   | dSSc   | ISSC   |
|---------------------|----------------|--------|--------|
|                     |                | (n=22) | (n=39) |
| Prednisone          | 2,5 mg         | 3      | 0      |
|                     | 5 mg           | 11     | 14     |
|                     | 6,25 mg        | 1      | 0      |
|                     | 12,5 mg        | 2      | 1      |
| Azathioprine        | 100 mg         | 2      | 1      |
| Methotrexate        | 7,5 mg         | 2      | 1      |
|                     | 10 mg          | 8      | 6      |
| Cyclosporine A      | 100 mg         | 1      | 0      |
| Iloprost            | 05-2 ng/Kg/min | 12     | 10     |
| Calcium antagonists |                | 19     | 32     |
| Bosentan            | 65 mg          | 1      | 0      |
|                     | 125 mg         | 1      | 0      |
|                     | 250 mg         | 6      | 2      |
| Sildenafil          | 40 mg          | 1      | 0      |
|                     | 60 mg          | 2      | 0      |

**Table 4: ECG results.**

|                      | Group A (n=61) Average ± SD | Group B (n=57) Average ± SD | p       |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| P wave (msec)        | 100.65 ± 17.78              | 85.96 ± 17.71               | <0.0001 |
| QT (msec)            | 409.18 ± 33.67              | 401.54 ± 22.90              | NS      |
| QTc (msec) *         | 434.86 ± 28.69              | 427.64 ± 23.12              | NS      |
| QTd (msec)           | 49.83 ± 32.27               | 27.85 ± 12.11               | <0.0001 |
| QTcd (msec)          | 51.39 ± 30.63               | 29.73 ± 13.04               | <0.0001 |
| Qtc≥450 msec, n (%)  | 19 (31.14)                  | 8 (14.03)                   | 0.0303  |
| QTcd> 60 msec, n (%) | 22 (36.06)                  | 1 (1.75)                    | 0.0001  |
| P wave ≥110msecn(%)  | 20 (32.78)                  | 4 (7.01)                    | 0.0005  |

group B. Similarly, the absolute increment of P wave duration was higher in group A.

**Echocardiographic findings**

The results are reported in Table (5). LVMI and LVEF values were higher and inferior, respectively, in group A compare to group B. No patients with LVEF <55% were found. In addition, LAVI values were higher in-group A than in group B. In particular,

**Table 5:** Echocardiographic results.

|                                     | Group A        | Group B        | p       |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|---------|
|                                     | (n=61)         | (n=57)         |         |
|                                     | Average ± SD   | Average ± SD   |         |
| LVMI (g/m <sup>2</sup> )            | 80.50 ± 20.59  | 61.54 ± 11.08  | <0.0001 |
| EDLVD (mm)                          | 43.81 ± 4.60   | 43.44 ± 3.89   | NS      |
| IVS (mm)                            | 9.99 ± 1.92    | 8.01 ± 1.78    | <0.0001 |
| PW (mm)                             | 8.55 ± 1.37    | 7.18 ± 1.07    | <0.0001 |
| LVH (n)                             | 12             | 2              | 0.0092  |
| LVEF (%)                            | 63.55 ± 6.58   | 68.42 ± 5.99   | 0.0001  |
| LAV (mL)                            | 45.80 ± 16.84  | 31.08 ± 10.53  | <0.0001 |
| LAVI (mL/m <sup>2</sup> )           | 27.67 ± 10.83  | 18.78 ± 6.15   | <0.0001 |
| LAVI ≥ 34 (mL/m <sup>2</sup> ) n(%) | 12             | 1              | 0.0022  |
| E (cm/sec)                          | 77.00 ± 15.46  | 76.05 ± 14.96  | NS      |
| A (cm/sec)                          | 78.22 ± 20.73  | 63.15 ± 17.46  | 0.0001  |
| E/A                                 | 1.06 ± 0.43    | 1.29 ± 0.45    | 0.0004  |
| DcT (msec)                          | 214.93 ± 45.91 | 211.12 ± 51.64 | NS      |
| E'setptal (cm/sec)                  | 8.060 ± 2.49   | 10.00 ± 2.44   | 0.0001  |
| E'lateral (cm/sec)                  | 10.13 ± 3.26   | 12.39 ± 2.84   | <0.0001 |
| E'average (cm/sec)                  | 9.09 ± 2.61    | 11.19 ± 2.22   | <0.0001 |
| E/E' setptal                        | 10.36 ± 3.49   | 7.94 ± 2.15    | <0.0001 |
| E/E' lateral                        | 8.11 ± 2.90    | 6.33 ± 1.49    | <0.0001 |
| E/E' average                        | 8.96 ± 2.58    | 6.92 ± 1.42    | <0.0001 |
| LVDD n(%)                           | 43 (70.50)     | 17 (29.82)     | 0.0001  |

**Abbreviations:** LVMI: Left Ventricular Mass Index; LVEDD: Left Ventricular End-Diastolic Diameter; IVST: Interventricular Septum Thickness; LVPWT: Left Ventricular Posterior Wall Thickness; LVH: Left Ventricular Hypertrophy; LVEF: Left Ventricular Ejection Fraction; LAV: Left Atrial Volume; LAVI: Left Atrial Volume Indexed For Body Surface; DCT: Deceleration Time of E Wave; LVDD: Left Ventricular Diastolic Dysfunction.

among patients with abnormal LAVI, 11 had LVDD dysfunction. PWD showed higher average levels A in patients with SSc as well as a significant reduction of E/A ratio. By TDI study, a reduction of e' s wave values, e'l, e'm value and an increase of E/e's, E/e'l, and E/e'm ratio in Group A patients were shown.

The percentage of patients with LVDD was higher in group A compared with group B (70.5% and 21.8%, respectively; p<0.001). Within group A, 13 patents had a grade-I LVDD, 27 a grade-II and 3 a grade-III. Within group B, 7 subjects displayed a grade-I LVDD and 10 subjects a grade-II. A correlation between the presence of LVDD and duration of the disease has not been shown in our study. No statistically significant evidence was found comparing echocardiographic parameters between dcSSc and lcSSc patients, as well as between single forms of disease versus control subjects

### Electrocardiographic and echocardiographic correlations

The results are reported in Table (6). In Group A, an absolute increase of QTc duration was associated with the presence of LVDD, and this association was not shown in control subjects. Increased values of QTcd and P wave duration were also associated with the presence of LVDD. Mean wall thickness and LVMI values were increased in SSc patients with LVDD. Compared with patients with normal left ventricular diastolic function, QTc

values were significantly higher in patients with LVDD. Mean QTd, QTcd and P wave values were similar between groups. Increased QTc and QTc dispersion values were associated with higher LVMI. An absolute increase of P wave duration was associated with higher values of LAVI. Neither electrocardiographic nor echocardiographic findings nor the presence of LVDD were associated with the disease form or its duration, as well as with the history of arterial hypertension.

### DISCUSSION

In this study, we identified several electrocardiographic and echocardiographic parameters in asymptomatic patients affected by SSc. In particular, we observed a higher QTd value and a statistically significant increase in the number of patients showing increased QTc and QTcd values. Subgroup analysis underlined a significant difference in QTc value between patients with dcSSc and controls. This finding is supported by a previous paper of our group, which showed a higher percentage of perfusion myocardial abnormalities in patients with dcSSc compared with patients affected by lcSSc, together with a higher prevalence of ventricular late potentials in dcSSc subgroup [31]. QTc interval prolongation is an independent risk factor for cardiac mortality and sudden death [32]. Cut-off QTc values considered in various studies are variable from >440 to 470 msec [23,25,26,32]. In patients with a previous myocardial infarction a QTc value > 400 msec was associated with a higher risk for sudden cardiac death [32]. In the Strong Heart Study (1839 patients aged between 45 and 74 years, with a 2.5 years follow-up) a QTc value ≥460 msec was associated with doubled risk for any cause of mortality (HR 2.6; 95% CI, 1.8-3.7) and cardiac mortality (HR 2.3; 95% CI, 1.2-4.6) [23].

In 5812 patients with mean follow-up 4 years from the Rotterdam Study, a QTc value > 400 msec was associated to higher risk for both every cause of mortality and cardiovascular mortality, and a QTc value > 460 msec doubled the risk [26]. QT interval was strictly related to heart rate and women had longer QTc compared to men. It has been suggested that, according with QTc interval distribution, the threshold to define an increase of

**Table 6:** Relationship between ECG findings and LVDD.

|                     | LVDD       | Normal diastolic function | N   |
|---------------------|------------|---------------------------|-----|
|                     | N (%)      | N (%)                     | Tot |
| Group A             |            |                           |     |
| QTc ≥ 450 (msec)    | 15 (78.94) | 4 (21.05)                 | 19  |
| Group B             | 1 (12.5)   | 7 (87.5)                  | 8   |
| QTc ≥ 450 (msec)    |            |                           |     |
| Group A             | 16 (72.72) | 6 (27.27)                 | 22  |
| QTcd > 60 (msec)    |            |                           |     |
| Group B             | 0          | 1 (100)                   | 1   |
| QTcd > 60 (msec)    |            |                           |     |
| Group A             | 15 (75)    | 5 (25)                    | 20  |
| P wave ≥ 110 (msec) |            |                           |     |
| Group B             | 1 (25)     | 3 (75)                    | 4   |
| P wave ≥ 110 (msec) |            |                           |     |



QTc value should be higher for woman than for men. In our female patients with SSc, we defined as pathological a QTc interval  $\geq 450$  msec.

Several studies suggested that QTd is useful to identify patients with higher risk for ventricular arrhythmias. Still, QTd value in risk stratification is not homogeneous. The pathological substrate of myocardial involvement in SSc is characterized by an abnormality of coronary microcirculation without epicardial vessels disease and by the presence of fibrosis areas, probably related to a coronary microcirculation disorder. These fibrosis areas can influence ventricular repolarization and increase the dispersion of recovery time through the ventricle [33].

SSc has been reported to be associated with a neuropathic autonomic disease, possibly involved in the pathogenesis of Raynaud's phenomenon and gastrointestinal symptoms. This dysautonomia represents another possible mechanism involved in the change of ventricular refractory period, as suggested by the presence of an abnormal 24-hour heart rate variability [34-36].

As regards the QTc interval in patients with SSc, limited data have been reported [33,37-40]. In particular, a case-control study on 38 patients with SSc showed QTc, QTd, and QTcd values significantly increased in SSc patients compared to healthy subjects [33].

In our study, the prevalence of LVDD was higher in SSc patients with prolonged QTc interval. Among patients with SSc, both QTc and LVMI mean values were significantly increased when LVDD was present. Moreover, an absolute increase of the value of QTc and QTcd was associated with increased LVMI values.

An association between QTc prolongation and LVDD has already been described among patients with arterial hypertension [21] and it has been attributed to the left ventricular hypertrophy elicited by pressure overload [36,37]. In our study, patients with SSc showed a high prevalence of left ventricular hypertrophy. Notwithstanding, no differences were shown in the prevalence of systemic hypertension. This discordance suggests that the increase of ventricular wall thickness during SSc could not be due to a true hypertrophy of myocardial cells, but to extracellular fibrosis, a process that should not increase voltages of the QRS interval. Furthermore, the prevalence of P wave duration  $\geq 110$  ms and of increased LAVI was higher in SSc patients versus control subjects. A previous study on 270 patients showed that the P wave duration and its dispersion were associated with LAVI values and with the presence of LVDD [40]. Enlargement of left atrium may be a marker of severity and chronicity of LVDD and its linked to an increased left atrial pressure. Moreover, a LAVI value  $\geq 34$  ml/m<sup>2</sup> was an independent risk factor for death, heart failure, atrial fibrillation and an additional criterion of LVDD [17,26,27].

## CONCLUSIONS

This study suggests that ECG and echocardiogram may be able to assess early cardiac involvement in asymptomatic patients affected by SSc. A P wave  $\geq 110$  msec and/or a QTc value  $\geq 450$  msec and/or a QTcd  $>60$  msec appear to be linked with early myocardial damage. Therefore we recommend to perform a 12-lead standard ECG once a year to every patient affected by SSc,

considering to include an echocardiographic study to patients with the above electrocardiographic features.

## REFERENCES

1. Varga J. Systemic sclerosis (scleroderma) and related disorders. In: Longo DL, Fauci AS, Kasper DL, Hauser SL, Jameson JL, Loscalzo J. *Harrisons principles of internal medicine*. 18th ed. The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. New York. 2012.
2. Wollheim FA. Classification of systemic sclerosis. *Visions and reality*. *Rheumatology (Oxford)*. 2005; 1212-1216.
3. Praet V, Smith V, Haspelslagh M. Histopathological cutaneous alterations in systemic sclerosis: a clinicopathological study. *Arthritis Research & Therapy*. 2011; 13: R35.
4. Parks JL, Taylor MH, Parks LP, Silver RM. Systemic sclerosis and the heart. *Rheum Dis Clin North Am*. 2014; 40: 87-102.
5. Plastiras SC, Toumanidis ST. Systemic sclerosis: the heart of the matter. *Hellenic J Cardiol*. 2012; 53: 287-300.
6. Desai CS, Lee DC, Shah SJ. Systemic sclerosis and the heart: current diagnosis and management. *Curr Opin Rheumatol*. 2011; 23: 545-554.
7. Meune C, Vignaux O, Kahan A. Heart involvement in systemic sclerosis: Evolving concept and diagnostic methodologies. *Arch Cardiovasc Dis* 2010; 103: 46-52.
8. Ioannidis JP, Vlachoyiannopoulos PG, Haidich AB, Medsger TA Jr, Lucas M, Michet CJ, et al. Mortality in systemic sclerosis: an international meta-analysis of individual patient data. *Am J Med*. 2005; 118: 2-10.
9. Sampaio-Barros PD1, Bortoluzzo AB, Marangoni RG, Rocha LF, Del Rio AP, Samara AM, et al. Survival, causes of death, and prognostic factors in systemic sclerosis: analysis of 947 Brazilian patients. *J Rheumatol*. 2012; 39: 1971-1978.
10. Elhai M, Meune C, Avouac J. Trends in mortality in patients with systemic sclerosis over 40 years: a systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2012; 51: 1017-1026.
11. Steen VD, Powell DL, Medsger TA Jr. Clinical correlations and prognosis based on serum autoantibodies in patients with systemic sclerosis. *Arthritis Rheum*. 1988; 31: 196-203.
12. Meune C, Avouac J, Wahbi K, Cabanes L, Wipff J, Mouthon L, et al. Cardiac involvement in systemic sclerosis assessed by tissue-doppler echocardiography during routine care: A controlled study of 100 consecutive pa. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2008; 58: 1803-1809.
13. D'Alto M, Cuomo G, Romeo E, Argiento P, Iudici M, Vettori S, et al. Tissue Doppler imaging in systemic sclerosis: a 3-year longitudinal study. *Semin Arthritis Rheum*. 2014; 43: 673-680.
14. Hinchcliff M, Desai CS, Varga J, Shah SJ. Prevalence, prognosis and factors associated with left ventricular diastolic dysfunction in systemic sclerosis. *Clin Exp Rheumatol*. 2012; 30: S30-37.
15. Lambova S. Cardiac manifestations in systemic sclerosis. *World J Cardiol*. 2014; 6: 993-1005.
16. Nagueh SF, Appleton CP, Gillebert TC. Recommendations for the Evaluation of Left Ventricular Diastolic Function by Echocardiography. *Eur J Echocardiogr*. 2009; 10: 165-193.
17. Nagueh SF, Middleton KJ, Kopelen HA, Zoghbi WA, Quiñones MA. Doppler tissue imaging: a noninvasive technique for evaluation of left ventricular relaxation and estimation of filling pressures. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1997; 30: 1527-1533.
18. Van den Hoogen F, Khanna F, Fransen J. 2013 classification criteria for systemic sclerosis: an American College of Rheumatology/European

- League Against Rheumatism collaborative initiative. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2013; 72: 1747-1755.
19. Minoretto P, Politi P, Martinelli V, Emanuele E, Bertona M, Falcone C, et al. QT interval duration in apparently healthy men is associated with depression-related personality trait neuroticism. *J Psychosom Res*. 2006; 61: 19-23.
  20. Wilcox JE, Rosenberg J, Vallakati A, Gheorghiadu M, Shah SJ. Usefulness of electrocardiographic QT interval to predict left ventricular diastolic dysfunction. *Am J Cardiol*. 2011; 108: 1760-1766.
  21. Sokolow M, Lyon TP. The ventricular complex in left ventricular hypertrophy as obtained by unipolar precordial and limb leads. *Am Heart J*. 1949; 37: 161-186.
  22. Okin PM, Devereux RB, Howard BV, Fabsitz RR, Lee ET, Welty TK. Assessment of QT interval and QT dispersion for prediction of all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in American Indians: The Strong Heart Study. *Circulation*. 2000; 101: 61-66.
  23. Jonas B, Nielsen, Claus Graff, Peter V. Rasmussen, et al. Risk prediction of cardiovascular death based on the QTc interval: evaluating age and gender differences in a large primary care population. *Eur Heart J*. 2014; 35: 1335-1344.
  24. Straus SM, Kors JA, De Bruin ML, van der Hooft CS, Hofman A, Heeringa J, et al. Prolonged QTc interval and risk of sudden cardiac death in a population of older adults. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2006; 47: 362-367.
  25. de Bruyne MC, Hoes AW, Kors JA, Hofman A, van Bommel JH, Grobbee DE. Prolonged QT interval predicts cardiac and all-cause mortality in the elderly. The Rotterdam Study. *Eur Heart J*. 1999; 20: 278-284.
  26. Lang RM, Bierig M, Devereux RB, et al. American Society of Echocardiography Nomenclature and Standards Committee; Task Force on Chamber Quantification; American College of Cardiology Echocardiography Committee; American Heart Association; European Association of Echocardiography, European Society of Cardiology. Recommendations for chamber quantification. *Eur J Echocardiogr*. 2006; 7: 79-108.
  27. Teresa SMT, Marion E. Barnes, Bailey KR, Seward JB. Left Atrial volume as a Morphophysiological Expression of Left Ventricular diastolic Dysfunction on and relation to Cardiovascular Risk Burden. *Am J Cardiol*. 2002; 90: 1284-1289.
  28. Morelli S, Sgreccia A, De Marzio P, Perrone C, Ferrante L, Gurgo AM, et al. Noninvasive assessment of myocardial involvement in patients with systemic sclerosis: role of signal averaged electrocardiography. *J Rheumatol*. 1997; 24: 2358-2363.
  29. Schwartz PJ, Wolf S. QT interval prolongation as predictor of sudden death in patients with myocardial infarction. *Circulation*. 1978; 57: 1074-1077.
  30. Sgreccia A, Morelli S, Ferrante L, Perrone C, De Marzio P, De Vincentiis G, et al. QT interval and QT dispersion in systemic sclerosis (scleroderma). *J Intern Med*. 1998; 243: 127-132.
  31. Morelli S, Piccirillo G, Fimognari F, Sgreccia A, Ferrante L, Morabito G, et al. Twenty-four hour heart period variability in systemic sclerosis. *J Rheumatol*. 1996; 23: 643-645.
  32. Wei K, Dorian P, Newman D, Langer A. Association between QT dispersion and autonomic dysfunction in patients with diabetes mellitus. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1995; 26: 859-863.
  33. Morelli S, Piccirillo G, Fimognari F, et al. Twenty-four hour heart rate variability in systemic sclerosis. *J Rheumatol*. 1996; 23: 643-645.
  34. Wei K, Dorian P, Newman D, Langer A. Association between QT dispersion and autonomic dysfunction in patients with diabetes mellitus. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1995; 26: 859-863.
  35. Lo SS, Mathias CJ, Sutton MS. QT interval and dispersion in primary autonomic failure. *Heart*. 1996; 75: 498-501.
  36. Morelli S, Sgreccia A, Ferrante L, Barbieri C, Bernardo ML, Perrone C, De Marzio P. Relationships between electrocardiographic and echocardiographic findings in systemic sclerosis (scleroderma). *Int J Cardiol*. 1996; 57: 151-160.
  37. Rosato EA, Gigante A, Liberatori BM, Gasperini ML, Sardo L, Amoroso AA, et al. QTc interval prolongation in systemic sclerosis: Correlations with clinical variables. *Int J Cardiol*. 2015; 182: 20-22.
  38. Massie C, Hudson M, Solene Tatibouet, Russell Steele, Thao Huynh, Marvin JF. Absence of an association between anti-Ro antibodies and prolonged QTc interval in systemic sclerosis: a multicenter study of 689 patients. *Semin Arthritis Rheum*. 2014; 44: 338-344.
  39. Foocharoen C, Pussadhamma B. Asymptomatic cardiac involvement in Thai systemic sclerosis: prevalence and clinical correlations with non-cardiac manifestations. *Rheumatol*. 2015; 54:1616-1621.
  40. Tsang TS, Barnes ME, Gersh BJ, Bailey KR. Left atrial volume as a morphophysiological expression of left ventricular diastolic dysfunction and relation to cardiovascular risk burden. *Am J Cardiol*. 2002; 90: 1284-1289.

## Cite this article

Rubini G, Vinci F, Farina L, Ricciari V, Sciarra I, et al. (2017) Electrocardiographic and Echocardiographic findings and their Relationships in Patients with Systemic Sclerosis. *J Autoimmun Res* 4(1): 1017.