

Journal of Cancer Biology & Research

Perspective

Quality of Life Issues and Treatment in Pancreas Cancer

Joseph Markowitz1* and William E. Carson III2*

¹Department of Medical Oncology, The Ohio State University, USA

Patients with pancreatic adenocarcinoma face many quality of life issues. Prior to the development of the FOLFIRINOX (5-FU, irinotecan, oxaliplatin, and leucovorin) [1,2] and gemcitabine/nab-paclitaxel [3] chemotherapeutic regimens the survival of patients with metastatic disease was on the order of 6 months. These two newer regimens increased the overall survival to an average of 11.1 and 8.5 months, respectively. Quality of life should be stabilized at the highest possible level with chemotherapy to postpone deterioration in functional status [4]. It has also been shown that pain and tiredness are independent survival prognostic indicators [5]. For these reasons, treatment for pancreatic cancer must take into account quality of life measures.

Gemcitabine became the standard of care for metastatic pancreas cancer following the pivotal trial comparing gemcitabine vs. 5-FU. Even though overall survival was approximately six months, 18% of the patients treated with gemcitabine were alive at one year compared to 2% in those patients treated with 5-FU. Clinical benefit (defined by pain control, Karnofsky performance status, and weight gain) was observed in 23.8% of gemcitabine treated patients versus 4.8% of those patients treated with 5-FU [6]. In the metastatic setting, fixed dose gemcitabine (1000 mg/ m²weeklyat a rate of 10 mg/m²/min) is preferred given the suggestions of increased efficacy although at the cost of increased hematologic side effects (neutropenia/ thrombocytopenia). Mild side effects such as nausea, emesis, and diarrhea are seen in less than 20% of patients [7]. In good performance status patients, doublet therapy is well tolerated, but in poor performance status patients gemcitabine is the standard of care [8]. With this being said, gemcitabine is usually well tolerated. Side effects such as a flu-like syndrome can occur, but the important rare side effect to watch for is gemcitabine associated thrombocytopenia purpura. This condition mimics traditional thrombocytopenia purpura [9-11]. There is some suggestion that the decrease in the tumor marker CA 19-9 may predict for better quality of life measures in the setting of gemcitabine therapy [12]. Recently, it was also shown that gemcitabine may also be responsible for a slight slowing of the functional decline of pancreas cancer patients [13].

Multiple agents have been tried in combination with gemcitabine. A more recent chemotherapeutic combination shown to have efficacy in pancreas cancer and have a FDA approval for metastatic disease is a combination of gemcitabine and erlotinib (epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) inhibitor) [14]. However, the overall survival benefit from the trial is on the order of 2

*Corresponding authors

Joseph Markowitz, Pelotonia Postdoctoral Fellow, Advanced Medical Oncology Fellow, The Ohio State University, Comprehensive Cancer Center, 400 West 12th Avenue Room 424, Columbus, Ohio 43210; e-mail: joseph.markowitz@osumc.edu

William E. Carson III, Professor of Surgery. Associate Director for Clinical Research, OSU Comprehensive Cancer Center, The Ohio State University, N924 Doan Hall 410 W. 10th Ave.Columbus, OH 43210-1228;e-mail: william.carson@osumc.edu

Submitted: 30 May 2014

Accepted: 24 September 2014

Published: 26 September 2014

Copyright

© 2014 Markowitz and Carson

OPEN ACCESS

Keywords

- Pancreas cancer
- Chemotherapy
- · Quality of life

weeks, and the quality of life on erlotinib is significantly reduced due to gastrointestinal toxicities such as diarrhea and a rash that is typical for EGFR inhibitors [15]. Given the decrease in quality of life and cost for a questionable increase in survival, these toxicities have led to questions of whether erlotinib is an appropriate choice of chemotherapy for the metastatic pancreas cancer patient [16,17].

The next major advance in pancreatic chemotherapy treatment was FOLFIRINOX (5-FU, leucovorin, irinotecan, and oxaliplatin). Even though 30% of patients respond to this regimen, FOLFIRINOX is intolerable in a large number of patients secondary to copious diarrhea, and neutropenic fever. In a single institution review from Yale University, 83% of patients required dose reductions in the first cycle due to toxicities [18]. The regimen is made more tolerable when the bolus 5-FU is removed from the regimen and pegfilgastrim is added to decrease the rate of febrile neutropenia and gastrointestinal toxicities [19]. Toxicities were alleviated at The Ohio State University by a modified regimen reducing the bolus dose of 5-FU, leucovorin and the initial dose of irinotecan while adding pegfilgastrim to the combination [20]. Chemotherapy such as FOLFIRINOX decreases the quality of life in patients with metastatic pancreas cancer as compared with gemcitabine. Notable areas were global health status, physical conditioning, cognitive functioning, social functioning, fatigue, and nausea/vomiting [21]. In addition, serious infections have occurred with this regimen and case reports have described concurrent invasive aspergillosis and pneumocystis pneumonia with the use of FOLFIRINOX [22]. After six months of therapy in good performance status patients, 66% of gemcitabine-treated patients had degradation of quality of life as measured by the QLQ-C30 scalesas compared to 31% of patients treated with FOLFIRINOX. During the first eight

²Department of Surgery, The Ohio State University, USA

cycles, the patients receiving FOLFIRINOX had noticed increased diarrhea but other measures such as appetite loss, pain, dyspnea remained the same between the two groups [2]. Gemcitabine in combination with nab-paclitaxel achieves an overall survival in that is intermediate between gemcitabine and FOLFIRINOX [3]. Given its toxicity profile, it may represent a better choice in poorer performance status patients with advanced disease who are still candidates for doublet chemotherapy. Therefore, patients should be carefully selected for FOLFIRINOX therapy and the choice of chemotherapy needs to be individualized for each patient and their goals in treatment.

Quality of life in pancreas cancer while undergoing treatment is also affected by cachexia, pain and depression. Cachexia appears to be secondary to the biology of pancreatic cancer. For example, muscle wasting is known to be a direct effect of pancreatic cancer on the muscle microenvironment [23]. Therapeutics such as megestrol acetate can improve appetite and result in weight gain [24]. However, these agents do not reverse the cancer biology leading to symptoms of cachexia such as muscle wasting. The cancer anorexia-cachexia syndrome is an area of active research and other agents that are being explored include cytokine inhibitors and anti-inflammatory agents [25].

Quality of life is decreased by pain and depression prior to surgery or chemotherapy in newly diagnosed pancreas cancer [26]. The cause of pain in pancreatic cancer can be in part secondary to perineural invasion by the cancer [27]. Pain control in the case of the tumor in the area of the celiac plexus may be improved when pain is initially controlled with medication followed by celiac plexus block [28]. It is important to find the underlying etiology of what is causing the person pain and treat the cause to the best of one's ability. In one small study, quality of life measures were unchanged with home nurse visits as compared to traditional physician office visits, but patient's satisfaction increased [29]. Other quality of life issues including but not limited to gastric outlet obstruction, biliary obstruction and pancreatic insufficiency must all be addressed by the oncologist.

In conclusion, it is possible to administer chemotherapy and improve the quality of life for our patients with advanced pancreatic cancer. The performance status of the patient and determination of the overall goal of care is crucial in selecting the appropriate chemotherapy regimen.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Joseph Markowitz is supported by a post-doctoral grant provided by the Pelotonia foundation.

REFERENCES

- 1. Kim R. FOLFIRINOX: a new standard treatment for advanced pancreatic cancer? Lancet Oncol. 2011; 12: 8-9.
- Conroy T, Desseigne F, Ychou M, Bouché O, Guimbaud R, Bécouarn Y, et al. FOLFIRINOX versus gemcitabine for metastatic pancreatic cancer. N Engl J Med. 2011; 364: 1817-1825.
- Ma WW, Hidalgo M. The winning formulation: the development of paclitaxel in pancreatic cancer. Clin Cancer Res. 2013; 19: 5572-5579.
- 4. Zabernigg A, Giesinger JM, Pall G, Gamper EM, Gattringer K, Wintner

- LM, et al. Quality of life across chemotherapy lines in patients with cancers of the pancreas and biliary tract. BMC Cancer. 2012; 12: 390.
- Bernhard J, Dietrich D, Glimelius B, Hess V, Bodoky G, Scheithauer W, et al. Estimating prognosis and palliation based on tumour marker CA 19-9 and quality of life indicators in patients with advanced pancreatic cancer receiving chemotherapy. Br J Cancer. 2010; 103: 1318-1324.
- Burris HA, Moore MJ, Andersen J, Green MR, Rothenberg ML, Modiano MR, et al. Improvements in survival and clinical benefit with gemcitabine as first-line therapy for patients with advanced pancreas cancer: a randomized trial. J Clin Oncol. 1997; 15: 2403-2413.
- Gelibter A, Malaguti P, Di Cosimo S, Bria E, Ruggeri EM, Carlini P, et al. Fixed dose-rate gemcitabine infusion as first-line treatment for advanced-stage carcinoma of the pancreas and biliary tree. Cancer. 2005; 104: 1237-1245.
- 8. Petrelli F1, Borgonovo K, Ghilardi M, Cabiddu M, Barni S. What else in gemcitabine-pretreated advanced pancreatic cancer? An update of second line therapies. Rev Recent Clin Trials. 2010; 5: 43-56.
- Zupancic M, Shah PC, Shah-Khan F. Gemcitabine-associated thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura. Lancet Oncol. 2007; 8: 634-641.
- 10. Nishijima Y, Hirata H, Himeno A, Kida H, Matsumoto M, Takahashi R, et al. Drug-induced thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura successfully treated with recombinant human soluble thrombomodulin. Intern Med. 2013; 52: 1111-1114.
- 11. Kasi PM. Thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura and gemcitabine. Case Rep Oncol. 2011; 4: 143-148.
- 12. Bernhard J, Dietrich D, Scheithauer W, Gerber D, Bodoky G, Ruhstaller T, et al. Clinical benefit and quality of life in patients with advanced pancreatic cancer receiving gemcitabine plus capecitabine versus gemcitabine alone: a randomized multicenter phase III clinical trial-SAKK 44/00-CECOG/PAN.1.3.001. J Clin Oncol. 2008; 26: 3695-3701.
- 13. Romanus D, Kindler HL, Archer L, Basch E, Niedzwiecki D, Weeks J, et al. Does health-related quality of life improve for advanced pancreatic cancer patients who respond to gemcitabine? Analysis of a randomized phase III trial of the cancer and leukemia group B (CALGB 80303). J Pain Symptom Manage. 2012; 43: 205-217.
- 14. Moore MJ, Goldstein D, Hamm J, Figer A, Hecht JR, Gallinger S, et al. Erlotinib plus gemcitabine compared with gemcitabine alone in patients with advanced pancreatic cancer: a phase III trial of the National Cancer Institute of Canada Clinical Trials Group. J Clin Oncol. 2007; 25: 1960-1966.
- 15.Saif MW, Merikas I, Tsimboukis S, Syrigos K . Erlotinib-induced skin rash. Pathogenesis, clinical significance and management in pancreatic cancer patients. JOP. 2008; 9: 267-274.
- 16.Smith TJ, Hillner BE. Explaining marginal benefits to patients, when "marginal" means additional but not necessarily small. Clin Cancer Res. 2010; 16: 5981-5986.
- 17. Miksad RA, Schnipper L, Goldstein M. Does a statistically significant survival benefit of erlotinib plus gemcitabine for advanced pancreatic cancer translate into clinical significance and value? J Clin Oncol. 2007; 25: 4506-4507.
- 18. Gunturu KS, Yao X, Cong X, Thumar JR, Hochster HS, Stein SM, et al. FOLFIRINOX for locally advanced and metastatic pancreatic cancer: single institution retrospective review of efficacy and toxicity. Med Oncol. 2013; 30: 361.
- Mahaseth H, Brutcher E, Kauh J, Hawk N, Kim S, Chen Z, et al. Modified FOLFIRINOX regimen with improved safety and maintained efficacy



- in pancreatic adenocarcinoma. Pancreas. 2013; 42: 1311-1315.
- 20.Bekaii-Saab T, Goldberg RM. FOLFIRINOX in locally advanced pancreas adenocarcinoma: back to the future? Oncologist. 2013; 18: 487-489.
- 21. Gourgou-Bourgade S, Bascoul-Mollevi C, Desseigne F, Ychou M, Bouché O, Guimbaud R, et al. Impact of FOLFIRINOX compared with gemcitabine on quality of life in patients with metastatic pancreatic cancer: results from the PRODIGE 4/ACCORD 11 randomized trial. J Clin Oncol. 2013; 31: 23-29.
- 22. Péron J, Derbel O, Penet AS, Stella M, Méeus P, Orlandini F, et al. Concomitant occurrence of pulmonary invasive aspergillosis and Pneumocystis pneumonia during FOLFIRINOX chemotherapy for pancreatic carcinoma. Pancreas. 2013; 42: 178-180.
- 23.He WA, Berardi E, Cardillo VM, Acharyya S, Aulino P, Thomas-Ahner J, et al. NF- κ B-mediated Pax7 dysregulation in the muscle microenvironment promotes cancer cachexia. J Clin Invest. 2013; 123: 4821-4835.
- 24. Tuca A, Jimenez-Fonseca P, Gascón P. Clinical evaluation and optimal

- management of cancer cachexia. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol. 2013; 88: 625-636.
- 25. Ronga I, Gallucci F, Riccardi F, Uomo G. Anorexia-cachexia syndrome in pancreatic cancer: recent advances and new pharmacological approach. Adv Med Sci. 2014; 59: 1-6.
- 26. Kelsen DP, Portenoy RK, Thaler HT, Niedzwiecki D, Passik SD, Tao Y, et al. Pain and depression in patients with newly diagnosed pancreas cancer. J Clin Oncol. 1995; 13: 748-755.
- 27. di Mola FF, di Sebastiano P. Pain and pain generation in pancreatic cancer. Langenbecks Arch Surg. 2008; 393: 919-922.
- 28.Amr YM, Makharita MY. Comparative study between 2 protocols for management of severe pain in patients with unresectable pancreatic cancer: one-year follow-up. Clin J Pain. 2013; 29: 807-813.
- 29. Uitdehaag MJ, van Putten PG, van Eijck CH, Verschuur EM, van der Gaast A, Pek CJ, et al. Nurse-led follow-up at home vs. conventional medical outpatient clinic follow-up in patients with incurable upper gastrointestinal cancer: a randomized study. J Pain Symptom Manage. 2014; 47: 518-530.

Cite this article

Markowitz J. Carson WE III (2014) Quality of Life Issues and Treatment in Pancreas Cancer. J Cancer Biol Res 2(2): 1048.