⊘SciMedCentral

Letter to the Editor

Competitive Antagonists of Adenosine Deaminase versus Enzymatic Inhibitors: Complementary Approaches Targeting Dipeptidyl Peptidase 4 (DP IV) and their Relation to MERS-CoV Infection

Dirk Reinhold¹, Thilo Kähne², and Stefan Brocke^{3*}

¹Institute of Molecular and Clinical Immunology, Otto-von-Guericke-University Magdeburg, Germany

²Institute of Experimental Internal Medicine, Otto-von-Guericke-University Magdeburg, Germany

³Department of Immunology, University of Connecticut Health Center, USA

DEAR EDITOR,

Because of concerns about its pandemic potential, therapeutic approaches to Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) constitute pressing needs [1,2]. Van Doremalen and colleagues indentified, five amino acids within dipeptidyl peptidase 4 (DP IV) as critical for determining the species tropism for interaction with the receptor binding domain of MERS-CoV spike protein [3]. Based on previous reports, adenosine deaminase (ADA) might limit infection of mammalian cells by MERS-CoV through competition for the virus binding site on DP IV [4]. These findings could provide a basis for the development of pharmacological antagonists and antibodies [5-7] which can prevent DP IV- mediated entry of MERS-CoV into mammalian cells.

In our previous work we identified binding partners of DP IV including those that inhibit its enzymatic activity and provided a detailed analysis of the DP IV-ADA interaction [8]. In the present study, we present data from a comprehensive survey of the ability of several natural and pharmacological substances to inhibit ADA binding to DP IV (Table 1). These included agents from distinct molecular categories such as lectins, antisera and DP IV enzymatic inhibitors. As expected, specific antibodies efficiently blocked ADA binding to DP IV but several lectins were also highly potent in their inhibitory activity (Table 1). Of note, it was reported that HIV gp120 also binds to a region of DP IV similar to the binding site for MERS-CoV [9].

In addition to compounds that block non-catalytic moieties of DP IV, we identified a series of inhibitors of DP IV enzymatic activity that were shown to regulate immune responses *in vivo* [8, 10-14]. These inhibitors might modulate the pathogenesis of viral infection and serve as another class of potential therapeutics.

Annals of Clinical Cytology and Pathology

*Corresponding author

Stefan Brocke, Department of Immunology, University of Connecticut Health Center, Farmington, CT 06030, USA, Tel: 860-679-8860; Email: sbrocke@uchc.edu

Submitted: 15 April 2016

Accepted: 01 June 2016

Published: 06 June 2016

Copyright

© 2016 Brocke et al.

OPEN ACCESS

Keywords

- DP IV inhibitors
- CD26
- MERS-CoV
- Immunotherapy

Based on our work on the use of DP IV inhibitors as a treatment for autoimmune disease, DP IV inhibition could suppress the damaging aspects of the body's own antiviral immune response by modulating inflammation [8,10,13]. Reversible inhibitors of DP IV enzymatic activity suppress T cell proliferation and production of pro-inflammatory cytokines [8,13]. As we have shown, the DP IV inhibitor-mediated suppression acts in part through the induction of TGF- β 1 production by effector T (Teff) cells at the site of the immune response within tissues. As a consequence of this action, the levels of latent TGF-B1 increased in tissue and plasma of mice treated with DP IV inhibitors [8,10]. Thus, TGF-B1 induction at the site of inflammation may be an additional therapeutic benefit of DP IV inhibitor treatment, as TGF-B1 has been shown to critically regulate immune responses in severe respiratory infections [15]. Importantly, injection of TGF- β delayed mortality and reduced viral titers of H5N1 influenza virus-infected mice while neutralization of TGF-B during H5N1 and pandemic 2009 H1N1 infection had opposing effects [15].

Taken together, the action of various ADA-DP IV binding antagonists as well as enzymatic inhibitors of DP IV should be explored in preclinical models as a prelude to determine their therapeutic effect in severe viral infections, including infection with MERS-CoV.

Regards,

Stefan Brocke

Department of Immunology,

University of Connecticut Health Center, USA

Cite this article: Reinhold D, Kähne T, Brocke S (2016) Competitive Antagonists of Adenosine Deaminase versus Enzymatic Inhibitors: Complementary Approaches Targeting Dipeptidyl Peptidase 4 (DP IV) and their Relation to MERS-CoV Infection. Ann Clin Cytol Pathol 2(3): 1028.

⊘SciMedCentral

Table 1: Inhibition of ADA-DP IV binding ¹	
Effectors binding	Inhibition of (% of max ± SD)
Antibodies	
Polyclonal goat-anti DP IV antibody	62.5 ± 12.3
Control serum (goat, mouse, sheep)	no effect
Ions	
(Ni ²⁺ , Sr ²⁺ , NH ₄ ⁺ , Ba ²⁺ , Ca ²⁺ , Cd ²⁺ , Mn ²⁺ , CO ²⁺ , Mg ²⁺)	no effect
Dipeptides	
(Phe-Ala, Gly-Gly, Gly-Pro, Phe-Pro, Ile-Ala, Ala-Pro, Ala-Ala, Tyr-Pro)	no effect
Inhibitors of DP IV enzymatic activity	
A-Ala-Pro-O(nitrobenzoyl-)hydroxylamine, Lys[Z(NO ₂)]-thiazolidide, Lys[Z(NO ₂)]-piperidide)	no effect
Cytokines and growth factors	
(human IL-2, murine IL-2, human IL-1b, human ACTH)	no effect
ECM proteins	
human fibronectin	18.9 ± 2.9
collagen	no effect
Protein mixtures	
(gelatine, peptone, casiton)	no effect
Albumins	
(human, bovine)	no effect
Basic proteins	
(ribonuclease, protamin)	no effect
Acidic proteins	
(amyloglucosidase)	no effect
Lectins	
AAA (Aleuria aurantia agglutinine)	9.8 ± 3.8
MAA (Maackia amurensis agglutinine)	56.2 ± 8.7
WGA (Triticum vulgaris agglutinine)	90.2 ± 5.2
RCA (Ricinus communis agglutinine)	89.4 ± 3.6
SNA (Sambucus niger agglutinine)	79.7 ± 2.1
PHA (Phaseolus vulgaris agglutinine)	10.6 ± 8.2
PWM (Phytolacca americana agglutinine)	4.7 ± 7.5

¹DP IV-ADA interactions were analyzed using a dot-blot assay (n = 3) based on interaction of biotinylated ADA with purified human DP IV immobilized onto nitrocellulose as described [8]. The concentration of the putative competitors has been titrated to saturation of the effect. Reagents were obtained from Boehringer Ingelheim, Sigma and from sources as described elsewhere [8].

REFERENCES

- 1. Hotez PJ, Bottazzi ME, Tseng CT, Zhan B, Lustigman S, Du L, et al. Calling for rapid development of a safe and effective MERS vaccine. Microbes Infect. 2014; 16: 529-531.
- Chan JF, Lau SK, To KK, Cheng VC, Woo PC, Yuen KY. Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus: another zoonotic betacoronavirus causing SARS-like disease. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2015; 28: 465-522.
- 3. van Doremalen N, Miazgowicz KL, Milne-Price S, Bushmaker T, Robertson S, Scott D, et al. Host species restriction of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus through its Receptor, dipeptidyl peptidase 4. J Virol. 2014; 88: 9220-9232.
- Raj VS, Smits SL, Provacia LB, van den Brand JM, Wiersma L, Ouwendijk WJ, et al. Adenosine deaminase acts as a natural antagonist for dipeptidyl peptidase 4-mediated entry of the Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus. J Virol. 2014; 88: 1834-1838.
- Ohnuma K, Haagmans BL, Hatano R, Raj VS, Mou H, Iwata S, et al. Inhibition of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection by anti-CD26 monoclonal antibody. J Virol. 2013; 87: 13892-13899.
- 6. Muthumani K, Falzarano D, Reuschel EL, Tingey C, Flingai S, Villarreal

DO, et al. A synthetic consensus anti-spike protein DNA vaccine induces protective immunity against Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus in nonhuman primates. Sci Transl Med. 2015; 7: 301ra132.

- 7. Reinhold D, Brocke S. DPP4-directed therapeutic strategies for MERS-CoV. Lancet Infect Dis. 2014; 14: 100-101.
- Kahne T, Lendeckel U, Wrenger S, Neubert K, Ansorge S, Reinhold D. Dipeptidyl peptidase IV: a cell surface peptidase involved in regulating T cell growth (review). Int J Mol Med. 1999; 4: 3-15.
- 9. Herrera C, Morimoto C, Blanco J, Mallol J, Arenzana F, Lluis C, et al. Comodulation of CXCR4 and CD26 in human lymphocytes. J Biol Chem. 2001; 276: 19532-19539.
- 10. Steinbrecher A, Reinhold D, Quigley L, Gado A, Tresser N, Izikson L, et al. Targeting dipeptidyl peptidase IV (CD26) suppresses autoimmune encephalomyelitis and up-regulates TGF-beta 1 secretion *in vivo*. J Immunol. 2001; 166: 2041-2048.
- 11. Preller V, Gerber A, Wrenger S, Togni M, Marguet D, Tadje J, et al. TGF-beta1-mediated control of central nervous system inflammation

⊘SciMedCentral

and autoimmunity through the inhibitory receptor CD26. J Immunol. 2007; 178: 4632-4640.

- 12. Biton A, Ansorge S, Bank U, Täger M, Reinhold D, Brocke S. Divergent actions by inhibitors of DP IV and APN family enzymes on CD4+ Teff cell motility and functions. Immunobiology. 2011; 216: 1295-1301.
- 13. Reinhold D, Bank U, Täger M, Ansorge S, Wrenger S, Thielitz A, et al. DP IV/CD26, APN/CD13 and related enzymes as regulators of T cell immunity: implications for experimental encephalomyelitis and

multiple sclerosis. Front Biosci. 2008; 13: 2356-2363.

- 14.Reinhold D, Bank U, Entz D, Goihl A, Stoye D, Wrenger S, et al. PETIR-001, a dual inhibitor of dipeptidyl peptidase IV (DP IV) and aminopeptidase N (APN), ameliorates experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis in SJL/J mice. Biol Chem. 2011; 392: 233-237.
- 15. Carlson CM, Turpin EA, Moser LA, O'Brien KB, Cline TD, Jones JC, et al. Transforming growth factor-beta: activation by neuraminidase and role in highly pathogenic H5N1 influenza pathogenesis. PLoS Pathog. 2010; 6: 1001136.

Cite this article

Reinhold D, Kähne T, Brocke S (2016) Competitive Antagonists of Adenosine Deaminase versus Enzymatic Inhibitors: Complementary Approaches Targeting Dipeptidyl Peptidase 4 (DP IV) and their Relation to MERS-CoV Infection. Ann Clin Cytol Pathol 2(3): 1028.