

## Research Article

# Effectiveness of Resorbable Surgical Gelatin Sponge Following Impacted Mandibular Tooth Extraction: A Prospective Split Mouth Comparative Investigation

Khursheed Ur Rahman<sup>1\*</sup>, Ghulam Mujtaba Shah<sup>1</sup>, Muhammad Ikram<sup>2</sup>, Muhammad Fiaz<sup>1</sup>, Jan Alam<sup>1</sup>, Arif Khan<sup>3</sup>, Noreen Bibi<sup>1</sup> and Aqsa Rehman<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Botany, Hazara, University, Mansehra 21300, Pakistan

<sup>2</sup>Department of Pharmacy, COMSATS University Islamabad, Abbottabad Campus, 22060, Pakistan

<sup>3</sup>Department of Dentistry, DHQ Hospital, Battagram, 21040, Pakistan

**\*Corresponding author**

Khursheed Ur Rahman, Department of Botany, Hazara University, Mansehra, Pakistan

Submitted: 23 January 2024

Accepted: 03 February 2024

Published: 06 February 2024

ISSN: 2333-7133

**Copyright**

© 2024 Rahman KU, et al.

**OPEN ACCESS****Keywords**

- Surgical gelatin sponge
- Post-surgical extraction of impacted mandibular teeth

**Abstract**

**Background:** Post-operative pain is common complication following surgical extraction of impacted mandibular teeth. Absorbable Surgical Gelatin sponge is a hemostatic Agent commonly used in controlling bleeding in oral surgery. The specific aim of this study is to understand the efficacy and efficiency of absorbable surgical gelatin sponge in reducing post-operative complication after surgical extraction of impacted mandibular molars.

**Materials and Methods:** 30 patients who required bilateral surgical extraction of impacted mandibular third molar were selected for the study through randomization one side was packed with absorbable gelatin sponge while the other was left empty prior to suturing. The patients were asked to fill a pamphlet handed to them post-surgery and score the three criteria from 0-2 for 7 days. The scoring was totaled and compared after 7 days.

**Results:** 30 patients with an average age 27 years were selected, the experimental group showed significant reduction in pain  $t = 0.09$ ,  $0.05 < p < 0.1$  and bleeding  $t = 0.276$ ,  $p > 0.50$  postoperatively for 7 days though there was no difference in the swelling. Healing of both the control and experimental group did not differ significantly at the end of 7 days.

**Conclusion:** Using gelatin sponge in the extraction sockets of impacted third molars, when excessive bleeding is not present, reduces postoperative pain compared to non-packed control sites. This difference is statistically significant when gelatin sponge is used. Furthermore, the use of gelatin sponge does not significantly impede the healing process in clinical settings. There is a noticeable difference in pain reduction between the experimental and control groups.

**INTRODUCTION**

Surgical a common procedure carried out on a regular basis in a dental clinic setting is the extraction of an impacted third molar. Despite being a common procedure, one of the few complications that clinicians regularly deal with is postoperative pain in patients undergoing third molar extraction [1].

Resorbable surgical Made from neutral pharmaceutical grade gelatin, gelatin sponge is a frequently used hemostatic agent used in oral surgery to stop bleeding. It can swell up to 45 times its original size by compressing the bleeding vessel or stopping the bleeding [2].

Although NSAIDS-prescribed post-surgical extractions significantly lessen postoperative pain, there is no immediate post-operative pain reduction during surgery [3].

Few studies have demonstrated a direct correlation between the rate at which clots form and post-operative pain [4]. An investigation was carried out to compare the effects of applying an absorbable surgical gelatin sponge inside the extraction socket of teeth that have been surgically extracted versus leaving the socket empty before suturing. Assessing the incidence of common postoperative complications, such as pain, edoema, and bleeding, was the main goal [5].

**MATERIALS AND METHODOLOGY**

In total 30 patients, consisting of 10 Female and 20 Male Patients aged 18 to 40 with an average age of 27 years were scheduled for surgical extraction of bilaterally impacted mandibular third molar following randomization protocol after

Obtaining Ethical Clearance from the Institutional Human Ethical Committee.

Patients Following Randomization, the material to be tested i.e., Absorbable Gelatin sponge was placed in the extraction socket of the patient and sutured with Interrupted 3-0 Silk Sutures while the control side was sutured with the same 3-0 Silk sutures leaving the socket empty [6].

The absorbable sponge was cut into identical Size of 15x15x15mm cubes.

To avoid any clinician Bias the side of the study materials was switched with every patient so as to avoid any preference bias [7].

### Absorbable surgical gelatin sponge

The material in question is a gelatin-based substance that is capable of being absorbed by the body. Specifically, it is composed of collagen, a protein that has undergone formaldehyde treatment. The substance is distributed in a sponge-like structure, available in various dimensions, exhibiting both durability and porosity. The aforementioned characteristic allows the material to effectively absorb blood at a rate that is 45 times its own weight. The hemostatic action is attributed to the consistent porosity of the gelatin sponge, which facilitates platelet adhesion and subsequent degradation, resulting in the release of thrombokinase. Gelatin Sponge has a neutral pH, rendering it compatible for moistening with thrombin or antibiotic solutions without compromising its integrity [3].

In All the 30 cases 3 simple interrupted 3-0 Silk sutures were placed to retain the Material of choice. For analgesia 1:80,000 2% Lignocaine Local anesthesia was administered as IANB Block along with long buccal nerve block [8].

Patients were further grouped into 3 groups Group A, Group B and Group C based on the intraoperative trauma. Group A: Slight Trauma, Group B: moderate Trauma and Group C: Severe Trauma.

All Patients post operatively were prescribed oral NSAIDS Aceclofenac (100 mg) + Paracetamol (325 mg) to be taken twice a day for 5 days post [9].

The patients were provided with a Pamphlet in which they were asked to record instances of bleeding, discomfort, and edoema following the surgical procedure. During each of the seven days following the surgical procedure, the patients were instructed to document the specified parameters at both 8 a.m. and 8 p.m.

The postoperative patients were managed by investigators who were unaware of the specific placement of the test material. The statistical method employed for assessing the outcomes was the Student t-test for paired comparisons [10].

## RESULTS

The comparative assessment of the degree of surgical trauma indicated no observable differentiation between the sides treated with Absorbable surgical Gelatin sponge and those treated with the control substance.

### Swelling

The examination of the cumulative swelling scores among the participants in the gelatin and Control group indicated a little disparity, as the gelatin group had slightly reduced levels of swelling in comparison to the control group (Table 3). The statistical analysis of paired comparisons done between the test side and control side within each patient did not yield any significant difference in edema between the two sides. Nevertheless, it is important to acknowledge that the t-value exhibited a preference for the Absorbable gelatin group.

### Pain

The analysis of the mean pain scores for patients in the gelatin revealed a significant disparity in pain levels between the experimental and control sides favoring the experimental site (Table 3).

### Bleeding

The calculation of the mean bleeding scores for the patients in both groups revealed a somewhat lower level of bleeding in the absorbable surgical gelatin sponge group in comparison to the control group (Table 3).

**Table 1:** Parameters mentioned in the pamphlet.

Scoring	Bleeding	Pain	Swelling
0	No Bleeding	No pain	No swelling
1	Oozing	Slight Pain	Slight intraoral or extraoral swelling
2	Severe Bleeding	Severe Pain	Severe intraoral or Extraoral Swelling

**Table 2:** The distribution of patients in groups categorized as surgical trauma and the average duration of operation time, measured in minutes. A: Minimal operating trauma; B: Moderate operative trauma; C: Severe operative trauma.

Degree of trauma	Test Side			Control Side		
	Group A	Group B	Group C	Group A	Group B	Group C
Absorbable Surgical Gelatin Sponge	20	10	0	18	12	0
No. of Patient						
Average time for Surgery (min)	15			15		

**Table 3:** Average of parameter score for absorbable surgical gel sponge and control group.

	Absorbable Gelatin Sponge	
	Test Side	Control Side
Swelling	4.8	6.0
Pain	2.9	7.2
Bleeding	1.5	3.5

**Table 4.** Paired comparisons between test side and control side in each patient.

	Absorbable Surgical Gelatin Sponge
Swelling	t = 0.17, p > 50
Pain	t = 0.09, 0.05 < p < 0.1
Bleeding	t = 0.276, p > 50

## DISCUSSION

The findings of this prospective split-mouth study provide valuable insights into the potential benefits of using absorbable Gelatin surgical Sponges in the context of oral and maxillofacial surgery. Although the sample size in this study was relatively small, it's important to highlight the unique approach taken, where each patient effectively served as their own control, comparing the experimental side to the contralateral control side [11].

One notable outcome from the study was the significant reduction in postoperative pain experienced by patients in the experimental group over the course of 7 days. This reduction in pain is a crucial aspect of patient comfort and recovery, which is of great importance in the field of oral surgery [12].

It's also interesting to note that a majority of the patients preferred the experimental side, indicating their positive perception of the reduction in postoperative pain. Patient satisfaction is a vital component of any medical treatment, and these preferences may influence clinical decision-making [13].

However, it's worth mentioning that the study did not find a significant decrease in postoperative swelling when comparing the experimental group to the control group. While reduced pain is certainly a favourable outcome, the lack of a substantial decrease in swelling suggests that there may be other factors at play in the recovery process that need further investigation.

One particularly intriguing aspect highlighted in this discussion is the mechanism by which the gelatin sponge seems to operate. By physically compressing damaged blood vessels, promoting clot formation, and stabilizing these clots, it potentially hastens the healing process and encourages the differentiation of surrounding mesenchymal stem cells. This mechanism adds an interesting dimension to the potential benefits of absorbable gelatin sponges [14].

Additionally, the reference to prior studies showing that periosteal placement of gelatin sponges can expedite bone formation and aid in healing is a promising finding. It suggests that this approach might have wider applications and merits further investigation with a larger sample size and a variety of impaction classifications. Such studies would be crucial in determining whether absorbable surgical gelatin sponges should be routinely incorporated into clinical settings to reduce postoperative swelling, enhance patient recovery, and potentially improve long-term outcomes in oral and maxillofacial surgery [15].

In summary, while this study offers valuable initial insights, it underscores the need for more extensive research to draw

definitive conclusions about the routine use of absorbable gelatin sponges in clinical settings. The potential benefits for patient comfort and healing make it a topic worthy of continued investigation and exploration.

## CONCLUSION

The utilization of gelatin sponge in extraction sockets of impacted third molars, in cases when excessive bleeding is absent, appears to result in a reduction of postoperative Pain as compared to the control sides that are not packed. The observed disparity has statistical significance in the context of gelatin sponge. The utilization of Gelatin Sponge materials does not appear to significantly hinder the healing process of sockets in clinical settings. There exists a notable disparity in the level of pain reduction seen between the experimental and control groups.

## REFERENCES

- Petersen JK, Krogsgaard J, Nielsen KM, Nørgaard EB. A comparison between 2 absorbable hemostatic agents: Gelatin sponge (Spongostan) and oxidized regenerated cellulose (Surgicel). *Int J Oral Surg.* 1984; 13: 406-410.
- Singh M, Bhate K, Kulkarni D, Santhosh Kumar SN, Kathariya R. The effect of alloplastic bone graft and absorbable gelatin sponge in prevention of periodontal defects on the distal aspect of mandibular second molars, after surgical removal of impacted mandibular third molar: a comparative prospective study. *J Maxillofac Oral Surg.* 2015; 14: 101-106.
- Kan KW, Liu JKS, Lo ECM, Corbet EF, Leung WK. Residual periodontal defects distal to the mandibular second molar 6-36 months after impacted third molar extraction-A retrospective cross-sectional study of young adults. *J Clin Periodontol.* 2002; 29: 1004-1011.
- Peng KY, Tseng YC, Shen EC, Chiu SC, Fu E, Huang YW. Mandibular second molar periodontal status after third molar extraction. *J Periodontol.* 2001; 72: 1647-1651.
- Dodson TB. Management of mandibular third molar extraction sites to prevent periodontal defects. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2004; 62: 1213-1224.
- Sheen JR, Garla VV. Fracture healing overview. StatPearls Publishing. 2022.
- Nappi JF, Lehman JA Jr. The effects of Surgicel on bone formation. *Cleft Palate J.* 1980; 17: 291-296.
- Coceancig PL. Alveolar bone grafts distal to the lower second molar. *J Maxillofac Oral Surg.* 2009; 8: 22-26.
- Eppley BL. Alloplastic biomaterials for facial reconstruction. In: Booth PW, Eppley BL, Schmelzeisen R, editors. *Maxillofacial trauma and esthetic facial reconstruction.* Churchill Livingstone, Edinburg. 2003; 147-149.
- Katthagen BD, Mittelmeier H. Experimental animal investigation of bone regeneration with collagen-apatite. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg (1978).* 1984; 103: 291-302.
- Mittelmeier H, Mittelmeier W, Gleitz M. Pyrost, a spongy, mineral bone substitute. Experimental bases and 13-year clinical experience in over 1000 cases. *Orthopade.* 1998; 27: 126-135.
- Finn MD, Schow SR, Schneiderman ED. Osseous regeneration in the presence of four common hemostatic agents. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 1992; 50: 608-612.

13. Bodner L. Osseous regeneration in the jaws using demineralized allogenic bone implants. *J Craniomaxillofac Surg.* 1998; 26: 116-120.
14. Petersen JK, Krogsgaard J, Nielsen KM, Nørgaard EB. A comparison between 2 absorbable hemostatic agents: gelatin sponge (Spongostan) and oxidized regenerated cellulose (Surgicel). *Int J Oral Surg.* 1984; 13: 406-410.
15. Wilkinson HA, Baker S, Rosenfeld S. Gelfoam paste in experimental laminectomy and cranial trephination: hemostasis and bone healing. *J Neurosurg.* 1981; 54: 664-667.