# $\bigcirc$ SciMedCentral

#### **Research Article**

# Temporal Effects of Elevated Carbon Dioxide and Ozone on Soil Carbon and Nitrogen Stoichiometry in a No-till Soybean-Wheat Agro-ecosystem

## Momen B1\*, K.R. Islam<sup>2</sup> and R. Rowland<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Environmental Science and Technology, University of Maryland, USA <sup>2</sup>Soil, Water and Bioenergy Resources, Ohio State University South Centers, USA <sup>3</sup>USDA-ARS, Beltsville, MD, USA

#### Abstract

The effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> on plants have been studied widely, but their possible effects on carbon: nitrogen stoichiometry of soil organic matter (SOM) has received much less attention. We conducted a five-year field experiment to address such effects on SOM. We used open-top chambers to expose a no-till (NT) soybean-wheat system to a factorial combinations of two CO, (360 & 500  $\mu mol$ mol<sup>-1</sup>) and two O<sub>3</sub> (25 & 70 nmol mol<sup>-1</sup>) treatments daily from April to October under ambient light and temperature conditions. Geo-referenced composite soil samples were collected annually and analyzed for soil total organic C (TOC), N (TN), hydrogen (H) concentrations, and bulk density. TOC increased through time under both CO<sub>2</sub> levels, but the increase was significantly greater under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (585-kg ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>) compared with the ambient CO<sub>2</sub> (18-kg ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>). TN decreased over time under both levels of the CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> treatments. The rate of decrease in TN was greater under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (216-kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>) compared to ambient CO $_{\rm 2}$  (152-kg N ha  $^{-1}$  y  $^{-1}$  ), but it was smaller under elevated O $_{\rm 3}$  (172kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>) as compared to low O<sub>3</sub> (197-kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>). The C:N was increased significantly over time under both levels of CO2 or O3 treatments. Results suggest that increased TOC sequestration at elevated  $CO_2$  could elicit a progressive soil N deficiency. In contrast, the impact of elevated  $O_3(+O_3 \text{ and } O_3+CO_2)$  may cause a small accumulation of recalcitrant C, which in the long-term could affect SOM labiality. Increased soil N under elevated O3 may enhance N leaching from soil.

# **INTRODUCTION**

Tropospheric  $CO_2$  and  $O_3$  concentrations have been increasing and will continue to increase in response to human activities [1-4]. At least in the short term, elevated  $CO_2$  can increase plant photosynthesis, water- and nutrient-use efficiencies, net primary production, root production, rhizosphere deposition, and mycorrhizal associations [5], but alter plant biomass composition by increasing C:N stoichiometry with higher proportions of nonstructural carbohydrates, lignin and phenolic compounds [6]. However, it has been suggested that accumulation of lignin and phenolic compounds in plant biomass may be due to nutrient limitations rather than the direct effect of elevated  $CO_2$  [7].

Near-surface  $O_3$  concentration is the product of photochemical reactions of carbon monoxide, methane and other hydrocarbons

# JSM Environmental Science & Ecology

#### \*Corresponding author

Bahram Momen, Department of Environmental Science and Technology, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA, Tel: 301-405-1332; Fax: 301-314-9041; Email: bmomen@umd.edu

Submitted: 02 November, 2013

Accepted: 27 December, 2013

Published: 30 December, 2013

#### Copyright

© 2013 Momen et al.

OPEN ACCESS

#### **Keywords**

- Climate change
- Soil nitrogen
- Soil carbon
- Elevated CO,
- Elevated O<sub>2</sub>

in the presence of nitrogen oxides [1].  $O_3$  is a phytotoxic pollutant that affects the integrity and permeability of plant-cell membranes and decreases metabolic efficiency [1,8,9]. Elevated  $O_3$  may decrease crop yield and reduce below-ground carbon allocation [10]. However, plants may acclimate to, or resist, the effects of elevated  $O_3$  through formation of antioxidants [11] and/ or changing structural and cellular composition of plant biomass [12]. The latter can result in plant litters that are relatively recalcitrant for soil microorganisms [13,14].

Plant biomass is the main source of SOM formation [15,16]. Effects of elevated  $CO_2$  and/or  $O_3$  on plant biomass may have immediate and variable effects on soil food webs, microbial decomposition of organic matter and biological efficiency, and consequently on the soil's ability as a sink or source of C and other

*Cite this article:* Momen B, Islam KR, Rowland R (2013) Temporal Effects of Elevated Carbon Dioxide and Ozone on Soil Carbon and Nitrogen Stoichiometry in a No-till Soybean-Wheat Agro-ecosystem. JSM Environ Sci Ecol 1(2): 1008.

nutrients by influencing SOM labiality in response to agricultural management practices [17-19].

No-till (NT) is an agricultural practice that allows surface accumulation of crop residues. Reduced contact between soil microorganisms and crop residues under NT favors dominance of fungal food webs to utilize N poor un-fragmented crop residues [20-22]. Extensive fungal hyphae-plant root associations may aid in the protection of C especially particulate organic matter (POM) in soil macro-aggregates [22]. Furthermore, microbial preference for easily decomposable C-H-O enriched root exudates under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> may slow-down and/or reduce decomposition of high C:N crop residues and subsequent accumulation of C and other nutrients in SOM [22-24]. However, biological processes associated with crop residue decomposition are often influenced by N availability [25]. Decomposition of high C:N crop residue may increase microbial demand for N and, in turn, increase mineralization of low C:N native SOM [26]. Therefore, we hypothesized that no-till surface accumulation of high C:N crop residue produced under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> would be converted to C-H enriched but N-deficient SOM.

Soil functional processes that are mediated by microbes are often C-limited. Therefore, reduced crop biomass with greater recalcitrant composition at elevated  $O_3$  could lead to an intense competition among the heterotrophic microbes for labial C metabolism [27,28]. An intense competition for labile C may favor the dominance of energy inefficient and generalist microbial feeders (e.g. bacteria) under labile C-limited ecosystems [16,21]. As a result, microbes may be forced to mineralize native SOM or autolyse their cells to fulfill increasing demand for labile C [27]. Therefore, we hypothesized that soils with growing crops under elevated  $O_3$  will lose labile C faster but accumulate a small amount of recalcitrant C over time.

Soil organic matter is a major reservoir of nutrients, especially C and N that are stoichiometrically linked. This link is often influenced by H contents to maintain quality, stability and biogeochemistry of SOM [28,29]. A greater availability of high C:N crop residue or a lack of easily decomposable C-H enriched substrates for metabolism under elevated  $CO_2$  or  $O_3$  may be a key factor for C and N sequestration or depletion [30]. Knowledge of how SOM formation and lability will respond to long-term effects of elevated  $CO_2$  or  $O_3$  on plants is important to understand C and N dynamics.

Several studies have evaluated long-term effects of elevated  $CO_2$  and  $O_3$  on below ground responses and soil C dynamics for different plants [4,6,17-19,30]. Soil ability to serve as a sink for C under elevated  $CO_2$  may be limited [6]. Significant variable  $CO_2 \times O_3 \times$  time interactions on below ground processes, residue decomposition, and soil C dynamics have been reported [6,19,29-32]. However, there is no long-term research conducted to date examining  $CO_2 \times O_3 \times$  time interaction on soil C and N dynamics under continuous NT soybean-wheat agro ecosystems. Therefore, the objective of our study was to address temporal effects of elevated  $CO_2$  and  $O_{3'}$  individually and in combination, on TOC, TN and H contents of SOM under NT soybean (*Glycine max*, L.) - wheat (*Triticumaestivum*, L.) rotation. More specifically, we used analysis of covariance and repeated-measures analysis of variance to address: (1) the temporal change in the responses

(i.e., test of the equality of the slope of the temporal trends to zero); (2) the interactive effects of  $CO_2$  and  $O_3$  on the responses studied; and (3) the interactive effects of temporal change and air quality treatments (i.e., test of interaction between time and the main or simple effects of  $CO_2$  and  $O_3$ ).

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The study was conducted at the USDA-ARS Climate Stress Laboratory field research facility at Beltsville, MD, USA. The soil is a moderately well drained Codorus silt loam (fine sand, acid, mesic, Fluvaquentic Dystrochrept), which is formed from recently deposited alluvium washed from soils developed from acid crystalline rocks of the Piedmont [33]. Initial studies on the soil properties and climatic conditions have been described elsewhere [34].

#### **Experimental treatments and cultural practices**

Air quality treatments consisted of a  $2^2$  factorial combinations of two CO<sub>2</sub> (ambient at 365±5 vs. elevated at 500±5 µmol mol<sup>-1</sup>) and two O<sub>3</sub> (charcoal filtered air at 25±5 vs. elevated at 70±10 nmol mol<sup>-1</sup>) levels. Each treatment combination was replicated twice, using eight open-top chambers (OTC). The OTC's (3-m diameter) were placed on 4-m × 4-m plots in which wheat and soybeans were grown in rotation from seed to maturity under continuous no-till. The CO<sub>2</sub> treatment was supplied daily from a bulk tank for 18-hr (0300 – 2100-hr EST). The O<sub>3</sub> was produced from cylinder O<sub>2</sub> using a Griffin O<sub>3</sub> generator (Lodi, NJ) and supplied daily for 7 h (0900 – 1600-hr EST). All OTC's were equipped with sprinkler irrigation system to maintain near field moisture capacity (16 to 18%, dry weight) of soil [35,36].

Wheat was planted in the fall with split applications of standard N-P-K fertilization in both fall and spring. Wheat was harvested by the  $3^{rd}$  week of June. Short-day soybean seedlings were transplanted to the plots in rows 60-cm apart between plants and 10-cm apart within the rows immediately following wheat harvest. Weeding was performed manually by hand. To control insects, Diazinon 4E (Ciba, Greensboro, NC) @ 1-mL L<sup>-1</sup> solution was applied. The plots were also sprayed for powdery mildew control on wheat one or more times each spring using Benomyl (Dupont Agricultural Products, Wilmington, DE) at the rate of 0.3-kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Following wheat harvest, grasses and weeds in the plots within OTC's were killed with Glyphsophate (Monsanto Chemical, St. Louis, MO) using a 1.5% solution as foliar spray. The treatments were terminated in late October prior to harvesting soybean.

#### Soil collection, processing and analysis

Soil sampling and analysis started two years after the establishment of plots and 18 months after the initiation of gaseous treatments and continued for four years. Fourteen soil cores (1.9-cm dia.) from 15-cm depth were randomly collected between the rows of plants after fall harvest in late October or early November. Soil samples were then gently sieved through a 2-mm sieve, oven-dried at  $105\pm2^{\circ}$ C for 24-h to determine antecedent moisture content for correction of weight when calculating bulk density. A sub-sample of the oven-dried soil was ground with a ceramic mortar and pestle to pass through a 200-µm sieve before analysis.

A 150 to 200-mg sample of air-dried ground soil was used to analyze for TOC, TN and H contents using the LECO<sup>®</sup> dry combustion method. The thermal oxidation of SOM by LECO dry combustion not only produces CO<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub> but also H<sub>2</sub>O, as a measure of H [28], according to the equation:  $C_6H_{12}O_6 + 6O_2 \rightarrow$  $6CO_2 + 6H_2O$ . Therefore, all H is derived from thermal oxidation of SOM [32]. The stocks of C, N, and H were determined by multiplying with the concurrently measured soil bulk density ( $\rho$ b). The  $\rho$ b was calculated using the oven-dried weight of a known volume of soil as:  $\rho$ b (g cm<sup>-3</sup>)= ( $\pi$ r<sup>2\*</sup> l \* n) w<sup>-1</sup>. Where r is the internal radius of the soil core sampler, l is the length of the soil core, n is the number of soil cores, and w is the total weight (g) of oven-dried soil.

#### Statistical analysis

Analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was performed in which the response variables were TOC, TN, H, C:N, and H:N, and explanatory variables were CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> as class variables, and time (year after the initiation of the  $CO_2$  and  $O_3$  treatments) as a continuous covariate. ANCOVA allowed to detect whether or not: (1) there was a significant temporal change in the response variable being studied (test of the equality of the slopes of the temporal changes to zero), (2) the rate of the temporal changes depended on the treatments (test of interaction between time and class factors— $CO_2$  or  $O_2$ ), and (3) there was an interaction between the effects of  $CO_2$  and  $O_3$ . The *MIXED* procedure of the SAS System was used for statistical analysis. This routine has appropriate options (RANDOM and/or REPEATED) to allow for appropriate calculation of errors, F and P values for the factors involved ( $CO_2$ ,  $O_3$ , time), and their interactions. Temporal trends were compared for the main-effects of CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> when there was no significant interaction between  $CO_2$  and  $O_3$ . In case of a significant  $CO_2$ -by- $O_3$  interaction ( $CO_3 \times O_3$ ), the temporal trends were compared for the four simple effects (four combinations of two CO<sub>2</sub>-by-two O<sub>3</sub> levels).

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The main effects of  $CO_2$  or  $O_3$  on TOC and TN contents, and the C:N and H:N are reported and discussed because the related  $CO_2$ -by- $O_3$  interactions were insignificant. The  $CO_2 \times O_3$  effect was significant for H content (P<0.01) and for the C:H (P<0.01), and thus, the simple effects are reported and discussed for these variables.

Intercepts of the temporal trend for the main- or simpleeffects of  $CO_2$  or  $O_3$  for TOC, TN and H contents and their ratios did not differ significantly (Figures 1–9). Since the experiment was established on a single type of soil, we assumed that there was no significant difference in soil properties before the initiation of the  $CO_2$  and  $O_3$  treatments, and that any temporal difference in TOC, TN and H contents should be in response to applied treatments over time. A lack of significant differences among the intercepts of the temporal trends supports these assumptions.

A significant  $CO_2 \times$  time effect on TOC content (P<0.05) shows a clear difference between the slopes of the temporal trends for elevated and ambient  $CO_2$  levels (Figure 1).

While TOC content increased (585-kg C  $ha^{-1} y^{-1}$ ) under elevated  $CO_2$  it increased marginally (18-kg C  $ha^{-1} y^{-1}$ ) under

ambient CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. Increased soil C sequestration under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> was anticipated as a result of greater inputs of high C:N crop residues [6,37], slower decomposition [23], fungi dominated microbial processes [15], and greater bio-physical and/or biochemical protection [22,38,39]. Since decreased soil N may limit microbial utilization of C, greater availability of N-poor substrates under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> might reduce decomposition of crop residues. A slower decomposition implies a longer retention of crop residue and eventually greater accumulation of TOC. In addition to slower and higher C assimilation, mycorrhizal associations might contribute in retaining C as particulate organic matter (POM) by enhancing macro-aggregation through bio-physical enmeshing of micro-aggregates [20,22,40,41] or releasing polysaccharides to cement primary particles, POM, and micro-aggregates together to form or stabilize macro-aggregates [21,38,41,42]. A deposition of soybean and wheat roots and mycorrhizal hyphae within pore-spaces [38] and comminuted litter detritus, as POM, may also have incorporated in soil casts and macro-aggregates by the feeding and casting activities of earthworm and other faunas [21].

Soil acidification by legumes (e.g. soybeans) has promoted micro-aggregate formation through complex physico-chemical interactions of plant and microbial derived C compounds with di- and polyvalent metal ions and clays [29,38,39,43]. Since aggregate formation is directly influenced by plant root growth and exudations and indirectly by microbes-faunal activities [42], the increased accumulation and protection of C as POM within macro- and micro-aggregates, are the most important mechanisms enabling greater sequestration of TOC under elevated  $CO_2$  concentrations.

Our results supports most of previous findings [6,31,32,43,44]. It has been reported that soil C sequestration asymptotically increased by 3.3% over a 3- year period [6]. A meta-analysis has shown that mineral soil acts as a sink of C in response to elevated  $CO_2$  [32]. Under elevated  $CO_2$ , prairie soil sequestered 336±96 kg C ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> at 0 to 15-cm depth [33], which is slightly lower than our results, perhaps due to continuous NT soybean-wheat rotation we used. Since C and N are stoichiometrically linked in SOM, a higher input of biomass N from soybeans might contribute to higher soil C sequestration in our study.



Figure 1 Carbon dioxide and time effects on total soil organic carbon (TOC) content.

# **⊘**SciMedCentral

Regardless of the mechanism of the effects, an important finding of our study is the elevated  $CO_2$  impact on TOC under continuous NT cropping systems because an incomplete and slow decomposition of high C:N crop residue by fungal food webs may accelerate dissolved organic matter (DOM) production. Such an increase could be important in fueling soil denitrification processes.

Through time, soil TOC remained unchanged under reduced  $O_3$ , but it showed an increasing trend that did not reach statistical significance (i.e., slope of temporal increase was not significant) (Figure 2). Moreover, the interaction (test of the difference between the two slopes) was not significant. However, averaged over time, soil TOC was significantly higher under elevated  $O_3$  compared with reduced  $O_3$ .

This could be due to greater fine root production and reduced decomposition of partially recalcitrant crop residue as influenced by  $O_3$  fumigation under continuous NT [14,21,22]. Four year exposure of soybean-wheat to elevated  $O_3$  might have increased plant  $O_3$ -tolerance of the crops [46]. A less  $O_3$ -responsive plants may causes a higher allocation of C to roots, leading to increased plant root biomass and soil TOC under elevated  $O_3$ [19]. This is contrary to findings that elevated  $O_3$  reduced soil C [2,46].

Although the effects of  $CO_2 \times O_3 \times$  time interaction on TOC were not significant, TOC was higher under the combination of elevated  $CO_2$  and  $O_3$  compared with their reduced levels. This could be due to the combined effects of high C:N litter inputs produced by  $CO_2$ fertilization and partially recalcitrant leaf litters, increased fine root production under  $O_3$  exposures, and slower decomposition of crop residues plus increased biophysical protection of POM under continuous NT over the years [6,13-15,22-24,38,39].

The temporal trends for soil TN differed for elevated  $CO_2$  and  $O_3$  when compared to ambient  $CO_2$  and low  $O_3$  treatments, respectively (Figures 3 and 4). These are indicated by the significance of  $CO_2 \times$  time and  $O_3 \times$  time interactions (P<0.01). Soil TN decreased over time under both  $CO_2$  levels but the rate of decrease was significantly greater under elevated  $CO_2$  (-216 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>) than under ambient  $CO_2$  (-152 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>) treatment (Figure 3).

This implies that CO<sub>2</sub> fertilization reduced soil TN content @ 64 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> as compared to ambient CO<sub>2</sub>. Soil TN decreased over time perhaps due to continuous harvest of N-enriched grain/ seed or feed, which exceeds the amount of TN returned to soil through fertilization, recycling of plant residues and atmospheric inputs. Results from several previous studies indicate that CO<sub>2</sub> might enhance root growth and facilitate greater soil exploration, thereby increasing N and other nutrients uptake to maintain the CO<sub>2</sub> fertilization effects on plants [39,47]. Although soil N uptake may increase to fulfill a greater overall plant growth, foliar N may decrease in response to greater flux of C-H enriched compounds, leading to a decreased N in litters [29,37,48]. The C:N of plant residues produced at elevated CO<sub>2</sub> is higher than that of native SOM, and an additional amount of N is required to sustain the process of residue decomposition, especially under a net positive C balance in soil [22]. In response to progressive N deficiency, and to fulfill the increasing demand for N and other nutrients, soil microbes often forced to mineralize relatively low C:N native SOM instead of decomposing high C:N plant residue[6,25,26].



Figure 2 Ozone and time effects on total soil organic carbon (TOC) content.



**Figure 3** Carbon dioxide and time effects on soil total nitrogen (TN) content.



Figure 4 Ozone and time effects on total soil nitrogen (TN) content.

The mineralization of native SOM would eventually release soil N available to plants through microbial biomass turnover and a temporary positive feedback on plant growth, which would increase N uptake even further. Therefore, enhanced plant uptake of N and its removal from the site by harvest in combination with mineralization of native SOM for increased microbial N demand in response to greater amount of high C:N litters produced under elevated  $CO_2$  may likely resulted in C-H enriched but N-deficient SOM over time through alterations in the stoichiometry of C, N and H. The effects of progressive decreases in N availability will have a detrimental effect on plant growth, and consequently on soil C storage [6].

# **⊘**SciMedCentral

In contrast, the temporal N depletion rate was smaller under elevated  $O_3$  (-172 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>) compared to low  $O_3$  (-97 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>) treatment (Figure 4).

The elevated O<sub>2</sub> treatment resulted in an excess of 25kg of N ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> remaining in the soil as compared to low  $O_2$  treatment. Again, reduced N over time by both O<sub>2</sub> treatments might be due to increased N uptake by plants and continuous harvest of Nenriched grain/seed. Using SOM enriched in <sup>15</sup>N, it has been reported that soybeans grown under elevated  $O_2$  obtained more N from soil than plants grown under low O<sub>2</sub> due to reduction in N-fixation caused by decreased photosynthetic translocation of <sup>13</sup>C to nodules [49,50]. However, reduced temporal N depletionunder elevated  $O_3$  compared with low  $O_3$  in the current study is perhaps due to replenishment of N from incomplete breakdown of the litter fractions. Elevated O<sub>2</sub> can cause plant foliage to age prematurely with proportionally more N to be bound strongly with recalcitrant lignin and phenolic compounds, and decrease N reabsorption before senescence and/or abscission of leaves. This is supported by the findings that prematurely abscised litters produced at elevated  $O_3$  had a higher N content [13]. Despite having a relatively higher N content, such plant litters can be more recalcitrant to microbial decomposition [14]. The recycling of N-enriched prematurely abscised plant litters in soil, may in turn, favor an accumulation of recalcitrant SOM, while increasing mineralization of native SOM due to progressive lacking of labile C to perform soil biological processes.

For the H content, all slopes of the temporal trends under the four combinations of two  $CO_2$ -by-two  $O_3$  levels were significantly (P<0.01) different from zero (Figure 5).

The H content increased over time under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> irrespective of the O<sub>2</sub> levels, which differed significantly from each other (316 vs. 91 kg H ha-1 y-1, respectively). By contrast, the H content decreased under ambient CO<sub>2</sub> irrespective of the O<sub>3</sub> regimes, which also differed significantly from each other (-195 vs. -57 kg H ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>, respectively). Greater translocation of C-H-O enriched compounds belowground through fine root productions, exudations, sloughed cells, and lysate turnover [23,24,50], accounts for much of the increase in H content (316 kg H ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>) under elevated  $CO_2$  + low  $O_3$  treatment than under elevated CO<sub>2</sub>+elevated O<sub>2</sub> (91 kg H ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>) treatment. Higher proportion of lignin and other structural carbohydrates in litters as reported elsewhere [13] may be the most important factor in explaining relatively higher depletion of H in native SOM under ambient  $CO_2$ +elevated  $O_3$  (-195 kg H ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>) than ambient  $CO_2$ + low  $O_2$  (-57 kg H ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>) treatment.

As indicated by the significant  $CO_2 \times time$  and  $O_3 \times time$  effects (P<0.01) on the C:N, the temporal increase in C:N was greater under elevated  $CO_2$  compared to ambient  $CO_2$  (Figure 6) and under low  $O_3$  compared to elevated  $O_3$  (Figure 7).

Similar patterns were observed for the H:N, but the slope differences were even greater (Figures 8 and 9).

Greater flux of C-H enriched compounds with simultaneous dilution of N in plant litters, mineralization of native SOM and subsequent harvest of large amounts of N in grain/seed, may have translated into the resulting high C:N and H:N under







Figure 6 Carbon dioxide and time effects on soil carbon: nitrogen (C:N).



elevated  $CO_2$  (Figures 6-8, respectively). Relatively smaller temporal increase in the C:N and H:N under elevated  $O_3$  (Figures 7-9, respectively) may be due to recycling of phenolic-N enriched prematurely abscised plant litters recalcitrant to incomplete microbial decomposition.

A significant  $CO_2 \times O_3$  effect (P<0.01) was detected for the C:H, but multiple mean comparisons showed no significant difference among the temporal changes in the C:H under the four simple effects (data not shown). The long-term exposure of plants to elevated  $CO_2$  and  $O_3$  concentrations may have produced neutralizing effect on C:H due to protective roles of elevated  $CO_2$  against  $O_3$  induced damage on plants and conversely, reducing the





beneficial effects of  $CO_2$  on plant growth and biomass production by elevated  $O_3$  treatment [35,36].

# CONCLUSION

After five years of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> exposure treatments on no-till soybean-wheat rotation in the open top field chambers, the results showed that C, N and H dynamics of SOM have been partially altered. Soils with crops under long-term exposure to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> alone acted as temporary and/or transient sinks of C. Results suggest that soils with increases in C-H sequestration eventually become N-limited due to stoichiometric changes in C, N and H contents of the young and/or newly formed soil organic matter. In contrast, long-term effects of elevated 0,  $(+0_3 \text{ and } 0_3+CO_2)$  on plants have caused a small accumulation of recalcitrant C in soil, which, in turn, would reduce the lability of C necessary to perform ecosystem services. Increasing concerns are raised whether more labile C-H with N deficient or recalcitrant C accumulation in new soil organic matter under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> or O<sub>3</sub> will cause negative agro ecosystemlevel feedbacks and constrain plant productivity. Increased C sequestration, in particular, under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> could elicit a greater formation and leaching of dissolved organic matter and consequently fueling soil denitrification processes.

# **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We appreciate the constructive reviews that improved the manuscript. We also appreciate the use of the field facility and financial support from the Climate Stress Laboratory of the USDA-Beltsville Agricultural Research Center (BARC).

## REFERENCES

- Felzer BD, Kicklighter J, Melillo C, Wang QZ, Prian R. Effects of ozone on net primary production and carbon sequestration in the conterminous United States using a biogeochemistry model. Tellus. 2004; 56B: 230-248.
- Sitch S, Cox PM, Collins WJ, Huntingford C. Indirect radiative forcing of climate change through ozone effects on the land-carbon sink. Nature. 2007; 448: 791-794.
- 3. Heimann M, Reichstein M. Terrestrial ecosystem carbon dynamics and climate feedbacks. Nature. 2008; 451: 289-292.
- 4. Talhelm AF, Pregitzer KS, Zak DR. Species-specific responses to atmospheric carbon dioxide and tropospheric ozone mediate changes in soil carbon. Ecol Lett. 2009; 12: 1219-1228.
- 5. de Graaff MA, van Groenigen KJ, Six J,Hungate B, van Kessel C. Interactions between plant growth and soil nutrient cycling under elevated  $CO_2$ : a meta-analysis. Global Change Biol. 2006; 12: 2077-2091.
- 6. Gill RA, Polley HW, Johnson HB, Anderson LJ, Maherali H, Jackson RB. Nonlinear grassland responses to past and future atmospheric  $CO_2$ . Nature. 2002; 417: 279-282.
- 7. van Kessel C, Boots B,de Graaff MA,Harris D,Blum H,Six J. Total soil C and N sequestration in a grassland following 10 years of free air  $CO_2$  enrichment. Global Change Biol. 2006; 12: 2187-2199.
- 8. Ashmore MR. Assessing the future global impacts of ozone on vegetation. Plant Cell Environ. 2005; 28: 949-964.
- 9. Dentener F, Stevenson D, Ellingsen K, Van Noije T, Schultz M, Amann M, et al. The global atmospheric environment for the next generation. Environ Sci Technol. 2006; 40: 3586-3594.
- 10.Grantz DA, Gunn S, Vu HB.  $O_3$  impacts on plant development: a meta-analysis of root/shoot allocation and growth. Plant Cell Environ. 2006; 29: 1193-1209.
- 11. Chernikova T, Robinson JM, Lee EH, Mulchi CL. Ozone tolerance and antioxidant enzyme activity in soybean cultivars. Photosynth Res. 2000; 64: 15-26.
- 12. Findlay S, Jones CG. Exposures of cotton wood plants to ozone alters subsequent leaf decomposition. Oeologica. 1990; 82: 248-250.
- Jones CG, Coleman JS, Findlay S. Effects of Ozone on interactions between plants, consumers, and decomposers. Plant responses to the gaseous environment. 1994; 339-357.
- 14.Kim JS, Chappelka AH, Miller-Goodman MS. Decomposition of blackberry and broomsedge bluestem as influenced by Ozone. J Environ Qual. 1998; 27: 953-960.
- 15. Beare MH, Parmelee RW, Hendrix PF, Cheng W, Coleman DC, Crossley Jr DA. Microbial and faunal interactions and effects on litter nitrogen and decomposition in agroecosystems. Ecol Monograph. 1992; 6: 569-591.
- 16.Blagodatskaya EV, Anderson TH. Interactive effects of pH and substrate quality on the fungal-to-bacterial ratio and qCO<sub>2</sub> of microbial communities in forest soils. Soil Biol Biochem. 1998; 30: 1269-1274.
- 17. Chapman JA, King JS, Pregitzer KS, Zak DR. Effects of elevated concentrations of atmospheric  $CO_2$  and tropospheric  $O_3$  on decomposition of fine roots. Tree Physiol. 2005; 25: 1501-1510.
- 18.ParsonsWFJ, Bockheim JG, Lindroth RL. Independent, interactive, and species-specific responses of leaf litter decomposition to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> in a northern hardwood forest. Ecosystems.

# **⊘**SciMedCentral

2008; 11: 505-519.

- 19.Pregitzer KS, Burton AJ, King JS, Zak DR. Soil respiration, root biomass, and root turnover following long-term exposure of northern forests to elevated atmospheric  $CO_2$  and tropospheric  $O_3$ . New Phytol. 2008; 180: 153-161.
- 20.Sanders LR, Streitwolf-Engal R,van der Heijden MGA, Boller T, Wiemken A. Increased allocation to external hyphae of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi under  $CO_2$  enrichment. Oecologia. 1998; 117: 496-503.
- 21.Sundermeier AP. Islam KR. Raut Y. Reeder R. Dick W. Continuous no-till impacts on biophysical carbon sequestration. Soil Sci Soc Am J. 2001; 75: 1779-1788.
- 22.Nitschelm JJ. Luscher A. Hartwig UA. van Kassel C. Using stable isotopes to determine soil carbon input differences under ambient and elevated atmospheric  $\rm CO_2$  conditions. Global Change Biol. 1997; 3: 411-416.
- 23. Gourdiaan J, de Ruiter HE. Plant growth in response to  $CO_2$  enrichment, at two levels of nitrogen and phosphorus supply. I. Dry matter, leaf area, and development. Netherlands J Agricul Sci. 1983; 31: 157-169.29.
- 24. Lekkerkerk LJA,<br/>van de Gejin SC,<br/>van Veen SA. Effects of elevated atmospheric  $\rm CO_2$  levels on the carbon economy of a soil planted with wheat. 1990; pp. 423-429. In: Soils and the Greenhouse effect (ed. A.F. Bouwman). John Wiley, New York.
- 25. Loiseau P. Soussana JF. Elevated  $[CO_2]$ , temperature increase and N supply effects on the accumulation of belowground C in a temperate grassland ecosystem. Plant and Soil. 1999; 212: 123-134.
- 26.van de Geijn SC. van Veen JA. Implications of increased carbon dioxide levels for carbon input and turnover in soils. Vegetatio. 1993; 104/105: 283-292.
- 27.Islam KR. Mulchi CL. Ali AA. Interactions of tropospheric  $CO_2$  and  $O_3$  enrichments and moisture variations on microbial biomass and respiration in soil. Global Change Biol. 2000; 6: 255-265.
- 28. Beyer L, Deslis K, Vogt B. Estimation of soil organic matter composition according to a simple thermodynamic approach. Comm Soil Sci.and Plant Analysis. 1998; 29: 1277-1297
- 29. Hungate BA. Ecosystem responses to rising atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>: Feedbacks through the nitrogen cycle. In: Carbon dioxide and environmental stress (eds Luo Y, Mooney HA). 1999; 265-288. Academic Press, San Diego, California.
- 30.Kasurinen A. Kokko-Gonzales P. Riikonen J. Vapaavouri E. Holopaninen T. Soil  $CO_2$  efflux of two silver birch clones exposed to elevated  $CO_2$  and  $O_3$  levels during three growing seasons. Global Change Biol. 2004; 10: 1654-1665.
- 31. Loya WM, Pregitzer KS, Karberg NJ, King JS, Giardina CP. Reduction of soil carbon formation by tropospheric ozone under increased carbon dioxide levels. Nature. 2003; 425: 705-707.
- 32. Jastrow JD. Miller RM. Matamala R. Norby RJ. Boutton TW. Rice CW. Elevated atmospheric carbon dioxide increases soil carbon. Global Change Biol. 2005; 11: 2057-2064.
- 33.Soil Survey Staff. Soil survey report of Prince Georges County, Maryland. 1967; p. 24. USDA and Maryland Agricultural Experiment Station.

- 34.Islam KR. Mulchi CL. Ali AA. Tropospheric  $CO_2$  and  $O_3$  enrichments and moisture effects on soil organic matter quality. J. Environ. Qual. 1999; 28: 1629-1635.
- 35. Mulchi CL. Slaughter LH. Saleem M. Lee EH. Pausch R. Rowland R. Growth and physiological characteristics of soybean in open-top chambers in response to ozone and increased atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. Agriculture, Ecosystem and Environ. 1992; 38: 107-118.
- 36. Mulchi CL. Rudorff B. Lee EH. Rowland R. Pausch R. Morphological response among crop species to full-season exposure to enriched concentration of atmospheric  $\rm CO_2$  and  $\rm O_3$ . Water, Air Soil Pollut. 1995; 85: 1379-1386.
- 37. Cotrufo FM. Inesen P. Scott A. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> reduces the nitrogen concentration of plant tissues. Global Change Biol. 1998; 4: 43-54.
- Chenu C. Influence of a fungal polysaccharide, scleroglucan, on clay microstructures. Soil Biol. Biochem. 1989; 21: 299-305.
- 39.Jastrow JD, Miller RM. Soil aggregate stabilization and carbon sequestration: feedbacks through organo-mineral associations. 1998; pp. 207-233. In: Soil processes and the carbon cycle (eds Lal et al.). CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.
- 40.Oades JM. Soil organic matter and structural stability: mechanisms and implications for management. Plant Soil. 1984; 76: 319-337.
- 41. Rillig MC. Wright SF. Allen MF. Field CB. Rise in carbon dioxide changes soil structure. Nature. 1999; 44: 628.
- 42. Traore O. Groleau-Renaud V. Planturex S. Tubeileh A. Boeuf-Tremlay V. Effect of root mucilage and modeled root exudates on soil structure. European J. Soil Sci. 2000; 51: 575-581.
- 43.Liu WC, Lund LJ, Page AL. Acidity produced by leguminous plants through symbiotic dinitrogen fixation. J Environ Qual. 1989; 18: 529-534.
- 44. Lichter J. Barron SH. Bevacqua CE. Finzi AC. Irving KF. Stemmler EA. Soil carbon sequestration and turnover in a pine forest after six years of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment. Ecology. 2005; 86: 1835-1847.
- 45.Zak DR, Holmes WE, Pregitzer KS. Atmospheric  $CO_2$  and  $O_3$  alter the flow of 15N in developing forest ecosystems. Ecology. 2007; 88: 2630-2639..
- 46. Ren W, Tian H, Chen G, Liu M, Zhang C, Chappelka AH, et al. Influence of ozone pollution and climate variability on net primary productivity and carbon storage in China's grassland ecosystems from 1961 to 2000. Environ Pollut. 2007; 149: 327-335.
- 47. Rogers HH, Runion GB, Krupa SV. Plant responses to atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment with emphasis on roots and the rhizosphere. Environ Pollut. 1994; 83: 155-189.
- 48.Allen LH. Vu JCV. Valle RR. Boote KJ. Jones PH. Nonstructural carbohydrates and nitrogen of soybean grown under carbon dioxide enrichment. Crop Sci. 1988; 28: 84-94.
- 49. Pausch RC. Mulchi CL. Lee EH. Forseth IN. Slaughter LH. Use of 13C and 15N isotopes to investigate  $O_3$  effects on C and N metabolism in soybeans. Part II. Nitrogen uptake, fixation, and partitioning. Agricul. Ecosys. Environt. 1996; 60: 61-89.
- 50. Norby RJ, O'Neill EG, Hood WG, Luxmoore RJ. Carbon allocation, root exudation and mycorrhizal colonization of Pinus echinata seedlings grown under CO(2) enrichment. Tree Physiol. 1987; 3: 203-210.

#### **Cite this article**

Momen B, Islam KR, Rowland R (2013) Temporal Effects of Elevated Carbon Dioxide and Ozone on Soil Carbon and Nitrogen Stoichiometry in a No-till Soybean-Wheat Agro-ecosystem. JSM Environ Sci Ecol 1(2): 1008.