OSciMedCentral

Research Article

Plantar Fasciitis Treatment; Efficacy of Extracorporeal Shock Wave Therapy

Reza Noktehsanj^{1,5}, Aidin Arabzadeh¹*, Turaj Rahmani², Hamed Zandian³, Reza Mirzazadeh⁴, and Seyyed-Mohsen Hosseininejad⁵

¹Department of Orthopedics, Fatemi Hospital, Ardabil University of Medical Sciences, Ardabil, Iran

²Department of Physical Therapy, Ardabil University of Medical Sciences, Ardabil, Iran ³Social Determinant of Health Research Center, Ardabil University of Medical Sciences, Ardabil, Iran

⁴Students Research Committee, Department of Medicine, Ardabil University of Medical Sciences, Ardabil, Iran

⁵Bone, Joint and Related Tissue Research Center (Bjrtrc), Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Annals of Orthopedics & Rheumatology

*Corresponding author

Aidin Arabzadeh, Orthopaedic Surgeon, Orthopaedic Surgery Department, Fatemi Hospital, Ardabil University of Medical Sciences, Ardabil, Iran, Email: Aidin. medico@gmail.com

Submitted: 09 March 2020

Accepted: 17 March 2020

Published: 19 March 2020

Copyright

© 2020 Noktehsanj R, et al.

OPEN ACCESS

Keywords

- Extracorporeal shock wave therapy
- Plantar fasciitis

Abstract

Efficacy of extracorporeal shock wave therapy (ESWT) in the treatment of plantar fasciitis (PF) is under debate in spite of applying in treatment. We aimed to investigate the efficacy of extracorporeal shock wave therapy in decreasing plantar fasciitis heel pain.

Methods: Eighty patients fulfilled the inclusion criteria; 77 agreed to participate the study. Patients randomly divided into 2 groups of treating with NSAID alone and NSAID+ESWT and assessed in to periods of 4 and 8 weeks with a focus on ESWT effectiveness.

Results: Visual analog score decreased significantly after eight weeks of treatment with ESWT+NSAID in comparison with NSAID group alone. (p<0.05)

Conclusion: ESWT reduced pain of PF in our study. So it could be used as treatment options.

INTRODUCTION

Plantar fasciitis (PF) is one of the most common causes of heel pain in adults, while its etiology is not clear. Although some risk factors have been suggested including those conditions that increase the pressure at the sole such as prolonged standing position, obesity, flat foot, and repetitive trauma to the sole [1-4].

The diagnosis of plantar fasciitis is based on plantar point tenderness as the hallmark and morning sole pain gradually relieving with walking [5] However, radiographic studies are required to rule out other conditions. Ultrasonography of the foot is also useful in diagnosis and follow-ups demonstrating thickening of plantar fascia, decreased echogenicity at the insertion of plantar fascia upon the calcaneus, and features of edema between the fascia and surrounding tissues [5,6].

The treatment options for relieving PF symptoms include activity modification, plantar fascia stretching, ice massage, night splints, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, local steroid injections, extracorporeal shockwave therapy, and surgery [3].

Extracorporeal shock wave therapy (ESWT) has been widely

used as a suitable treatment option for musculoskeletal disorders such as tendinopathies and bone healing disturbances [16]. In addition it has been used for plantar fasciitis treatment due to its convenience, noninvasive nature and fast recovery time [5,6]. The specific mechanisms of ESWT in treating musculoskeletal pain is not clear; multiple studies have reported that it can destroy sensory unmyelinated nerve fibers, and stimulate neovascularization and collagen synthesis in degenerative tissues [3,7,8].

In this study we conducted a randomized trial to evaluate the efficacy of ESWT in the management of plantar fasciitis.

METHODS

This randomized clinical trial was registered in the research and ethics committee of Ardabil University of medical sciences. Inclusion criteria included at least three months heel pain compatible with PF pattern without any history of diabetes, rheumatologic disease, arthritis, neurological abnormalities, recent trauma or foot surgery, infectious diseases, and malignancy. Based on the criteria, 80 patients entered the study and grouped in 2 clusters; first group received NSAID (Naproxen

Cite this article: Noktehsanj R, Arabzadeh A, Rahmani T, Zandian H, Mirzazadeh R, et al. (2020) Plantar Fasciitis Treatment; Efficacy of Extracorporeal Shock Wave Therapy. Ann Orthop Rheumatol 7(1): 1088.

⊘SciMedCentral-

tablet 500 mg every 12 hr) as well as 5 sessions shockwave therapy and second group received Naproxen only as mentioned. In the meantime, conservative managements including stretching exercises were applied for both groups.

Shockwave intervention was carried out for 5 sessions with a 72-hours interval by the same operator using a 12 MHz linear array transducer. For each session a pressure of 2 bars with 2000 shockwaves were applied.

Self report pain score (Visual Analog Score) was recorded before the study and at 4th and 8th weeks after treatment.

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed with SPSS software for windows, version 16.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago IL., and USA). A paired samples t-test, independent t-test and ANOVAs were applied for the analysis. A result was considered to be statistically significant with (P value<0.05.)

RESULTS

Two patients from first group and 1 patient from second group refused to continue the study. Seventy-seven patients including 41 females and 36 males suffering plantar fasciitis agreed to participate the study (Figure 1). Mean age of participants was 49.51 years and mean body mass index was 26.82.Demographic characteristics of the both groups are outlined in Table 1. There was no significant difference between the two groups in terms of baseline characteristics. Pain improvement was seen in both groups but with significance in ESWT group especially after eight weeks, as shown in detail in table 2.

DISCUSSION

Multiple treatment options has been proposed for plantar fasciitis, including activity modification, stretching plantar fascia, ice massage, night splints, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs combined with other treatment modalities, local steroid injections, extracorporeal shockwave therapy, and surgery [3]. Effectiveness of some of them especially ESWT are not clearly described. Recently the use of ESWT approved by the FDA for treatment of plantar fasciitis in the USA [9]. The current study conducted for evaluating the efficacy of the ESWT in Iran.



Table 1: Demographic characteristics of the case and control groups.						
P value	NSAID	ESWT+NSAID				
0.4	50.95±9.86	48.07±10.74	age			
0.5	19/20	22/16	Sex (F/M)			
0.52	26.8/26.9	27.1/26	Body Mass Index (F/M)			

Table 2: Pain improvement in both groups based on average VAS.						
P value	After 8 weeks	After 4 weeks	Before treatment			
<u>0.01</u>	3.2	3.69	7.37	ESWT+NSAID		
	3.65	4.37	7.2	NSAID		

Haake et al. previously reported better improvement in the ESWT treatment than the placebo group [7].

In another study, Rompe et al. indicated stretching exercise and low energy shock wave therapy together improved patients' symptoms more in comparison with the stretching alone [10]. Some other studies reported mostly effectiveness of ESWT in pain subsidence of heel pain and plantar fasciitis in spite of different significances [11-15].

On the other hand, Speed et al. reported no beneficial effects for shock wave therapy for PF [8].

These different results for the efficacy of ESWT in plantar fasciitis may be due to multiple factors ,like study populations, heterogeneity of treatment parameters such as shock wave intensity, geometry of the shock wave focus, focal energy, different placebos and patients' self treatments.

In our population study in Iranian northwest, use of ESWT after 4 and 8weeks resulted in significant symptom relief and patients' satisfaction in comparison with the use of NSAID only.

CONCLUSION

So that is clinically recommended that applying ESWT would be helpful for patients suffering PF.

Further studies, especially in Iranian population, are also proposed with larger study groups to better investigate the efficacy of the treatment.

REFERENCES

- Riddle DL, Pulisic M, Pidcoe P, Johnson RE. Risk factors for plantar fasciitis: A matched case-control study. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2003; 85: 872-877.
- 2. Goff JD, Crawford R. Diagnosis and treatment of plantar fasciitis. Am Fam Physician. 2011; 84: 676-682.
- Vahdatpour B, Sajadieh S, Bateni V, Karami M, Sajjadieh H. Extracorporeal shock wave therapy in patients with plantar fasciitis. A randomized, placebo-controlled trial with ultrasonographic and subjective outcome assessments. J Res Med Sci. 2012; 17: 834-838.
- 4. Buchbinder R. Clinical practice. Plantar fasciitis. N Engl J Med. 2004; 350: 2159-2166.
- Thomas JL, Christensen JC, Kravitz SR, Mendicino RW, Schuberth JM, Vanore JV, et al. The diagnosis and treatment of heel pain: a clinical practice guideline-revision 2010. J Foot Ankle Surg. 2010; 49: S1-19.

⊘SciMedCentral-

- 6. Karabay N, Toros T, Hurel C. Ultrasonographic evaluation in plantar fasciitis. J Foot Ankle Surg. 2007; 46: 442-446.
- Haake M, Buch M, Schoellner C, Goebel F, Vogel M, Mueller I, et al. Extracorporeal shock wave therapy for plantar fasciitis: Randomised controlled multicentre trial. BMJ. 2003; 327: 75-77.
- Speed CA, Nichols D, Wies J, Humphreys H, Richards C, Burnet S, et al. Extracorporeal shock wave therapy for plantar fasciitis. A double blind randomised controlled trial. J Orthop Res. 2003; 21: 937-940.
- 9. Henney JE. From the food and drug administration: shock wave for heel pain. JAMA. 2000; 284: 2711.
- 10. Rompe JD, Cacchio A, Weil L Jr, Furia JP, Haist J, Reiners V, et al. Plantar fascia-specific stretching versus radial shock-wave therapy as initial treatment of plantar fasciopathy. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2010; 92: 2514-2422.
- 11.Malay DS, Pressman MM, Assili A, Kline JT, York S, Buren B, et al. Extracorporeal shockwave therapy versus placebo for the treatment of chronic proximal plantar fasciitis: results of a randomized, placebocontrolled, double-blinded, multicenter intervention trial. J Foot Ankle

Surg. 2006; 45: 196-210.

- 12.Gollwitzer H, Diehl P, von Korff A, Rahlfs VW, Gerdesmeyer L. Extracorporeal shock wave therapy for chronic painful heel syndrome: a prospective, double blind, randomized trial assessing the efficacy of a new electromagnetic shock wave device. J Foot Ankle Surg. 2007; 46: 348-357.
- 13.Gerdesmeyer L, Frey C, Vester J, Maier M, Weil L Jr, Weil L Sr, et al. Radial extracorporeal shock wave therapy is safe and effective in the treatment of chronic recalcitrant plantar fasciitis: Results of a confirmatory randomized placebo-controlled multicenter study. Am J Sports Med. 2008; 36: 2100-2109.
- 14. Gollwitzer H, Saxena A, DiDomenico LA, Galli L, Bouché RT, Caminear DS, et al. Clinically relevant effectiveness of focused extracorporeal shock wave therapy in the treatment of chronic plantar fasciitis: a randomized, controlled multicenter study. JBJS. 2015; 97: 701-708.
- 15.Haake M, Buch M, Schoellner C, Goebel F, Vogel M, Mueller I, et al. Extracorporeal shock wave therapy for plantar fasciitis: Randomised controlled multicentre trial. BMJ. 2003; 327: 75-77.

Cite this article

Noktehsanj R, Arabzadeh A, Rahmani T, Zandian H, Mirzazadeh R, et al. (2020) Plantar Fasciitis Treatment; Efficacy of Extracorporeal Shock Wave Therapy. Ann Orthop Rheumatol 7(1): 1088.