

# JSM Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation

#### **Research Article**

# Determinants of and Trends in Total and Condition Specific Health Care Spending Per Privately Insured Adult

Kenneth E. Thorpe\* and Robert W. Woodruff

Department of Health Policy and Management, Emory University, USA

#### \*Corresponding author

Kenneth E. Thorpe, Department of Health Policy and Management, Rollins School of Public Health, Emory University, USA, Tel: 404-277-2637

**Submitted:** 21 April 2023 **Accepted:** 31 May 2023 **Published:** 31 May 2023 **ISSN:** 2578-3572

Copyright

© 2023 Thorpe KE, et al.

#### OPEN ACCESS

#### **Keywords**

- Multiple chronic conditions;
- Race and ethnicity;
- Private health care spending

#### A hotrar

This paper examines the key factors associated with the level and changes in total spending per privately insured person 18-64 over the past decade. We also examine changes in out of pocket spending over time. This will include estimating the difference in out of pocket spending by race, gender, ethnicity as well as the mix of medical conditions under treatment. Nearly 160 million Americans are covered through employer sponsored insurance and just under 35 million through individual/nongroup plans.

# **INTRODUCTION**

This paper examines the key factors associated with the level and changes in total spending per privately insured person 18-64 over the past decade. We also examine changes in out of pocket spending over time. This will include estimating the difference in out of pocket spending by race, gender, ethnicity as well as the mix of medical conditions under treatment. Nearly 160 million Americans are covered through employer sponsored insurance and just under 35 million through individual/nongroup plans [1]. Understanding trends in private insurance spending and the underlying factors associated with these trends provides important insights into the health care system overall.

The analysis starts by looking at trends in total per capita spending, total private insurance spending and out of pocket payments from 2010 through 2020. Then the analysis focuses on spending among patients with the most expensive medical conditions. This includes:

- Trauma
- Cancer
- Mental health disorders
- COPD/Asthma
- Heart Failure and Heart Disease

In addition, we examine the impact of multiple chronic conditions on the level and growth in private insurance spending over time.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The analysis examines how changes in out of pocket spending, rising chronic disease prevalence, and other demographic factors affect total spending per capita. A major focus will be on trends and differences over time between Non-Hispanic White, Non-Hispanic Black, Non-Hispanic other adults and Hispanic Adults on the level and growth in health care spending.

Data for this analysis came from the 2010 - 2020 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey (MEPS-HC) medical conditions, events, and consolidated data files [2]. MEPS-HC is a nationally representative survey of the civilian noninstitutionalized population conducted by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ). The survey collects self-reported medical condition information, insurance coverage, patient demographics, health services utilization and health care spending.

We used the medical condition and event files to define eleven treated conditions used in our analysis: diabetes, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, mental health disorders, cancer, trauma, heart disease, rheumatoid arthritis, asthma, chronic kidney disease (CKD), and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). The regression models focused on the top five conditions, heart disease, cancer, mental disorders, trauma and COPD/asthma. MEPS respondents self-reported medical conditions that were then professionally coded into ICD-9-CM diagnosis codes for years 2010 to 2015 and ICD-10-CM codes for 2016 to 2020. Clinical classification software was then used to collapse the ICD-9-CM codes into mutually exclusive clinical classification categories (CCC) for 2010 to 2015 and refined clinical classification software



was used to collapse the ICD-10-CM into mutually exclusive refined clinical classification categories (CCR) for 2018-2020. We defined each of the eleven conditions based on CCC (2010-2015), ICD-10-CM (2016-2017), and CCR (2018-2020) codes with one or more associated inpatient, outpatient, office-based, emergency department (ED), home health, or prescription medication health care event. (**Appendix A**).

Condition specific total spending included all spending on health care events that occurred during a given calendar year and were directly related to treating the condition. More specifically, we summed inpatient, outpatient, ED, office-based, home health, and prescription drug expenditures. When the health care event was associated with multiple conditions, the expenditures for that event were split evenly across the conditions.

# Appendix A

Condition	2010 - 2015 Clinical Classifications Software Codes	2016 - 2017 ICD-10-CM Codes	2018 – 2020 Clinical Classifications Software Refined Codes	
Diabetes	049 050	E08 E09 E10 E11 E13	END002 END003 END004 END005 END006	
Hypertension	098 099	I10 I11 I12 I13 I15 I16	CIR007 CIR008	
Hyperlipidemia	053	E78	END010	
Mental Health	650 651 652 653 654 655 656 657 658 659 660 661 662 663	F06 F07 F09 F10 F11 F12 F13 F14 F15 F16 F17 F18 F19 F20 F21 F22 F23 F24 F25 F28 F29 F30 F31 F32 F33 F34 F39 F40 F41 F42 F43 F44 F45 F48 F50 F52 F53 F54 F55 F59 F60 F63 F64 F65 F66 F68 F69 F70 F71 F72 F73 F78 F79 F80 F81 F82 F84 F88 F89 F90 F91 F93 F94 F95 F98 F99 K70 T36 T37 T38 T39 T40 T41 T42 T43 T44 T45 T46 T47 T48 T49 T50 T51 T52 T53 T54 T55 T56 T57 T58 T59 T60 T61 T62 T63 T64 T65 T71 X71 X72 X73 X74 X75 X76 X77 X78 X79 X80 X81 X82 X83	MBD001 MBD002 MBD003 MBD004 MBD005 MBD006 MBD007 MBD008 MBD009 MBD010 MBD011 MBD012 MBD013 MBD014 MBD017 MBD018 MBD019 MBD020 MBD021 MBD022 MBD023 MBD024 MBD025 MBD026 MBD027 MBD028 MBD029 MBD030 MBD031 MBD032 MBD033 MBD034	
Cancer	011 012 013 014 015 016 017 018 019 020 021 022 023 024 025 026 027 028 029 030 031 032 033 034 035 036 037 038 039 040 041 042 043 044 045	First 2 characters: C0 C1 C2 C3 C4 C5 C6 C7 C8 C9 D0	NEO001 NEO002 NEO003 NEO004 NEO005 NEO006 NEO007 NEO008 NEO009 NEO010 NEO011 NEO011 NEO012 NEO013 NEO014 NEO015 NEO016 NEO017 NEO018 NEO019 NEO020 NEO021 NEO022 NEO023 NEO024 NEO025 NEO026 NEO027 NEO028 NEO024 NEO035 NEO036 NEO037 NEO038 NEO039 NEO036 NEO037 NEO038 NEO039 NEO040 NEO041 NEO042 NEO043 NEO044 NEO045 EO046 NEO047 NEO048 NEO049 NEO050 NEO051 NEO052 NEO053 NEO054 NEO055 NEO056 NEO057 NEO058 NEO059 NEO060 NEO061 NEO067 NEO068 NEO069 NEO065 NEO066 NEO067 NEO068 NEO069 NEO070 NEO071	
Trauma	225 226 227 228 229 230 231 232 233 234 235 236 239 240 244	T79 T76 T75 T74 T73 T71 T70 T69 T68 T67 T66 T34 T33 T32 T31 T30 T28 T27 T26 T25 T24 T23 T22 T21 T20 T19 T18 T17 T16 T15 T14 T07 S99 S98 S97 S96 S95 S94 S93 S92 S91 S90 S89 S88 S87 S86 S85 S84 S83 S82 S81 S80 S79 S78 S77 S76 S75 S74 S73 S72 S71 S70 S69 S68 S67 S66 S65 S64 S63 S62 S61 S60 S59 S58 S57 S56 S55 S54 S53 S52 S51 S50 S49 S48 S47 S46 S45 S44 S43 S42 S41 S40 S39 S38 S37 S36 S35 S34 S33 S32 S31 S30 S29 S28 S27 S26 S25 S24 S23 S22 S21 S20 S19 S17 S16 S15 S14 S13 S12 S11 S10 S09 S08 S07 S06 S05 S04 S03 S02 S01	INJ001 INJ002 INJ003 INJ004 INJ005 INJ006 INJ007 INJ008 INJ009 INJ010 INJ011 INJ012 INJ013 INJ014 INJ015 INJ016 INJ017 INJ018 INJ019 INJ020 INJ021 INJ024 INJ025 INJ026 INJ027 INJ032 INJ038 INJ039 INJ040 INJ041 INJ042 INJ043 INJ044 INJ045 INJ046 INJ047 INJ048 INJ049 INJ050 INJ051 INJ052 INJ053 INJ054 INJ055 INJ056 INJ057 INJ058 INJ061 INJ062 INJ063 INJ064 INJ068 INJ073 INJ074	
Heart Disease	096 097 100 101 102 103 104 105 106 107 108	109 111 113 120 121 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 144 145 146 147 148 149 150 151 152 197 130 131 132 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143	CIR001 CIR002 CIR003 CIR004 CIR005 CIR006 CIR010 CIR011 CIR012 CIR014 CIR015 CIR016 CIR017 CIR018 CIR019	
Rheumatoid Arthritis	202	M05 M06 M45	MUS003	
Asthma	128	J45	RSP009	
Chronic Kidney Disease	158	N18	GEN003	
COPD	127	J40 J41 J42 J43 J44 J47	RSP008	

# **SciMed**Central

Our analyses were limited to adults with 12 months of private insurance, ages 18 to 64 years old. Any respondent with a missing survey weight or missing values in any of the model covariates were excluded resulting in an analytic sample of 92,792.

#### **DISCUSSION**

We used generalized linear model (GLM) with gamma distribution and log-link function to predict three types of annual expenditures (total amount for all health care utilization, total amount paid by private insurance, and total out-of-pocket) in three time periods: 2010-2013, 2014-2017, and 2018-2020. We then estimated counterfactuals for the latter two time periods by calculating predicted spending using the characteristics of the 2010-2013 patients with the regression coefficients for each of the respective latter two time periods.

In each of our models, we controlled for patient characteristics, including age, sex, race/ethnicity, education, region, health status, income level, smoking, and total number of treated conditions.

We used Stata, version 17.0, for data analysis [3]. Sample weights and survey estimation commands were used to adjust for the complex survey design of MEPS. All spending amounts are presented in 2020 dollars, using the GDP implicit price deflator [4].

#### **FINDINGS**

Table 1 presents 10-year trends in average private insurance and out-of-pocket spending, as well as trends in chronic disease prevalence between 2010 and 2020. Real per insured private insurance spending increased from \$3,540 in 2010 to \$4,967 by

2020, an average annual increase of 3.4 percent. These results may have been impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic. The Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) reported that private health spending declined by 1.2 percent in 2020 [5]. However, CMS reports that the decline was largely due to a reduction in private insurance enrollment. We report average annual increases per insured and the results are virtually identical. The average increase between 2000 and 2009 was 3.47 percent and between 2000 and 2010 was 3.45 percent. We also did the analysis dropping the year 2020 using 2018-2019 as the third time period. The regression results were virtually identical to the results reported here.

The average annual increase in spending between 2000 and 2009 Out-of-pocket spending among privately insured adult increased from \$703 in 2010 to \$897 by 2020, an average annual increase of 2.5 percent.

Trends in the prevalence of chronic disease among privately insured adults were relatively stable over time. Fifty two percent adults had no treated chronic conditions in 2010 compared to 57 percent by 2020. The percent of adults with 3 or more chronic conditions treated decreased slightly over time, falling from 9.4 percent in 2010 to 7 percent in 2020.

Trends in chronic disease prevalence were generally stable over time as well. Diabetes prevalence remained around 6.2 percent from 2010 through 2020. Hypertension prevalence showed a slight decline from 18.5 to 15.3 percent. The remaining chronic conditions examined were virtually constant over time.

Table 2 examines changes in real per insured spending by

**Table 1:** Averages Among Those with Private Health Insurance, Age 18-64, 2010-2020

Year	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Real Private Spending	\$3,540	\$4,193	\$3,659	\$3,463	\$3,639	\$4,119	\$3,678	\$4,049	\$4,901	\$4,813	\$4,967
Real Out of Pocket	\$830	\$823	\$751	\$795	\$709	\$791	\$811	\$789	\$984	\$993	\$897
Total Chronic Conditions											
0	52.0%	51.6%	54.8%	54.3%	53.7%	53.1%	56.9%	57.9%	57.4%	57.2%	56.8%
1	26.2%	26.5%	25.3%	25.3%	26.6%	25.1%	25.5%	24.7%	24.3%	25.3%	25.0%
2	12.5%	12.1%	10.8%	11.6%	11.1%	12.3%	10.1%	10.3%	11.0%	10.9%	11.2%
3	6.1%	6.3%	5.9%	5.4%	5.5%	5.4%	4.8%	4.7%	4.8%	4.3%	4.8%
4+	3.3%	3.5%	3.1%	3.5%	3.1%	4.0%	2.7%	2.3%	2.5%	2.3%	2.2%
Treated Prevalence											
Diabetes	6.2%	6.8%	6.1%	6.6%	6.1%	6.8%	6.2%	6.1%	5.9%	5.8%	6.2%
Kidney Disease	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Hypertension	18.5%	18.5%	17.3%	17.4%	16.9%	17.7%	16.8%	16.3%	16.5%	15.7%	15.3%
Hyperlipidemia	15.2%	14.7%	14.1%	14.0%	13.1%	13.4%	12.7%	11.7%	11.5%	10.9%	11.2%
COPD	3.3%	3.2%	2.5%	2.9%	2.5%	3.0%	3.1%	2.8%	0.7%	0.6%	0.6%
arthritis	0.9%	1.0%	1.0%	0.8%	1.0%	1.0%	0.0%	1.0%	1.0%	0.8%	0.8%
Asthma	4.3%	4.1%	4.1%	4.2%	4.1%	4.5%	3.8%	3.9%	5.1%	4.8%	4.7%
Depression	8.0%	8.0%	7.3%	7.9%	7.5%	7.6%	6.7%	6.2%	6.9%	7.5%	8.1%
Heart Disease	5.1%	5.2%	4.4%	4.6%	4.4%	4.8%	3.1%	2.5%	3.4%	3.3%	2.9%
Trauma	12.3%	12.1%	11.3%	11.3%	11.4%	12.6%	9.4%	9.2%	9.0%	8.6%	8.8%
Mental Disorders	13.8%	14.6%	13.8%	14.3%	15.5%	15.4%	14.9%	14.1%	15.5%	15.9%	17.8%
Cancer	4.2%	4.7%	3.7%	3.9%	4.4%	4.6%	2.2%	1.9%	3.1%	3.2%	2.9%

Source: Tabulations from MEPS-HC, 2010-2020  $\,$ 



**Table 2:** Mean Real Private Health Insurance Spending per Capital by Medical Conditions, 2010-2013, 2018-2020

	2010-2013	2018-2020	% Change
Diabetes	\$ 2,380	\$ 4,875	104.8%
Hypertension	\$ 546.	\$ 459.	-16%
Hyperlipidemia	\$735.	\$371.	-49.5%
Mental Disorders	\$1,400	\$1,786	23.3%
Heart Disease	\$6,997	\$8,960	28.1%
Cancer	\$2,423	\$3,023	24.8%
Total	\$3,716	\$4,893	31.7%

Source: Tabulations from MEPS-HC, 2010-2020

the most expensive and prevalent chronic conditions. Between 2010 and 2020 private insurance spending per adult rose from \$3,716 to \$4,893 a 31.7 percent increase. The 2020 spending level however was most likely impacted by COVID. The pattern of spending changes varied widely across chronic condition. Per insured spending on hyperlipidemia declined nearly 50 percent per person over the ten-year period, falling from \$735 to \$371. This decline reflects a dramatic change in the mix of statins with a large rise in the use of generic statins and a 90 percent reduction over a ten-year period for brand name statins [6]. Similarly, increased use of generic drugs to treat hypertension (generic Lipitor) rose over the ten-year period resulting in 16 percent decrease in spending.

In contrast, spending on other chronic conditions increased sharply. Spending to treat diabetes more than doubled, rising from \$2,380 in 2010 to \$4,875 by 2020. Cancer spending showed the next largest rise, from \$6,997 in 2010 to \$8,960 by 2020, over a 28 percent increase. Spending on trauma cases and mental disorders increased by roughly the same amount 25 and 23 percent respectfully over the ten-year period.

Table 3 presents the full regression results for private insurance spending focusing on the number of chronic conditions treated. We focus on the results from 2010-2013 and 2018-2020 through the 2014-2017 results are reported as well. In both periods, women incurred higher expenses (about \$2,000) than men. Self-reported health status also impacted spending, private insurance spending for those that reported poor health were over \$15,000 higher compared to those reporting excellent health (results similar in both periods).

Private insurance spending was considerably lower for racial and ethnic minorities. In 2010-2013 and 2018-2020 spending was approximately \$900 lower for Non-Hispanic other adults compared to Non-Hispanic White adults. Insurance spending was \$713 lower in 2010-2013 and \$619 lower in 2018-2020 for Non-Hispanic Black adults compared to Non-Hispanic White adults. Finally, spending on Hispanic adults was over \$500 lower in the earlier period, and \$213 lower in the latter period compared to non-Hispanic White adults.

In both time periods, higher levels of education were associated with higher private insurance spending. In the 2018-2020 time period, adults with a college degree spending \$2,700 more than adults with no degree.

Somewhat surprisingly smokers incurred lower health care spending in both periods, ranging from \$700 to over \$840 lower per year.

Finally, even when controlling for self-reported health status, the number of chronic conditions treated has a substantial impact on private insurance spending.

The incremental spending for each additional condition was about \$2,000 in 2010-2013 and was similar in 2018-2020. The major difference was the incremental spending associated with moving from 3 to 4 or more conditions treated in 2018-2020. In this case, the additional spending was over \$4,200\$ higher (p<.10).

Table 4 presents the same set of results for out-of-pocket spending trends over time. Like total spending, females spent approximately \$380 more out of pocket compared to males in both time periods. Reported health status also influenced spending as those reporting in poor health spent \$1,000 to \$1,200 more out-of-pocket in the two time periods. Racial minorities spent less out-of-pocket compared to non-Hispanic White adults. In the latest period, out-of-pocket spending was approximately \$350 to \$419 lower per year for racial and ethnic minorities.

Out-of-pocket was over \$200 higher for adults aged 50 to 64 compared to those under 50. Out-of-pocket spending also increased with higher levels of education. Adults with a college degree spent \$340 to \$400 more per year out-of-pocket compared to those without a high school diploma. As before smokers spend less out-of-pocket (\$120 to \$160) compared to non-smokers.

As before, even accounting for self-reported health status, out-of-pocket spending increased sharply with the number of chronic conditions treated. In both time periods examined, out-of-pocket spending was \$1,200 to nearly \$1,300 more per year for those with 4 or more conditions compared to those with no chronic conditions.

Out-of-pocket spending for those with one chronic condition was higher in 2018-2020 (\$212, p<.05) compared to 2010-2013. Out-of-pocket spending for other chronically ill patients were similar in the two time periods.

The results in Table 5 estimates the marginal impact of race and ethnicity and the most expensive and prevalent chronic conditions on private insurance spending in two time periods (2010-2013 and 2018 and 2020) as well as over the two periods. Other demographic results were similar in these models that were reported above and therefore are not shown.

In both time periods, health spending was approximately \$2,000 higher for females. Spending on racial and ethnic minorities in the 2010-2013 time period were uniformly lower compared to non-Hispanic White adults. This ranged from \$508 per year lower for Hispanic Adults to over \$900 per year for non-Hispanic other adults.

Spending increased sharply with the number of chronic conditions treated. In 2010-2013 incremental spending was

\_\_\_\_\_

Linearized



**Table 3:** Table presents the full regression results for private insurance

spending focusing on the number of chronic conditions treated.

Real (2020\$) Total Private Health Expenditures marginal effects, |dy/dx std. err.t P>|t| [95% conf. interval] \_\_\_\_\_\_ 18-64, 2010-2013 1.female| 2165.076 173.049712.51 0.000 1825.463 2504.69 Average marginal effects Number of strata = 660 Number of obs = 40,057 very\_good | 794.3375 147.2209 5.40 0.000 505.4139 1083.261 Number of PSUs = 1,453 Population size = 41,127,025 Subpop. no. obs = 36,537 good | 2010.295 229.5375 8.76 0.000 1559.823 2460.766 fair | 5043.033 574.1181 8.78 0.000 3916.316 6169.75 \_\_\_\_\_ poor | 14666.6 1913.458 7.66 0.00010911.4 18421.79 | Linearized racethx | |dy/dx std. err.t P>|t| [95% conf. interval] Hisp | -796.2888 244 -3.26 0.001 -1275.143 -317.4345 ..... NHblack | -604.8304 259.1208 -2.33 0.020 -1113.36 -96.30126 1.female | 1995.323 163.5247 12.20 0.000 1674.331 2316.315 NHother | -1023.483 200.2227 -5.11 0.000 -1416.424 630.5428 hlthstat | very\_good | 713.9643 156.4876 4.56 0.000 406.7854 1021.143 good | 1888.794 188.5281 10.02 0.000 1518.721 2258.867 35-49 | 247.5002 178.032 1.39 0.165 -101.8909 596.8913 fair | 5425.748 482.1349 11.25 0.000 4479.336 6372.159 50-64 | 1274.193 255.6759 4.98 0.000 772.4242 1775.961 poor | 15240.91 2080.745 7.32 0.00011156.5 19325.33 povcat | 100-199% | -271.5139 454.0502 -0.60 0.550 -1162.596 619.5678 racethx | 200-399% | -98.47073 401.0757 -0.25 0.806 -885.589 688.6476 Hisp | -508.158 249.1425 -2.04 0.042 -997.2149 -19.10123 NHblack | -713.4314 214.595 -3.32 0.001 -1134.673 -292.19 400%+ | 416.9051 405.7081 1.03 0.304 -379.3044 1213.115 NHother | -906.8461 199.5752 -4.54 0.000 -1298.604 -515.088 region | Midwest | -485.5313 333.797 -1.45 0.146 -1140.614 169.5512 agegrp | 35-49 | -188.8206 224.927 -0.84 0.401 -630.3433 252.7021 South | -869.167 310.1232 -2.80 0.005 -1477.789 -260.5447 50-64 | 455.5581 259.2237 1.76 0.079 -53.28777 964.4039 West | -432.5776 345.8752 -1.25 0.211 -1111.364 246.2086 educgrp | 100-199% | 73.18823 330.0251 0.22 0.825 -574.6378 721.0143 HSgrad | -455.5441 551.1518 -0.83 0.409 -1537.19 626.1014 SomeColl\_Assc | 172.2469 557.8743 0.31 0.758 -922.5915 200-399% | 526.6362 316.809 1.66 0.097 -95.24728 1148.52 400%+ | 1090.472 316.4289 3.45 0.001 469.3346 1711.609 1267.085 CollegeGrad | 693.4086 551.8777 1.26 0.209 -389.6613 1776.479 Midwest | 454.8001 316.2648 1.44 0.151 -166.0151 1075.615 1.smoker | -582.2257 288.375 -2.02 0.044 -1148.167 -16.28452 South | -614.3952 210.0326 -2.93 0.004 -1026.681 -202.1096 West | -239.53 222.9592 -1.07 0.283-677.19 198.13 1\_cond | 2486.605 178.8397 13.90 0.000 2135.629 2837.582 2\_cond | 3739.015 265.0399 14.11 0.0003218.87 4259.161 3\_cond | 6291.239 685.5033 9.18 0.000 4945.926 7636.552 HSgrad | 420.7144 280.017 1.50 0.133 -128.9478 970.3765 SomeColl\_Assc | 505.604 269.37 1.88 0.061 -23.15861 4+\_cond | 8241.567 712.9657 11.56 0.000 6842.359 9640.775 1034.367 year | CollegeGrad | 1468.191 310.1386 4.73 0.000 859.4016 2076.981 2015 | 375.2862 249.7817 1.50 0.133 -114.9149 865.4873 2016 | 455.5463 238.9579 1.91 0.057 -13.41283 924.5055 1.smoker| -706.1203 174.4024 -4.05 0.000 -1048.465 -363.7755 2017 | 713.6976 248.0098 2.88 0.004 226.9738 1200.421 totcond I ..... 1\_cond | 1983.512 132.2196 15.00 0.0001723.97 2243.054 2\_cond | 3616.264 318.1501 11.37 0.000 2991.748 4240.78 Note: dy/dx for factor levels is the discrete change from the base level. Real (2020\$) Total Private Health Expenditures marginal effects, 3\_cond | 5432.958 394.8348 13.76 0.000 4657.913 6208.003 4+\_cond | 7658.692 661.9313 11.57 0.000 6359.347 8958.036 18-64, 2018-2020 Average marginal effects Number of strata = 327 Number of obs = 27,761 2011 | 627.3848 259.531 2.42 0.016 117.9358 1136.834 Number of PSUs = 819 Population size = 33,951,518 2012 | -8.824805 236.4594 -0.04 0.970 -472.9851 455.3355 2013 | 90.87363 239.4017 0.38 0.704 -379.0622 560.8095 Subpop. no. obs = 21.573\_\_\_\_\_ | Linearized Note: dy/dx for factor levels is the discrete change from the base level. Real (2020\$) Total Private Health Expendtures marginal effects, |dy/dx std. err.t P>|t| [95% conf. interval] 18-64, 2014-2017 1.female| 2763.811 284.646 9.71 0.0002204.54 3323.083 Average marginal effects hlthstat | Number of strata = 777 Number of obs = 39,737 Number of PSUs = 1,707 Population size = 43,554,880 very\_good | 1034.082 269.8887 3.83 0.000 503.8049 1564.358 Subpop. no. obs = 34,682 good | 2867.27 392.3505 7.31 0.000 2096.381 3638.159



fair | 7223.667 919.114 7.86 0.000 5417.794 9029.54 poor | 15233.89 3367.076 4.52 0.000 8618.269 21849.51 racethx | Hisp | -212.4884 562.0939 -0.38 0.706 -1316.889 891.9122 NHblack | -618.7154 461.5657 -1.34 0.181 -1525.598 288.1677 NHother | -904.8463 448.3638 -2.02 0.044 -1785.79 -23.90238 agegrp | 35-49 | -106.0655 332.6506 -0.32 0.750 -759.6566 547.5256 50-64 | 814.3191 368.462 2.21 0.028 90.36588 1538.272 povcat | 100-199% | -314.4493 721.2378 -0.44 0.663 -1731.535 1102.637 200-399% | 373.2461 680.8165 0.55 0.584 -964.4203 1710.913 400%+ | 793.0822 636.4753 1.25 0.213 -457.4629 2043.627 region | Midwest | 294.5676 422.8243 0.70 0.486 -536.1965 1125.332 South | -644.9031 378.3514 -1.70 0.089 -1388.287 98.48075 West | 359.4798 466.2679 0.77 0.441 -556.6422 1275.602 educgrp | HSgrad | 1517.109 418.6445 3.62 0.000 694.5571 2339.66 SomeColl\_Assc | 2270.551 433.8592 5.23 0.000 1418.106 3122.997 CollegeGrad | 2700.16 388.3434 6.95 0.000 1937.144 3463.176 1.smoker | -706.1203 174.4024 -4.05 0.000 -1048.465 -363-7755 totcond | 1 cond | 3175.667 285.5913 11.12 0.000 2614.538 3736.796 2\_cond | 5330.35 481.0331 11.08 0.000 4385.218 6275.483 3\_cond | 7950.541 865.8371 9.18 0.000 6249.346 9651.735 4+\_cond | 12197.02 1595.543 7.64 0.000 9062.099 15331.94  $2019 \mid -205.8972 \quad 284.0164 \quad -0.72 \quad 0.469 \quad -763.9319 \quad 352.1374$ 2012 -8.824805 236.4594-0.04 0.970-472.9851455.3355 2020 | -343.1541 352.6807 -0.97 0.331-1036.1 349.792 2013| 90.87363 239.4017 0.38 0.704-379.0622560.8095

Note: dy/dx for factor levels is the discrete change from the base level.

Table 4: Table presents the same set of results for out-of-pocket spending trends over time

# Real (2020\$) Total out-of-pocket spending marginal effects, 18-64, 2010-2013

Average marginal effects

Number of strata = 660 Number of obs = 40,057 Number of PSUs = 1,453 Population size = 41,127,025 Subpop. no. obs = 36,537

#### Linearized

| dy/dx std. err. tP>|t| [95% conf. interval]

1.female | 373.686623.342916.01 0.000 327.8654 419.5077 hlthstat |

very\_good | 76.86804 25.31669 3.04 0.002 27.17239126.5637 good | 217.1564 35.60013 6.10 0.000 147.2747 287.038 fair | 574.4637 68.27431 8.41 0.000 440.444708.4834 poor | 1214.077 178.1707 6.81 0.000 864.33511563.819 racethx |

Hisp | -291.2019 27.56302 -10.56 0.000 -345.307 -237.0968 NHblack | -391.8161 25.75718 -15.21 0.000-442.3765 -341.2558

NHother | -171.8883 47.62473-3.61 0.000-265.3737 -78.40284 28.30305 0.80 0.425-32.9597678.15575 50-64 | 455.5581 259.2237 1.76 0.079-53.28777964.4039 262.5501 34.33086 7.65 0.000 195.16329.9402 povcat | 100-199% | 175.9181 79.20286-2.22 0.027-331.3901 -20.44604 400%+ | 35.10354 77.28725 0.45 0.650-116.6082186.8153 region | Midwest 196.8679 31.58485 6.23 0.000 134.8681258.8677 South | 146.7547 34.18499 4.29 0.000 79.65089213.8584 West | 238.5506 39.18327 6.09 0.000 161.6354315.4658 educgrp | HSgrad | 35.13912 38.89257 0.90 0.367-41.20543111.4837 SomeColl\_Assc | 152.8567 35.29145 4.33 0.000 83.58104222.1324 CollegeGrad | 338.6766 38.36374 8.83 0.000 263.3701413.9831 totcond | 1\_cond | 415.2717 23.7526517.48 0.000 368.6463461.8972 2\_cond | 773.8486 44.1029417.55 0.000 687.2763860.4209 3\_cond | 946.4466 50.9268518.58 0.000 846.47931046.414 4+\_cond | 1293.191 85.9637515.04 0.000 1124.4481461.935  $33.23019\ 0.20\ \ 0.840\text{-}58.5039271.95514$ 

Note: dy/dx for factor levels is the discrete change from the base level. Real (2020\$) Total out-of-pocket spending marginal effects, 18-64.2014-2017

Average marginal effects

Number of strata = 777 Number of obs = 39,737 Number of PSUs = 1,707 Population size = 43,554,880 Subpop. no. obs = 34,682

-20.46487 35.06566-0.58 0.560-89.2973648.36761

### | Linearized

| dy/dx std. err. tP>|t| [95% conf. interval]

1.female | 353.6019 25.1949514.03 0.000 304.1563403.0474 hlthstat |

very\_good | 87.16979 30.03582 2.90 0.004 28.22395146.1156 good | 163.1072 34.02968 4.79 0.000 96.32337229.8911 fair | 516.5662 66.59355 7.76 0.000 385.8751647.2572 poor | 783.4051 170.7659 4.59 0.000 448.2741118.536 racethx |

Hisp| -282.2319 34.66339-8.14 0.000-350.2594 -214.2043 NHblack | -367.6007 30.27213 -12.14 0.000-427.0103 -308.1911 agegrp |



50-64 | 455.5581 259.2237 1.76 0.079-53.287774.4039 201.4485 30.81292 6.54 0.000 140.9776261.9194

#### povcat |

100-199% | -76.40574 63.93363-1.20 0.232-201.876649.06516 200-399% | 26.11468 61.71868 0.42 0.672-95.00935147.2387 400%+ | 231.7134 61.12645 3.79 0.000 111.7517351.6752 region |

Midwest| 109.5119 41.26408 2.65 0.008 28.53035190.4934 South | 37.97976 39.93753 0.95 0.342-40.39836116.3579 West | 110.9818 42.98803 2.58 0.010 26.61703195.3466 educgrp |

HSgrad | -72.68969 91.82671-0.79 0.429-252.9013107.5219 SomeColl\_Assc | -.6659862 93.48409-0.01 0.994-184.1302182.7982

CollegeGrad | 230.8402 94.17284 2.45 0.014 46.02427415.6561 1.smoker | -706.1203 174.4024-4.05 0.000-1048.465 -363.7755 41.26408 2.65 0.008 28.53035190.4934

#### totcond |

1\_cond | 452.5405 27.8581716.24 0.000 397.8683507.2126 2\_cond | 644.4768 34.5252418.67 0.000 576.7204712.2333 3\_cond | 1056.148 67.5447615.64 0.000 923.59051188.706 4+\_cond | 1184.645 78.2169215.15 0.000 1031.1431338.147 year |

2015 | 627.3848259.531 2.42 0.016 117.93581136.834 33.23019 0.20 0.840-58.5039271.95514

2016 | -8.824805 236.4594-0.04 0.970-472.9851455.3355 2017 | 90.87363 239.4017 0.38 0.704-379.0622560.8095 35.06566-0.58 0.560-89.2973648.36761

Note: dy/dx for factor levels is the discrete change from the base level. Real (2020\$) Total out-of-pocket spending marginal effects, 18-64, 2018-2020

Average marginal effects

Number of strata = 327Number of obs = 27,761 Number of PSUs = 819Population size = 33,951,518 Subpop. no. obs = 21,573

| Linearized

| dy/dx std. err. tP>|t| [95% conf. interval]

.....

1.female | 385.9663 42.42095 9.10 0.000 302.6177469.3148 hlthstat |

very\_good | 118.9276 42.41185 2.80 0.005 35.59689202.2583 good | 313.2483 62.04145 5.05 0.000 191.3495435.1472 fair | 719.5106132.062 5.45 0.000 460.0355978.9857 poor | 1071.651 269.8622 3.97 0.000 541.42611601.875 racethx |

racetnx

50-64 | 455.5581 259.2237 1.76 0.079-53.2877764.4039 povcat |

Midwest | 110.2337 60.56904 1.82 0.069-8.772207229.2396 South | 23.35172 62.21167 0.38 0.708 -98.8816 145.585 West | 19.37645 57.57367 0.34 0.737-93.74414 132.497

educgrp |

HSgrad | -17.13086 75.19877-0.23 0.820-164.8812130.6195 SomeColl\_Assc | 170.0514 77.38554 2.20 0.028 18.00452322.0983 CollegeGrad | 400.1915 82.03416 4.88 0.000 239.011 561.372 1.smoker | -161.2051 49.75993-3.24 0.001-258.9733 -63.43694 1\_cond | 627.0462 44.7784214.00 0.000 539.0657715.0268 2\_cond | 769.5137 67.1870911.45 0.000 637.5046901.5227 3\_cond | 1175.277 115.718810.16 0.000 947.91321402.641 4+\_cond | 1235.621 123.425810.01 0.000 993.11421478.128 year |

Note: dy/dx for factor levels is the discrete change from the base level.

**Table 5:** Marginal Effects on Private Health Insurance Spending by Numbers of Chronic Conditions 2010-2013, 2018-2020

Variable	2010-2013	2018-2020
Female	\$1,995*	\$ 2,764*
Relative to Non-Hispanic White		
Hispanic	-\$508*	- \$212*
Non-Hispanic Black	- \$713*	-\$619*
Non-Hispanic Other	-\$907*	-\$905*
Number of Chronic Conditions		
1	\$1,983*	√\$3,175*
2	\$3,616*	√\$ 5,330*
3	\$5,432*	√\$7,950*
4+	\$7,659*	√\$12,197*

Source: Analysis from MEPS-HC

\*Significant different from Fewer conditions p< .05 (4 vs 3, 3 vs. 2, 2 vs. 1)  $\sqrt{\text{Significant different p}} < .05$  across the two time periods.

 $\textbf{Table 6:} \ Marginal \ Effects \ on \ Out-Of-Pocket \ Health \ Insurance \ Spending, \ By \ Number \ of \ Chronic \ Conditions, \ 2010-2013, \ 2018-2022$ 

Variable	2010-2013	2018-2020
Female	\$374*	\$ 385*
Relative to Non-Hispanic White		
Hispanic	-\$291*	- \$347*
Non-Hispanic Black	- \$392*	-\$419*
Non-Hispanic Other	-\$172*	-\$356*
Number of Chronic Conditions		
1	\$415*	√\$627*
2	\$774*	\$ 770*
3	\$946*	\$ 1,175*
4+	\$1,293*	\$1,235*

Source: Analysis from MEPS-HC

\*Significant different from Fewer conditions p< .05 (4 vs 3, 3 vs. 2, 2 vs. 1)  $\sqrt{\text{Significant different p}}$  < .05 across the two time periods.

**Table 7:** Fully Interacted Model Impact of Key Chronic Disease on Level 1 Private Insurance Spending, 2010-2020.

Conditions	(2014-2017 vs 2010-2013)	(2010-2013 vs 2018-2020)
Heart Disease	\$2,592*	\$3,417*
Trauma	\$630	\$1,300*
Cancer	\$417	\$4,282*
Mental Disease	\$190	\$965*
COPD/Asthma	\$502	\$510

Source: Analysis from MEPS-HC Significantly different from zero, p<.05

**Table 8:** Fully Interacted Model Impact of Key Chronic Conditions on Out-of-Pocket Spending Privately Insurance Audits, 2010-2020

Conditions	(2014-2017 vs 2010-2013)	(2010-2013 vs 2018-2020)
Heart Disease	-\$75	\$102
Trauma	\$67	\$201*
Cancer	\$7	\$180
Mental Disease	\$8	\$280*
COPD/Asthma	-\$65	-\$61

Source: Analysis from MEPS-HC Significantly different from zero, p<.05

\$1,983 higher and those with 4 or more conditions \$7,659 higher for those with 4 or more conditions compared to those with no chronic conditions. Spending on chronic disease increased sharply over the ten-year period. Private insurance spending for each category of the number of chronic conditions increased by nearly \$1,200 (for those with one condition) to over \$4,500 more in 2018-2020 for those with 4 or more conditions. These changes within each category were all statistically significant (p<.05).

The results presented in Table 6 estimate the incremental out-of-pocket expenditures by race and ethnicity and number of chronic conditions treated. Out-of-pocket spending increased sharply as the number of chronic conditions treated increased. Relative to adults with no chronic conditions, out-of-pocket spending was \$415 higher for those with 1 condition rising to nearly \$1,300 higher for those with 4 or more conditions treated. As before, out-of-pocket spending for racial and ethnic minorities were lower than from Non-Hispanic White adults.

Out-of-pocket spending rose over the two time periods for adults with one chronic condition (p<.05). For these adults, spending was \$212 higher in 2018-2020 compared to 2010-2013.

We next estimate the change in private insurance spending for five of the most expensive chronic conditions over time. Using a Wald Chi-Square test we compare the impact of changes in chronic care spending on total private insurance spending (Table 7), and out-of-pocket spending (Table 8), for three time periods; 2014-2017 and 2010-2013 and 2018-2020 and 2010-2013.

Spending for four of the five chronic conditions examined increased significantly between 2018-2020 and 2010-2013. Cancer spending was \$4,282 higher in the latter period compared to the earlier period. Similarly spending to treat heart disease was \$3,417 higher, trauma spending \$1.300 and treatment of mental disorders \$965 higher in 2018-2020 compared to 2010-2013. Spending to treat heart disease was also higher (\$2,592) in 2014-2017 compared to 2010-2013.

Out-of-pocket spending to treat two chronic conditions also increased over time (Table 8). Spending to treat trauma patients was \$201 higher in 2018-2020 compared to 2010-2013. Out-of-pocket spending for patients treated for mental disorders was \$280 in 2018-2020 compared to 2010-2013.

# CONCLUSIONS, LIMITATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Real per capita private insurance spending increased an average of 3.4 percent per year between 2010 and 2020. Out-of-pocket spending also increased over this period but by a lower amount of 2.5 percent per year. The analysis highlights several important demographic differences in the level of both total insurance spending and out-of-pocket spending over this period. First, health care spending, even controlling for reported health status and chronic health conditions, were lower for racial and ethnic minorities compared to non-Hispanic White adults. Out-of-pocket spending was also lower for racial and ethnic minorities. These differences however have not increased over the ten-year period examined. The result also show that spending rises with educational attainment and age. Total and out-of-pocket spending was also higher for women.

As may be expected the most important determinants of total and out-of-pocket were self-reported health status and the number of chronic health care conditions treated. Total spending on those with self-reported poor health was over \$15,000 higher compared to those reporting excellent health. The significantly higher spending among those with fair or poor health compared to patients with excellent health was observed even when controlling for the number of chronic health care conditions treated.

The analysis also highlighted the substantial increase in total and out-of-pocket spending among patients a greater number of chronic conditions treated. Private insurance spending for adults under treatment for 4 or more chronic conditions were over \$12,000 higher compared to adults with no chronic conditions treated. Even among chronically ill adults, spending increased substantially for each additional chronic condition treated. A similar result was found for out-of-pocket spending for chronically ill adults. These high levels of out-of-pocket spending for chronically ill patients are a concern if they deter patients from adhering to medications and seeking timely treatment. One approach would be to lower or eliminate cost sharing on clinically important medications used to treat patients with highly prevalent chronic conditions.

The analysis highlights several areas of interest. The lower spending overall on racial minorities even after accounting for health status raises some issues that require additional study. The analysis also highlighted that higher spending on several chronic conditions were an important factor accounting for the growth in total private insurance spending. Additional treatment costs of cancer and heart disease were the two leading conditions accounting for the increased spending. The increased spending

# **SciMed**Central

was not associated with higher prevalence of the conditions, they were relatively stable over the ten-year period. Higher treatment costs per case then accounted for the rise.

The analysis showed that the treatment costs of some conditions like hyperlipidemia and hypertension actually declined over time. The lower level of spending is linked to the increased use of generic medications to treat both conditions.

Finally, the results provide important information for capitated health insurance plans in general (Medicare, Medicaid, and private insurance). These plans must predict forward looking treatment costs in setting premiums or negotiation per capita payments. The fact that both reported health status and the number and mix of chronic conditions (which are used in risk adjusting per capita rates for Medicare Advantage plans) are highly predictive of spending highlights the important role that health risk assessments in addition to clinical data in predicting levels of spending. Relying solely on risk adjusting simply using claims data on clinical data could result in underpredicting next year's spending levels. Both risk assessment information and the clinical data are two of the most important determinants of the level and change in health care spending.

# **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

The author thanks the National Pharmaceutical Council for support of the analysis.

# **REFERENCES**

- 1. American Community Survey. Health insurance coverage of the nonelderly 0–64. San Francisco (CA): KFF; 2019. 2022.
- Lin SY, Baumann K, Zhou C. Trends in Use and Expenditures for Brand-name Statins After Introduction of Generic Statins in the US, 2002-2018. JAMA Netw Open. 2021; 4: e2135371
- Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. Medical Expenditure Panel Survey: Household Component full-year files, 2010–2020. Rockville (MD): AHRQ.
- StataCorp. 2021. Stata Statistical Software: Release 17. College Station, TX: StataCorp LLC.
- 5. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, 2023.
- Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development, GDP Implicit Price Deflator in United States [USAGDPDEFAISMEI]. 2022.