Amelioration of Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD) with Medicinal Mushroom Extract in Rats
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Abstract

**Background:** Oxidative stress (OXS) appears to be a key pathogenic factor for the development of chronic kidney disease (CKD), implying that its diminution with certain antioxidant(s) may prevent CKD. Accordingly, we investigated whether a *Poria* mushroom extract, PEA with antioxidant activity, might have beneficial effects to ameliorate CKD in the rats.

**Materials and methods:** Adenine (ADN) is a nephrotoxic agent, which has been often used to chemically induce CKD in a rat model. Twenty rats were divided into four groups: Group 1 (Sham); Group 2 (ADN); Group 3 (ADN with PEA supplement); and Group 4 (PEA only). After ADN and/or PEA were orally given to rats for 2 weeks, they were sacrificed and blood and kidney specimens were collected for histopathological and biochemical analyses.

**Results:** Compared to the Sham Group, both blood urea nitrogen (BUN) and creatinine (Cr) levels were significantly elevated in the Group 2 with palpable histological alterations. But, the elevated BUN and Cr levels, indicating renal dysfunction, were >30% reduced with PEA supplement (Group 3) with better histology and PEA alone (Group 4) had no effects. Notably, the OXS level was also elevated while activities of antioxidant enzymes were lost in the Group 2. Additionally, those rats in the Group 2 showed the enhanced expressions of three kidney injury biomarkers (neutrophil-gelatinase-associated lipocalin, kidney injury molecule 1, and clusterin), indicating serious renal injury. However, all these adverse effects (caused by ADN) were ameliorated with PEA supplement (Group 3).

**Conclusions:** OXS appears to play a significant role in ADN-induced CKD in the rats. However, PEA with antioxidant activity could protect renal cells from such OXS-induced adverse effects. Therefore, it is plausible that PEA could be a beneficial renoprotective agent against CKD that is presumably mediated through OXS.

ABBREVIATIONS

CKD: Chronic Kidney Disease; OXS: Oxidative Stress; ADN: Adenine; PEA: *Poria* Mushroom Extract; BUN: Blood Urea Nitrogen; Cr: Creatinine; GFR: Glomerular Filtration Rate; ROS: Reactive Oxygen Species; GSH: Reduced Glutathione; TCM: Traditional Chinese Medicine; LPO: Lipid Peroxidation; MDA: Malondialdehyde; TBA: Thiobarbituric Acid; CTL: Catalase; GPX: Glutathione Peroxidase; SDS: Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate; NGAL: Neutrophil-Gelatinase-Associated Lipocalin; Kim-1: Kidney Injury Molecule 1; CLU: Clusterin; ANOVA: Analysis of Variance; SD: Standard Deviation; ESRD: End-Stage Renal Disease; kDa: Kilodalton

INTRODUCTION

Kidney diseases are a serious health problem and particularly chronic kidney disease (CKD) now becomes a major public health problem worldwide, which inflicts tremendous socioeconomic burdens on the patients, families, and societies [1]. The main causes of CKD include diabetes, hypertension, glomerulonephritis, cardiovascular disease and so forth [2,3]. CKD is characterized by reduced glomerular filtration rate (GFR) but increased proteinuria, tubular atrophy, tubulointerstitial fibrosis, glomerulosclerosis, renal vasculopathy, and reduced renal regenerative capability [4,5]. Patients with CKD have a high risk of death from stroke or heart attack, and CKD could progress to permanent renal failure (end-stage renal disease, ESRD) that may require lifelong dialysis or kidney transplantation [1,5]. Unfortunately, CKD is also a progressive, irreversible disease [6,7] and therapeutic options are currently limited and ineffective. Hence, the objective of treatment is to just slow down the disease progression. Meanwhile, the incidence of CKD is steadily increasing and we need to have a better understanding of CKD to reduce or halt this increasing incidence. It is thus urgent and demands for establishing a more effective, suitable modality. There must be something in common and what could be such a common factor for triggering CKD?

Accumulating data now suggest that oxidative stress (OXS), i.e. generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), is prevalent...
in CKD patients and may indeed play a significant role in the development of CKD [5-9]. ROS are known as highly reactive and harmful molecules, including oxygen free radicals (superoxide ion, singlet oxygen, hydroxyl radical etc.) and non-radical oxidants (hydrogen peroxide, nitric oxide, ozone etc.) [5]. These ROS can create a state of OXS, attacking, damaging, or even killing all kinds of cells including renal cells. It is thus plausible that OXS could consequently lead to renal dysfunction, renal failure or CKD.

If OXS were the primary cause of CKD, it is theoretically possible that certain antioxidants might be able to effectively reduce the incidence of CKD. In fact, antioxidants have been reported to have beneficial or protective effects on cellular injury/damage associated with OXS [10]. Those include vitamins (C/E), folic acid, β-carotene, reduced glutathione (GSH) etc., but finding the right antioxidant is not an easy task.

We are particularly interested in the bioactive extract from *Poria* mushroom, “PEA” [11,12]. This is one well-established medicinal mushroom and has been used in Traditional Chinese Medicine (TCM) for 2,000 years [11]. It has been well characterized and its major chemical constituents, such as triterpenes, polysaccharides, and steroids, have been identified [11]. In addition, a number of studies revealed that PEA had antioxidant, renoprotective, anticancer, immunomodulatory, anti-inflammatory, antibacterial, anti-hyperglycemic, diuretic effects etc. [12-18]. We are particularly interested in its antioxidant and renoprotective activities, which may help ameliorate CKD or even reduce its incidence. Moreover, PEA has few side effects (documented in TCM) as it is a natural agent, implying its potential therapeutic utility.

Rat models have been used for studying human CKD and administration of adenine (ADN) to rats has been known to induce kidney damage similar to CKD [19]. ADN given orally to rats will be metabolized to 2,8-dihydroxyadenine (2,8-DHA), which then precipitates and forms tubular crystals leading to palpable kidney injury [20]. Such injury includes extended (70-80%) damage of renal tissue with fibrosis, enlarged granular appearance, apoptotic lesion etc. [21,22], which will eventually result in renal injury [20]. Such injury includes extended (70-80%) damage of renal tissue with fibrosis, enlarged granular appearance, apoptotic lesion etc. [21,22], which will eventually result in renal injury [20].

Accordingly, we investigated if PEA might have beneficial effects to ameliorate ADN-induced CKD in a rat model. Additionally, we explored the possible protective mechanism of PEA, focusing on the status of physiological (renal function) and biochemical (renal injury and antioxidant enzymes) parameters associated with CKD. More details of the study are described and the interesting findings are also discussed herein.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Animal study**

Whether PEA might prevent or reduce the incidence of CKD in the rats was examined. Experimentally, CKD was induced by orally giving the rats ADN (40 mg/ml) daily for 2 weeks as described elsewhere [9,12,24]. Twenty male Sprague-Dawley rats (200-250 g), fed with standard chow diet and free access to water, were randomly divided into 4 groups (n=5 per group): Sham Group; ADN Group [Rats received 1 ml of ADN (40 mg/ml) daily]; ADN/PEA Group [Rats received 1 ml each of ADN (40 mg/ml) and PEA (25 mg/ml) daily]; and PEA Group [Rats received only PEA (25 mg/ml) daily]. At the end of 2 weeks, blood specimens were collected by retro-orbital bleeding and analyzed for blood urea nitrogen (BUN) and creatinine (Cr), while kidney specimens were surgically excised and subjected to histopathologic examination and biochemical analyses.

**BUN/Cr tests and histopathologic examination**

Blood and kidney specimens were sent to the commercial pathology laboratory for BUN/Cr tests and histopathologic examination, respectively. Histopathologic examination was performed by two independent veterinary pathologists and the pathology reports were sent to us separately. Blood tests for BUN/Cr were also received separately.

**Lipid peroxidation (LPO) assay**

The severity of OXS (induced by ADN) on rat kidneys was assessed by the LPO assay, measuring the amount of malondialdehyde (MDA) formed in the plasma membrane due to OXS [25] - the more MDA formed, the greater OXS. The detailed procedures were described in the vendor’s protocol (Abcam, Cambridge, MA). Briefly, the reaction was initiated by mixing cell lysates with thiobarbituric acid (TBA) solution and incubated in a boiling water bath (~100 °C) for 1 h. Samples were placed in a 96-well plate and read at A_{532} on a microplate reader. The amount of MDA formed was determined from the MDA standards and expressed by fold-increase relative to that of Sham (1).

**Assays for antioxidant enzymes**

Activities of two key antioxidant enzymes, catalase (CTL) and glutathione peroxidase (GPX) [26], were assessed by CTL and GPX Activity Colorimetric Assay Kit (BioVision, Milpitas, CA), respectively, following the manufacturer’s protocols. Activities (mU/ml) of CTL and GPX were expressed by the % relative to the respective Sham reading (100%).

**Western blot analysis**

Effects of OXS on three kidney injury biomarkers were analyzed using Western blots. Briefly, cell lysates (10 µg) obtained from tissue homogenization was subjected to SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane. The blot (membrane) was incubated with primary antibodies against three biomarkers, neutrophil-gelatinase-associated lipocalin (NGAL), kidney injury molecule 1 (Kim-1), and clusterin (CLU) [27-29] (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA) for 90 minutes, followed by 30-minute incubation with appropriate secondary antibody conjugates. Specific immunoreactive protein bands were detected by chemiluminescence following procedures described in the vendor’s protocol (Abcam, Cambridge, MA).

**Statistical analysis**

All data are presented as mean ± SD (standard deviation),
and statistical differences between groups are assessed with the unpaired Student’s t test or one-way ANOVA analysis. Values of $P<0.05$ are considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Analyses of BUN and Cr in rats

All rats in the four experimental groups were subjected to the evaluation of BUN and Cr in blood specimens at the end of two weeks. As shown in Table 1, the BUN and Cr levels were ~4.1 and ~5.3 times higher with ADN supplement, respectively, than those in the Sham Group. However, those elevated levels with ADN were reduced by ≥33% with PEA supplement. PEA by itself yet had no effects on BUN and Cr. The elevated BUN and Cr levels by ADN indicate renal dysfunction but their partial (≥33%) reduction with PEA implies improved renal function.

Histopathologic examination on kidney specimens

Histopathologic findings on the four groups are displayed in Figure 1. The Sham Group (A) shows a normal, undamaged kidney while the ADN Group (B) exhibits remarkable histological alterations with renal tubular degeneration, indicating typical kidney damage. However, such palpable kidney damage is less remarkable with PEA supplement in the ADN/PEA Group (C). As expected, histology of the PEA Group (D) looks quite similar to that of the Sham Group with an undamaged, normal kidney. Thus, ADN-induced kidney damage (CKD) could be partially ameliorated with PEA.

OXS induced by ADN

Now, the question is how ADN would induce CKD and how PEA might prevent it. As we hypothesized that OXS (induced by ADN) might play a primary role in ADN-induced CKD, this possibility was tested next. Kidney specimens were subjected to the LPO assay to assess if OXS would be involved in or responsible for CKD. As shown in Figure 2, ADN exerted ~2.7-fold greater OXS than the Sham Group but this elevated OXS level was significantly (~48%) reduced with PEA supplement while PEA alone had no effects. Hence, ADN-mediated toxicity is attributed to OXS but PEA may yet significantly reduce it with its antioxidant activity.

Up-regulation of kidney injury biomarkers

To confirm if extended renal cell injury is indeed induced by OXS, its possible effects on the status of three kidney injury biomarkers, NGAL, Kim-1, and CLU, were examined. Western
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$^a$ On the 14th day of the study, blood specimens were collected from all rats and subjected to analyses for BUN and Cr.

$^b$ $P<0.03$ (compared with Sham).

$^c$ $P<0.05$ (compared with Sham).

Abbreviations: ADN, Adenine; PEA, Poria mushroom extract; BUN, Blood urea nitrogen; Cr, Creatinine.

Figure 1 Histopathology of kidney specimens. Kidney specimens of four experimental conditions were subjected to histopathologic examination. The results shown are Sham Group (A); ADN Group (B); ADN/PEA Group (C); and PEA Group (D). More details are described in the text.

Figure 2 OXS exerted by ADN. LPO assay was performed to assess the severity of OXS by determining the amount of MDA formed. All data are mean ± SD (standard deviation) from three specimens of each group ($^a$ $P<0.05$ compared with Shams).
Up-regulation of kidney injury biomarkers by ADN. Kidney specimens obtained from different experimental conditions were analyzed for three biomarkers using Western blots. Autoradiographs of NGAL, Kim-1, and CLU, are shown and β-actin was also run as a protein loading control.

Inactivation of antioxidant enzymes in kidneys

Lastly, we examined if such extended kidney damage/injury could be also due to some adverse effects of OXS on antioxidant enzymes [26], which play a major defense role against OXS [10]. Kidney specimens were assayed for activities of two key antioxidant enzymes, catalase (CTL) and glutathione peroxidase (GPX). The results showed that CTL and GPX activities declined to ~40% and ~55% by OXS, respectively; however, PEA reduced such inactivation, sustaining >80% of their activities (Figure 4).

DISCUSSION

To help find a better regimen for CKD, we performed the in vivo study of CKD using a rat model. Our specific aim was to address if PEA might have beneficial effects to ameliorate or improve CKD that was induced by ADN administration to rats.

Our working hypothesis was that OXS could be a primary pathogenic factor for CKD and certain antioxidants might be able to diminish OXS to prevent or mitigate CKD. In fact, antioxidants have been documented to effectively protect (renal) cells from such OXS [10]. PEA has been found to have various pharmacological properties including antioxidant and renoprotective activities [11,12]. Hence, it was a logical approach for testing the possibility of PEA to have any beneficial effects on such an experimental CKD case.

We first found that ADN adversely affected kidney function, indicated by the significantly increased levels of BUN and Cr (Table 1). However, those elevated BUN and Cr levels have declined with PEA supplement, implying the improvement in renal function. Nevertheless, such renal dysfunction (induced by ADN) is a sign of CKD and more detailed histologic examination also revealed deposition of 2,8-DHA (brown pigmented crystals), tubular degeneration, interstitial mononuclear inflammation, sloughing of renal cells (into the tubular lumen) etc. (Figure 1). These results thus suggest that accumulation of ADN crystals in the rat kidneys may trigger renal cell inflammation, renal tubular degeneration, renal dysfunction, and ultimately leads to CKD. All these histopathological alterations were yet ameliorated with PEA, positively protecting the kidneys from ADN assault.

To address how ADN crystals would induce such severe renal cell damage, we looked into possible OXS exerted by ADN. Indeed, OXS was elevated to ~2.7-fold higher/severer in the ADN group (than that in the Shams) (Figure 2). This finding illustrates that ADN is capable of exerting severe OXS on renal cells, more likely leading to renal cell injury and renal dysfunction. To confirm such OXS-induced renal cell injury, we examined the expressions of three specific kidney injury biomarkers, NGAL, Kim-1 and CLU [27-29], in the rat kidneys. All biomarkers in the ADN group were up-regulated or expressed more intensely (compared to those in the Shams), indicating severe renal cell injury [27,28,30]. Particularly, NGAL is a stable, small molecule (25 kDa) that is excreted and easily detected in urine, so that it has been validated as a useful biomarker for CKD progression [31]. Its production has been also reported as an indicator in response to OXS before
kidney dysfunction can be detected by other biomarkers [32]. After all, these findings of biomarkers support the notion that ADN-exerted OXS can lead to extended renal cell damage/injury, resulting in renal dysfunction and ultimate CKD.

Despite severe ADN-induced renal injury through OXS, PEA was capable of significantly (~50%) diminishing OXS (Figure 2) and maintaining the basal status of all three biomarkers (under OXS) (Figure 3), demonstrating its antioxidant activity and protection of renal cells from ADN attack.

Particularly, it would be more interesting to talk about antioxidant activity of PEA. Today, many synthetic antioxidants are available in the market but they have potential hazards to health as well [33]. In contrast, PEA is considered as a natural antioxidant with few side effects and its safety has been well granted by TCM. A number of mushrooms (including *Poria* [34,35]) have been shown to exhibit strong activity of scavenging free radicals (ROS) and considered as potential natural antioxidants [34,35]. Strictly speaking, various derivatives (such as PEA) of *Poria* mushroom can be extracted or isolated with the diverse degrees of biological properties such as antioxidant, anticancer, immunological activities etc [36]. Especially, antioxidant activity of these derivatives is associated with several structural parameters, such as solubility, molecular weight, monosaccharide composition, glycosidic linkages, and extent of side-chain branching [37]. In other words, actual antioxidant activities of *Poria* mushroom derivatives could vary to a certain extent. Our PEA appears to have relatively strongly antioxidant activity (capable of reducing OXS by ~50%) but it is yet possible that some other derivative(s) may have even stronger activity. This possibility may deserve further exploration.

Another interesting finding was that the two key antioxidant enzymes, CTL and GPX [26], significantly (>40%) lost their enzymatic activities by OXS (Figure 4), implying a further breakdown/collapse of the antioxidant defense system that makes renal cells even more vulnerable to OXS and leads to extended renal cell injury. Besides so-called non-enzymatic or chemical antioxidants (e.g., PEA, vitamins C/E etc.) [10], antioxidant enzymes are also an integral part of the key cellular defense system (against OXS etc.) that must be active and functional. These enzymes are present in our body (since a birth) but will become weaker or inactive as we get older. This may partly account for why old people are less healthy and more vulnerable to illness. Hence, OXS can indeed inactivate antioxidant enzymes in the kidneys as well as other organs, feasibly resulting in CKD and other serious diseases. Nevertheless, PEA may also help ameliorate such OXS-induced adverse conditions.

Moreover, it would be worthwhile mentioning that the amount of ADN crystals formed/deposited in the rat kidneys appears to be significantly less with PEA supplement. This suggests that PEA could somehow interfere with the metabolic pathway of ADN to 2,8-DHA conversion. Generally, as ADN is efficiently salvaged by adenine phosphoribosyl transferase, it is present at the significantly low level in blood and urine [38]. However, when ADN becomes excessive, it acts as a substrate for xanthine dehydrogenase, which could then oxidize ADN to 2,8-DHA [20,39]. Due to the very low solubility of 2,8-DHA, it readily precipitates in renal tubules and forms tubular crystals [22]. Hence, it can be speculated that PEA may disrupt the conversion of ADN to 2,8-DHA by inhibiting or inactivating xanthine dehydrogenase, although other unknown mechanisms cannot be ruled out. This deserves further investigations.

Taken all together, ADN-induced CKD in the rats is primarily attributed to accumulation of ADN crystals in the rat kidneys, which in turn exerts severe OXS on renal cells, causing renal cell damage/injury, accompanied by inactivation of antioxidant enzymes. As a result, such renal cell injury would ultimately lead to CKD. However, all these adverse effects caused by OXS could be apparently reduced with PEA. In addition, this finding is consistent with the early report describing that another extract of *Poria* mushroom prepared by different procedures could be used for the prevention or treatment of CKD [40].

**CONCLUSIONS**

This study demonstrates that OXS mediated through ADN appears to eventually induce CKD in the rats; however, PEA with antioxidant activity may have beneficial effects to slow down the progression of ADN-induced CKD. Thus, PEA could be a promising, natural antioxidant for ameliorating CKD. Further studies are warranted.
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